Positive Surgical Margin and Perioperative Complication Treatments for Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review a Retropubic, Laparoscopic, and Robotic Prostatectomy

European Urology 62, 1-15

DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2012.02.029

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Biochemical Recurrence After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy in a European Single-centre Cohort with a Minimum Follow-up Time of 5 Years. European Urology, 2012, 62, 768-774.	0.9	85
2	Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Perioperative Outcomes and Complications After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2012, 62, 431-452.	0.9	404
3	Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Studies Reporting Oncologic Outcome After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2012, 62, 382-404.	0.9	418
4	"A Robot Saved My Life― Is It a Myth?. European Urology, 2012, 62, 775-776.	0.9	1
6	Positive Surgical Margin and Perioperative Complication Rates of Primary Surgical Treatments for Prostate Cancer: AÂSystematic Review and Meta-Analysis Comparing Retropubic, Laparoscopic, and Robotic Prostatectomy. Yearbook of Urology, 2012, 2012, 68-69.	0.1	254
7	Can robotic surgery be a standard procedure in the treatment of prostate cancer?. Journal of the Korean Medical Association, 2012, 55, 629.	0.1	1
8	For localized prostate cancer, does technology equal progress?. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 2012, 9, 371-372.	12.5	0
9	Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Ready To Be Counted?. European Urology, 2012, 62, 16-18.	0.9	6
11	Comparative Cost-effectiveness of Robot-assisted and Standard Laparoscopic Prostatectomy as Alternatives to Open Radical Prostatectomy for Treatment of Men with Localised Prostate Cancer: A Health Technology Assessment from the Perspective of the UK National Health Service. European Urology, 2013, 64, 361-369.	0.9	91
12	Does Robotic Prostatectomy Meet Its Promise in the Management of Prostate Cancer?. Current Urology Reports, 2013, 14, 184-191.	1.0	11
13	Laparoscopic versus robot-assisted bilateral nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy: comparison of pentafecta rates for a single surgeon. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2013, 27, 4297-4304.	1.3	35
14	Extraperitoneal robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a single-center experience beyond the learning curve. World Journal of Urology, 2013, 31, 447-453.	1.2	18
15	Current role of salvage robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy. World Journal of Urology, 2013, 31, 463-469.	1.2	9
16	Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2013, 63, 606-614.	0.9	173
18	Comparisons of perioperative outcomes and costs between open and laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: A propensityâ€score matching analysis based on the <scp>J</scp> apanese <scp>D</scp> iagnosis <scp>P</scp> rocedure <scp>C</scp> ombination database. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 349-353.	0.5	11
19	Current status of robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: How does it compare with other surgical approaches?. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 271-284.	0.5	24
20	Robot-Assisted Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: The Differential Effect of Regionalization, Procedure Volume and Operative Approach. Journal of Urology, 2013, 189, 1289-1294.	0.2	81
21	EAU Guidelines on Robotic and Single-site Surgery in Urology. European Urology, 2013, 64, 277-291.	0.9	141

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
22	Retropubic, Laparoscopic, or Robotic Radical Prostatectomy: Is There Any Real Difference?. Seminars in Oncology, 2013, 40, 286-296.	0.8	12
23	Recent advances in urologic laparoscopic surgeries: laparoendoscopic singleâ€site surgery, natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery, robotics and navigation. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2013, 6, 68-77.	0.4	14
24	Reply to Stefano C.M. Picozzi, Cristian Ricci and Luca Carmignani's Letter to the Editor re: Giacomo Novara, Vincenzo Ficarra, Simone Mocellin, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Studies Reporting Oncologic Outcome After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2012;62:382–404. European Urology, 2013, 63, e29-e31.	0.9	5
25	Yonsei Criteria: A New Protocol for Active Surveillance in the Era of Robotic and Local Ablative Surgeries. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2013, 11, 501-507.	0.9	8
26	Effect of a Risk-stratified Grade of Nerve-sparing Technique on Early Return of Continence After Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2013, 63, 438-444.	0.9	102
28	Preventing Perioperative Complications of Robotic-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urology, 2013, 81, 319-323.	0.5	50
29	Risk Factors and Prevention of Inguinal Hernia After Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2013, 189, 884-890.	0.2	57
30	A Prospective Study of Transition From Laparoscopic to Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Quality of Life Outcomes After 36-Month Follow-up. Urology, 2013, 81, 781-786.	0.5	39
31	The current status of robotic oncologic surgery. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 2013, 63, 45-56.	157.7	56
32	Rise of robotics in urologic surgery: current status and future directions. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2013, 10, 287-289.	1.4	1
33	From Methods to Policy: The complexities of comparative effectiveness research on devices: the case of robotic-assisted surgery for prostate cancer. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2013, 2, 367-370.	0.6	1
34	Predictive preoperative factors of positivesurgical margins after robotic radical prostatectomy in low-risk prostate cancer. Turk Uroloji Dergisi, 2013, 39, 69-73.	0.4	1
35	Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy after the First Decade: Surgical Evolution or New Paradigm. ISRN Urology, 2013, 2013, 1-22.	1.5	35
36	Primary treatments for clinically localised prostate cancer: a comprehensive lifetime costâ€utility analysis. BJU International, 2013, 111, 437-450.	1.3	109
37	Efficacy of Robotic-Assisted Prostatectomy in Localized Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review of Clinical Trials. Advances in Urology, 2013, 2013, 1-6.	0.6	17
38	Cystoscopic injection of <scp>N</scp> â€butylâ€2â€cyanoacrylate followed by fibrin glue for the treatment of persistent or massive vesicourethral anastomotic urine leak after radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 980-985.	0.5	13
39	Robotic and standard open radical prostatectomy: oncological and quality-of-life outcomes. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2013, 2, 293-299.	0.6	13
40	Relative effectiveness of robotâ€assisted and standard laparoscopic prostatectomy as alternatives to open radical prostatectomy for treatment of localised prostate cancer: a systematic review and mixed treatment comparison metaâ€analysis. BJU International, 2013, 112, 798-812.	1.3	69

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
41	Prostate cancer as a paradigm of multidisciplinary approach? Highlights from the Italian young radiation oncologist meeting. Tumori, 2013, 99, 637-649.	0.6	18
42	Charlson Comorbidity Index Is an Important Prognostic Factor for Long-Term Survival Outcomes in Korean Men with Prostate Cancer after Radical Prostatectomy. Yonsei Medical Journal, 2014, 55, 316.	0.9	22
44	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Another Canadian experience. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 98.	0.3	1
45	Comparison of open and robotic-assisted prostatectomy: The University of British Columbia experience. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 92.	0.3	22
46	Robotâ€assisted versus other types of radical prostatectomy: Populationâ€based safety and cost comparison in Japan, 2012â€"2013. Cancer Science, 2014, 105, 1421-1426.	1.7	26
47	Positive surgical margins: rate, contributing factors and impact on further treatment: findings from the Prostate Cancer Registry. BJU International, 2014, 114, 680-690.	1.3	39
48	Bimanual examination of the retrieved specimen and regional hypothermia during robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: a novel technique for reducing positive surgical margin and achieving pelvic cooling. BJU International, 2014, 114, 955-957.	1.3	24
49	Perioperative changes in pro and anticoagulant factors in prostate cancer patients undergoing laparoscopic and robotic radical prostatectomy with different anaesthetic techniques. Journal of Experimental and Clinical Cancer Research, 2014, 33, 63.	3.5	4
50	What Is Next in Robotic Urology?. Current Urology Reports, 2014, 15, 460.	1.0	5
52	A Comparative Analysis of Complications After Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy for Men Aged â‰ g 9 and ≥70 Years. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 1435-1438.	1.1	6
53	Performance comparisons in major uroâ€oncological surgeries between the <scp>USA</scp> and <scp>J</scp> apan. International Journal of Urology, 2014, 21, 1145-1150.	0.5	9
54	Third Prize: Perineal Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Feasibility Study in the Cadaver Model. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 1479-1486.	1.1	34
55	Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Demonstrates Less Morbidity Than Open Radical Prostatectomy: An Analysis of the American College of Surgeons-National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Database with a Focus on Surgical Trainee Involvement. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 298-305.	1.1	11
56	Predictors of positive surgical margins and their location in <scp>K</scp> orean men undergoing radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Urology, 2014, 21, 894-898.	0.5	8
57	Assessing the extirpative quality of a radical prostatectomy technique: categorisation and mapping of technical errors. BJU International, 2014, 114, 522-531.	1.3	8
58	Positive Surgical Margin Trends In Patients With Pathologic T3 Prostate Cancer Treated With Robot Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 0, , 150127063130004.	1.1	1
59	Looking forward, looking back—10 years in urology. Nature Reviews Urology, 2014, 11, 649-655.	1,9	4
60	Does mechanical bowel preparation ameliorate damage from rectal injury in radical prostatectomy? Analysis of 151 rectal injury cases. International Journal of Urology, 2014, 21, 566-570.	0.5	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
61	Ureteral strictures and reconstruction in the cancer survivor. Current Opinion in Urology, 2014, 24, 421-426.	0.9	8
62	Health-related Quality of Life in the First Year after Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Compared with Open Radical Prostatectomy. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 44, 686-691.	0.6	13
63	Impact of Thoracic Epidural Analgesia on Blood Loss in Radical Retropubic Prostatectomy. Urologia Internationalis, 2014, 93, 193-201.	0.6	6
64	Multifunctional Use of an Operating Theatre: Is Floor Drainage Posing an Increased Risk of Infection?. Urologia Internationalis, 2014, 93, 38-42.	0.6	4
66	Positive Surgical Margins After Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review and Contemporary Update. European Urology, 2014, 65, 303-313.	0.9	319
67	Minimally Invasive vs Open Pyeloplasty in Children: The Differential Effect of Procedure Volume on Operative Outcomes. Urology, 2014, 84, 180-184.	0.5	24
68	Impact of Charlson Comorbidity Index Varies by Age in Patients with Prostate Cancer Treated by Radical Prostatectomy: A Competing Risk Regression Analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 21, 677-683.	0.7	13
69	Emerging technology: applications of Raman spectroscopy for prostate cancer. Cancer and Metastasis Reviews, 2014, 33, 673-693.	2.7	80
70	Comparison of oncological outcomes between retropubic radical prostatectomy and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: an analysis stratified by surgical experience. World Journal of Urology, 2014, 32, 193-199.	1.2	19
71	Impact of Adjuvant Radiation Therapy on Urinary Continence Recovery After Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2014, 65, 546-551.	0.9	81
72	Ureteral Injuries Sustained During Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 318-324.	1.1	26
73	The use of robotics in surgery: a review. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2014, 68, 1376-1382.	0.8	124
74	A Novel Robotic System for Single-port Urologic Surgery: First Clinical Investigation. European Urology, 2014, 66, 1033-1043.	0.9	206
75	Comparative Effectiveness of Robot-Assisted and Open Radical Prostatectomy in the Postdissemination Era. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 1419-1426.	0.8	169
76	Three-Dimensional Surgical Navigation Model with TilePro Display During Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 625-630.	1.1	35
77	Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 473-484.	0.8	65
78	Teaching laparoscopic radical prostatectomy during the primary surgeon's early learning curve – analysis of our first 207 cases. BJU International, 2014, 114, 38-44.	1.3	6
79	Comparison of Robot-Assisted and Open Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy for Risk of Biochemical Progression in Men with Positive Surgical Margins. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 208-213.	1.1	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
81	Robotic Kidney Transplantation with Regional Hypothermia: Evolution of a Novel Procedure Utilizing the IDEAL Guidelines (IDEAL Phase 0 and 1). European Urology, 2014, 65, 1001-1009.	0.9	86
82	Implications of the New AUA Guidelines on Prostate Cancer Detection in the U.S Current Urology Reports, 2014, 15, 420.	1.0	19
83	Perioperative, Pathologic, and Early Continence Outcomes Comparing Three-Dimensional and Two-Dimensional Display Systems for Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy—A Retrospective, Single-Surgeon Study. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 539-543.	1.1	47
84	Biochemical Recurrence–free Survival After Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic vs Open Radical Prostatectomy for Intermediate- and High-risk Prostate Cancer. Urology, 2014, 83, 1309-1315.	0.5	27
86	Robotic Prostatectomy for High-risk Prostate Cancer: Translating the Evidence into Lessons for Clinical Practice. European Urology, 2014, 65, 928-930.	0.9	4
88	Superior Quality of Life and Improved Surgical Margins Are Achievable with Robotic Radical Prostatectomy After a Long Learning Curve: A Prospective Single-surgeon Study of 1552 Consecutive Cases. European Urology, 2014, 65, 521-531.	0.9	139
89	A Multinational, Multi-institutional Study Comparing Positive Surgical Margin Rates Among 22 393 Open, Laparoscopic, and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy Patients. European Urology, 2014, 66, 450-456.	0.9	116
90	Reply to Gianluca Giannarini, Nazareno Suardi and Alberto Briganti's Letter to the Editor re: Prasanna Sooriakumaran, Abhishek Srivastava, Shahrokh F. Shariat, et al. A Multinational, Multi-institutional Study Comparing Positive Surgical Margin Rates Among 22 393 Open, Laparoscopic, and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy Patients. Eur Urol. In press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2013.11.018.	0.9	0
91	Comparisons of the Perioperative, Functional, and Oncologic Outcomes After Robot-Assisted Versus Pure Extraperitoneal Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2014, 65, 610-619.	0.9	74
92	A new look at prostate cancer treatment complications. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 2014, 11, 304-305.	12.5	10
93	Da Vinci surgery for prostate cancer and bladder cancer in Japan. Journal of Japan Society of Computer Aided Surgery, 2014, 16, 363-366.	0.1	0
94	Contemporary practice and techniqueâ€related outcomes for radical prostatectomy in the <scp>UK</scp> : a report of national outcomes. BJU International, 2015, 115, 753-763.	1.3	24
95	Robotic Surgery – A Personal View of the Past, Present and Future. International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems, 2015, 12, 54.	1.3	20
96	Transarterial embolization for pelvic hematoma following laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: A case report and review of the literature. Oncology Letters, 2015, 10, 1889-1892.	0.8	7
97	Robotics in arthroplasty. Bone and Joint 360, 2015, 4, 2-7.	0.1	2
98	Surgical Residents are Excluded From Robot-assisted Surgery. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2015, 25, 449-450.	0.4	14
99	Effect of gyromagnetic fields on human prostatic adenocarcinoma cells. OncoTargets and Therapy, 2015, 8, 3489.	1.0	4
103	Re: Comparative Effectiveness of Robot-assisted Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy Cancer Control. European Urology, 2015, 67, 589.	0.9	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
104	A Novel Surgical Technique for Preserving the Bladder Neck During Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Preliminary Results. Journal of Endourology, 2015, 29, 186-191.	1.1	14
106	No impact of blood transfusion on oncological outcome after radical prostatectomy in patients with prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2015, 33, 801-806.	1.2	39
107	Robotics in urological surgery: Evolution, current status and future perspectives. Actas Urológicas Españolas (English Edition), 2015, 39, 435-441.	0.2	6
108	Differentiation of prostate cancer from normal tissue in radical prostatectomy specimens by desorption electrospray ionization and touch spray ionization mass spectrometry. Analyst, The, 2015, 140, 1090-1098.	1.7	71
109	Minimum 5â€year followâ€up of 1138 consecutive laparoscopic radical prostatectomies. BJU International, 2015, 115, 546-553.	1.3	15
110	Post-treated prostate cancer: normal findings and signs of local relapse on multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging. Abdominal Imaging, 2015, 40, 2814-2838.	2.0	25
111	Long-term Cancer Control Outcomes in Patients with Clinically High-risk Prostate Cancer Treated with Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Results from a Multi-institutional Study of 1100 Patients. European Urology, 2015, 68, 497-505.	0.9	84
112	Recent advances in robotic surgery for rectal cancer. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 20, 633-640.	1.0	10
113	Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial. European Urology, 2015, 68, 216-225.	0.9	347
114	La robótica en la cirugÃa urológica: evolución, estado actual y perspectivas futuras. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2015, 39, 435-441.	0.3	9
116	Avoiding and managing vascular injury during robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. Therapeutic Advances in Urology, 2015, 7, 41-48.	0.9	15
117	Surgical margin length and location affect recurrence rates after robotic prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2015, 33, 109.e7-109.e13.	0.8	61
118	Running suture versus interrupted suture for vesicourethral anastomosis in retropubic radical prostatectomy: A randomized study. International Journal of Urology, 2015, 22, 271-277.	0.5	7
119	Robot-Assisted Surgery For Kidney Cancer Increased Access To A Procedure That Can Reduce Mortality And Renal Failure. Health Affairs, 2015, 34, 220-228.	2.5	14
120	Laparoscopic versus roboticâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: an <scp>A</scp> ustralian singleâ€surgeon series. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2015, 85, 154-158.	0.3	22
121	Age stratified comparative analysis of perioperative, functional and oncologic outcomes in patients after robot assisted radical prostatectomy $\hat{a} \in A$ propensity score matched study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 41, 837-843.	0.5	37
122	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer. Future Oncology, 2015, 11, 2767-2773.	1.1	12
123	Clinical effect of a positive surgical margin without extraprostatic extension after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2015, 33, 503.e1-503.e6.	0.8	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
124	The Robotic Partial and Total Knee Arthroplasty: The Rise of the Machines. Operative Techniques in Orthopaedics, 2015, 25, 83-84.	0.2	0
128	Reclassification Rates Are Higher Among African American Men Than Caucasians on Active Surveillance. Urology, 2015, 85, 155-160.	0.5	64
129	Positive Surgical Margin Trends in Patients with Pathologic T ₃ Prostate Cancer Treated with Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2015, 29, 634-639.	1.1	14
130	How Early Implementations Influence Later Adoptions of Innovation: Social Positioning and Skill Reproduction in the Diffusion of Robotic Surgery. Academy of Management Journal, 2015, 58, 242-278.	4.3	103
131	Short-term Results after Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Compared to Open Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2015, 67, 660-670.	0.9	84
132	The Controversy That Will Not Go Away. European Urology, 2015, 67, 439-440.	0.9	3
133	Thromboembolic Complications in 3,544 Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy with or without Lymph Node Dissection. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 117-125.	0.2	58
134	The impact of length and location of positive margins in predicting biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy with a minimum follow-up of 5 years. BJU International, 2015, 115, 106-113.	1.3	56
135	Removing the specimen with traction during robotic radical prostatectomy does not cause a positive surgical margin. Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences, 2016, 46, 1655-1657.	0.4	0
136	Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy. Journal of the Nihon University Medical Association, 2016, 75, 201-203.	0.0	0
137	Comparison of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Open Radical Prostatectomy Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Yonsei Medical Journal, 2016, 57, 1165.	0.9	71
138	Perioperative Blood Transfusion as a Significant Predictor of Biochemical Recurrence and Survival after Radical Prostatectomy in Patients with Prostate Cancer. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0154918.	1.1	16
139	Overview of robotic colorectal surgery: Current and future practical developments. World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 8, 143.	0.8	24
140	Pathological and Biochemical Outcomes among African-American and Caucasian Men with Low Risk Prostate Cancer in the SEARCH Database: Implications for Active Surveillance Candidacy. Journal of Urology, 2016, 196, 1408-1414.	0.2	43
141	Patternsâ€ofâ€care and health economic analysis of robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy in the Australian public health system. BJU International, 2016, 117, 930-939.	1.3	55
142	Limited Evidence for Robot-assisted Surgery. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2016, 26, 117-123.	0.4	13
143	The importance of surgical margins in prostate cancer. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 113, 310-315.	0.8	36
144	Detailed Surgical Anatomy of Prostate: Relationship between Urethra and Dorsal Vein Complex with Apex. Urologia Internationalis, 2016, 96, 260-267.	0.6	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Same-Day Discharge for Patients Undergoing Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Is Safe and Feasible: Results of a Pilot Study. Journal of Endourology, 2016, 30, 1296-1300.	1.1	17
147	A Clinician's Guide to Avoiding and Managing Common Complications During and After Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2016, 2, 30-48.	1.6	14
148	Social service robots to support independent living. Zeitschrift Fur Gerontologie Und Geriatrie, 2016, 49, 282-287.	0.8	17
149	Initiation of robot-assisted radical prostatectomies in Finland: Impact on centralization and quality of care. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 149-154.	0.6	16
150	Complications of Minimally Invasive Surgery and Their Management. Current Urology Reports, 2016, 17, 47.	1.0	16
151	Patient comorbidity predicts hospital length of stay after robot-assisted prostatectomy. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2016, 10, 151-156.	1.0	16
152	Intermediate-term cancer control outcomes in prostate cancer patients treated with robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a multi-institutional analysis. World Journal of Urology, 2016, 34, 1357-1366.	1.2	13
153	Work Disability After Robot-assisted or Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Nationwide, Population-based Study. European Urology, 2016, 70, 64-71.	0.9	16
155	The Australian laparoscopic non robotic radical prostatectomy experience – analysis of 2943 cases (<scp>USANZ</scp> supplement). BJU International, 2016, 118, 43-48.	1.3	13
156	Predicting complications in partial nephrectomy for T1a tumours: does approach matter?. BJU International, 2016, 118, 940-945.	1.3	5
157	Patient-Reported Outcomes after Monitoring, Surgery, or Radiotherapy for Prostate Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine, 2016, 375, 1425-1437.	13.9	962
158	Minimally Invasive Surgery for the Treatment of Colorectal Cancer. Visceral Medicine, 2016, 32, 192-198.	0.5	5
159	Clinical management and research priorities for high-risk prostate cancer in the UK: Meeting report of a multidisciplinary panel in conjunction with the NCRI Prostate Cancer Clinical Studies Localised Subgroup. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2016, 9, 369-379.	0.1	0
161	Differences in Patient Characteristics Among Men Choosing Open or Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Contemporary Practice at a European High-Volume Center. Urologia Internationalis, 2016, 97, 8-15.	0.6	5
162	Is Surgery Still Necessary for Prostate Cancer?., 2016,, 235-243.		0
163	Prognostic Significance of Positive Surgical Margins and Other Implications of Pathology Report. , 2016, , 295-306.		0
164	Robotic Surgery of the Kidney, Bladder, and Prostate. Surgical Clinics of North America, 2016, 96, 615-636.	0.5	34
165	Comparison of oncological and healthâ€related quality of life outcomes between open and robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy for localisedÂprostate cancer – findings from the populationâ€based Victorian Prostate Cancer Registry. BJU International, 2016, 118, 563-569.	1.3	29

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
166	A Multi-institutional Analysis of Perioperative Outcomes in 106 Men Who Underwent Radical Prostatectomy for Distant Metastatic Prostate Cancer at Presentation. European Urology, 2016, 69, 788-794.	0.9	140
167	Advancing Surgical Vision with Fluorescence Imaging. Annual Review of Medicine, 2016, 67, 153-164.	5.0	86
168	Comparison of Perioperative and Early Oncologic Outcomes between Open and Robotic Assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy in a Contemporary Population Based Cohort. Journal of Urology, 2016, 196, 76-81.	0.2	43
169	A Multidimensional Analysis of Prostate Surgery Costs in the United States: Robotic-Assisted versus Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 391-403.	0.1	25
170	Small bowel obstruction and abdominal pain after robotic versus open radical prostatectomy. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 155-159.	0.6	8
171	Long-Term Oncologic Outcome of an Initial Series of Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy for Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer After a Median Follow-up of 10 Years. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2016, 14, 290-297.	0.9	8
172	Management of Prostate Cancer in the Elderly. Clinics in Geriatric Medicine, 2016, 32, 113-132.	1.0	12
173	Validation of the RobotiX Mentor Robotic Surgery Simulator. Journal of Endourology, 2016, 30, 338-346.	1.1	52
174	Extended versus limited pelvic lymph node dissection during bilateral nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy and its effect on continence and erectile function recovery: long-term results and trifecta rates of a comparative analysis. World Journal of Urology, 2016, 34, 811-820.	1.2	18
176	Intraoperative frozen section monitoring during nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy: evaluation of partial secondary resection of neurovascular bundles and its effect on oncologic and functional outcome. World Journal of Urology, 2016, 34, 229-236.	1.2	12
177	Reply from Authors re: Adri C. Voogd, Rob H.A. Verhoeven. Treatment of the Primary Tumour in the Presence of Metastases: Lessons from Breast Cancer. Eur Urol 2016;69:797–9Reply from Authors re: Alfred I. Neugut, Edward P. Gelmann. Treatment of the Prostate in the Presence of Metastases: Lessons from Other Solid Tumors. Eur Urol 2016;69:795–6. European Urology, 2016, 69, 800-801.	0.9	O
178	Safer Surgery by Learning from Complications: A Focus on Robotic Prostate Surgery. European Urology, 2016, 69, 334-344.	0.9	33
179	Evaluation of positive surgical margins in patients undergoing robot-assisted and open radical prostatectomy according to preoperative risk groups. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2016, 34, 57.e1-57.e7.	0.8	21
180	Primary Cryotherapy for High-Grade Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: Oncologic and Functional Outcomes from the COLD Registry. Journal of Endourology, 2016, 30, 43-48.	1.1	30
181	da Vinci and Open Radical Prostatectomy: Comparison of Clinical Outcomes and Analysis of Insurance Costs. Urologia Internationalis, 2016, 96, 287-294.	0.6	25
182	Análisis de riesgos competitivos de mortalidad en cáncer de próstata tratado mediante prostatectomÃa radical. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2017, 41, 11-22.	0.3	5
183	Robotic surgery: current perceptions and the clinical evidence. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 255-263.	1.3	68
184	Novel Management of Anastomotic Disruption and Persistent Hematuria Following Robotic Prostatectomy: Case Report and Review of the Literature. Urology Case Reports, 2017, 11, 28-29.	0.1	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
185	Competing risk analysis of mortality in prostate cancer treated with radical prostatectomy. Actas Urol³gicas Españolas (English Edition), 2017, 41, 11-22.	0.2	2
186	Reality of nerve sparing and surgical margins in surgeons' early experience with robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy in Japan. International Journal of Urology, 2017, 24, 191-196.	0.5	11
187	The Role of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in High-Risk Prostate Cancer. Journal of Endourology, 2017, 31, 229-237.	1.1	12
188	Can We Accomplish Better Oncological Results with Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy?. Journal of Endourology, 2017, 31, S-54-S-58.	1.1	5
190	Long-term cancer control outcomes of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer treatment: a meta-analysis. International Urology and Nephrology, 2017, 49, 995-1005.	0.6	19
191	Differences in Patient Characteristics among Men Choosing Open or Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Contemporary Practice - Analysis of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Database. Urologia Internationalis, 2017, 98, 40-48.	0.6	15
192	Incidence of incisional hernia after minimally invasive and open radical prostatectomy: a population-based nationwide study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2017, 51, 264-268.	0.6	8
193	Minimally invasive surgery and its impact on 30-day postoperative complications, unplanned readmissions and mortality. British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, 1372-1381.	0.1	44
194	Longâ€term adverse effects after retropubic and robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. Nationwide, populationâ€based study. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 500-506.	0.8	12
195	The New US Preventive Services Task Force "C―Draft Recommendation for Prostate Cancer Screening. European Urology, 2017, 72, 326-328.	0.9	2
196	Cost of New Technologies in Prostate Cancer Treatment: Systematic Review of Costs and Cost Effectiveness of Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy, Intensity-modulated Radiotherapy, and Proton Beam Therapy. European Urology, 2017, 72, 712-735.	0.9	79
198	Long-term survival of patients aged 80 years or older treated with radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 1581-1588.	0.5	6
199	Safety and feasibility of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for clinically localized prostate cancer in elderly Japanese patients. Prostate International, 2017, 5, 13-16.	1.2	6
200	Robotic surgery for rectal cancer. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2017, 10, 364-371.	0.4	12
202	New surgical approaches for clinically high-risk or metastatic prostate cancer. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2017, 17, 1013-1031.	1.1	9
203	Intrafascial versus interfascial nerve sparing in radical prostatectomy for localized prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 11454.	1.6	22
204	Diagnosis and Treatments for Vesico-Enteric Fistulas: a 2017 Current Review. Current Bladder Dysfunction Reports, 2017, 12, 212-216.	0.2	4
205	Is it the End for Urologic Pelvic Laparoscopic Surgery?. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques, 2017, 27, 139-146.	0.4	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
206	Improving postoperative radiotherapy following radical prostatectomy. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2017, 17, 925-937.	1.1	0
207	Retropubic Radical ProstatectomyRadical Prostatectomy. , 2017, , 231-249.		0
208	A Response to the Validity of an Article Reporting Contrary Cleaning Efficacy Results for Robotic Surgical Instruments. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, 2017, 38, 625-626.	1.0	3
209	Prostatectomy versus radiotherapy for early-stage prostate cancer (PREPaRE) study: protocol for a mixed-methods study of treatment decision-making in men with localised prostate cancer. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e018403.	0.8	3
210	Systematic Review of Studies Reporting Positive Surgical Margins After Bladder Neck Sparing Radical Prostatectomy. Current Urology Reports, 2017, 18, 99.	1.0	34
211	Pelvic Floor Reconstruction After Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Different Surgical Techniques. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 2737.	1.6	12
212	Oligometastatic prostate cancer: definitions, clinical outcomes, and treatment considerations. Nature Reviews Urology, 2017, 14, 15-25.	1.9	210
213	Gleason ScoreÂâ‰� Prostate Cancer at Radical Prostatectomy: Does a High-Risk Setting Truly Exist? A Recursive Partitioning Analysis. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2017, 15, 242-247.	0.9	3
214	Design of a 3-DOF parallel mechanism for the enhancement of endonasal surgery., 2017,,.		1
215	Quality of Life Following Prostatectomy as a Function of Surgery Type and Degree of Nerve Sparing. Current Urology, 2017, 11, 16-20.	0.4	7
216	Pentafecta Rates of Three-Dimensional Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Our Experience after 150 Cases. Urologia, 2017, 84, 93-97.	0.3	7
217	Cyanoacrylic tissue glues: Biochemical properties and their usage in urology. Turkish Journal of Urology, 2017, 43, 14-24.	1.3	36
218	Robotic <i>>vs</i> . Retropubic radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer: A systematic review and a meta-analysis update. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 32237-32257.	0.8	53
219	Positive surgical margins and biochemical recurrence following minimally-invasive radical prostatectomy – An analysis of outcomes from a UK tertiary referral centre. BMC Urology, 2017, 17, 91.	0.6	33
221	Long-term patient outcomes from the first year of a robotic surgery program using multi-surgeon implementation. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2017, 12, 38-43.	0.3	7
222	Robot-assisted radical perineal prostatectomy: first experience of 15 cases. Turkish Journal of Urology, 2017, 43, 476-483.	1.3	19
223	Oncological and peri-surgical outcomes of radical prostatectomy for non-metastatic prostate cancer with prostate-specific antigen level of 50 ng/ml or greater. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 48, 485-490.	0.6	1
224	The British Association of Urological Surgeons (<scp>BAUS</scp>) radical prostatectomy audit 2014/2015 – an update on current practice and outcomes by centre and surgeon caseâ€volume. BJU International, 2018, 121, 886-892.	1.3	31

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
225	Switching from laparoscopic radical prostatectomy to robot assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy: comparing oncological outcomes and complications. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 116-121.	0.6	9
226	Comparison of retropubic, laparoscopic and robotic radical prostatectomy: who is the winner?. World Journal of Urology, 2018, 36, 609-621.	1.2	100
227	Superior Biochemical Recurrence and Long-term Quality-of-life Outcomes Are Achievable with Robotic Radical Prostatectomy After a Long Learning Curve—Updated Analysis of a Prospective Single-surgeon Cohort of 2206 Consecutive Cases. European Urology, 2018, 73, 664-671.	0.9	59
228	Complications in robotic urological surgeries and how to avoid them: A systematic review. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2018, 16, 285-292.	0.7	19
229	How Should a Man with Prostate Cancer Choose his Surgeon?. European Urology, 2018, 73, 826-827.	0.9	0
230	Prostate Cancer: Improving the Flow of Research. Radiology, 2018, 287, 5-9.	3.6	2
231	Community-based Outcomes of Open versus Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2018, 73, 215-223.	0.9	45
232	Five-year Outcomes for a Prospective Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 80-86.	1.6	62
233	Incidence, Risk Factors, Management, and Complications of Rectal Injuries During Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 554-557.	1.6	34
234	Robot-Assisted Extraperitoneal Radical Prostatectomy, Single Site Plus Two Model. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2018, 28, 140-144.	0.5	8
235	National cohort study comparing severe mediumâ€term urinary complications after robotâ€assisted vs laparoscopic vs retropubic open radical prostatectomy. BJU International, 2018, 121, 445-452.	1.3	18
236	Cytoreductive prostatectomy in metastatic prostate cancer: a systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2018, 52, 1-7.	0.6	9
237	Does robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy benefit patients with prostate cancer and bone oligometastases?. BJU International, 2018, 121, 225-231.	1.3	54
238	Role of robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy in locally advanced prostate cancer. International Journal of Urology, 2018, 25, 30-35.	0.5	39
239	Robotic surgery in urology. Current Opinion in Urology, 2018, 28, 153-158.	0.9	46
240	Re: Follow-up of Prostatectomy Versus Observation for Early Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2018, 73, 477-478.	0.9	0
241	Impact of Variations in Prostatic Apex Shape on Apical Margin Positive Rate After Radical Prostatectomy: Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy <i>vs</i> Open Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2018, 32, 46-53.	1.1	5
242	Vascular Complications. , 2018, , 105-112.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
243	Radical Prostatectomy., 2018,, 239-251.		1
247	Short interval of biopsy to robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy does not render any adverse effects on the perioperative outcomes. Medicine (United States), 2018, 97, e11686.	0.4	2
249	Prostate cancer laparoscopic port site metastasis. Medicine (United States), 2018, 97, e13757.	0.4	6
251	Development of the Vattikuti Institute Prostatectomy: Historical Perspective and Technical Nuances. , 2018, , 255-273.		0
252	CirugÃa laparoscópica en urologÃa: breve reseña histórica y estado actual del arte. Revista Médica ClÃnica Las Condes, 2018, 29, 169-179.	0.2	2
253	The Effect of Steep Trendelenburg Positioning on Retinal Structure and Function during Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Procedures. Journal of Ophthalmology, 2018, 2018, 1-5.	0.6	6
254	Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy for High-Risk Prostate Cancer., 2018,, 35-39.		0
255	Pre- and intra-operative predictors of postoperative hospital length of stay in patients undergoing radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer in China: a retrospective observational study. BMC Urology, 2018, 18, 43.	0.6	5
256	Method for Real-Time Tissue Quantification of Indocyanine Green Revealing Optimal Conditions for Near Infrared Fluorescence Guided Surgery. Analytical Chemistry, 2018, 90, 7922-7929.	3.2	11
257	The association of lymph node dissection with 30-day perioperative morbidity among men undergoing minimally invasive radical prostatectomy: analysis of the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP). Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2018, 21, 245-251.	2.0	6
258	Hyaluronic acid formulation of near infrared fluorophores optimizes surgical imaging in a prostate tumor xenograft. Acta Biomaterialia, 2018, 75, 323-333.	4.1	31
259	Clinical outcomes and costs of robotic surgery in prostate cancer: a multiinstitutional study in Korea. Prostate International, 2019, 7, 19-24.	1.2	14
260	Variation in Positive Surgical Margin Status After Radical Prostatectomy for pT2 Prostate Cancer. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2019, 17, e1060-e1068.	0.9	11
261	Robot-assisted urological surgery in the Middle East: Where are we and how far can we go?. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2019, 17, 106-113.	0.7	16
262	Overview on Robotic Training. , 2019, , 29-40.		0
263	Anaesthesia for minimally invasive abdominal and pelvic surgery. BJA Education, 2019, 19, 254-260.	0.6	4
264	Perioperative and oncological outcomes of radical prostatectomy for highâ€risk prostate cancer in the UK: an analysis of surgeonâ€reported data. BJU International, 2019, 124, 441-448.	1.3	11
265	Contemporary Management of Hemorrhage After Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy. Urology, 2019, 130, 120-125.	0.5	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
266	The impact of single positive surgical margin features on biochemical recurrence after robotic radical prostatectomy. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2019, 45, 45-53.	0.7	10
267	90-Day readmission after radical prostatectomy—a prospective comparison between robot-assisted and open surgery. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2019, 53, 26-33.	0.6	23
268	Survival After Robotic-assisted Prostatectomy for Localized Prostate Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e507-e514.	2.1	5
269	â€~Robosurgeons vs. robosceptics': can we afford robotic technology or can we afford not to?. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2019, 12, 285-295.	0.1	4
270	Development and validation of a preoperative nomogram for predicting positive surgical margins after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Chinese Medical Journal, 2019, 132, 928-934.	0.9	15
271	Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Progression after Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy in Glaucoma Patients. Journal of Ophthalmology, 2019, 2019, 1-6.	0.6	3
272	Enhanced recovery after surgery protocol for prostate cancer patients undergoing laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Journal of International Medical Research, 2019, 47, 114-121.	0.4	33
273	Impact of Multifocality and Multilocation of Positive Surgical Margin After Radical Prostatectomy on Predicting Oncological Outcome. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2019, 17, e44-e52.	0.9	7
274	Contemporary Comparison of Open to Robotic Prostatectomy at a Veteran's Affairs Hospital. Military Medicine, 2019, 184, e330-e337.	0.4	7
275	Functional results in the treatment of localized prostate cancer. An updated literature review. Revista Internacional De AndrologÃa, 2019, 17, 143-154.	0.1	1
276	Infectious Complications of Conventional Laparoscopic <i>vs</i> Robotic Laparoscopic Prostatectomy: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Endourology, 2019, 33, 179-188.	1.1	4
277	Variation in prostate surgery costs and outcomes in the USA: robot-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2019, 8, 143-155.	0.6	14
278	Retzius sparing robotic assisted radical prostatectomy vs. conventional robotic assisted radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 1123-1134.	1.2	49
279	The 100 most influential manuscripts in robotic surgery: a bibliometric analysis. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2020, 14, 155-165.	1.0	29
280	Application and Exploration of Sensorimotor Coordination Strategies in Surgical Robotics. Cognitive Systems Monographs, 2020, , 41-71.	0.1	2
281	Re: Experience of one single surgeon with the first 500 robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy cases in mainland China. Asian Journal of Urology, 2020, 7, 179-180.	0.5	0
282	Effect of personalized extracorporeal biofeedback device for pelvic floor muscle training on urinary incontinence after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: A randomized controlled trial. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2020, 39, 674-681.	0.8	19
283	Transumbilical Single-port Robotically Assisted Nipple-sparing Mastectomy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2020, Publish Ahead of Print, e2778.	0.3	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
285	Urethral stricture management in male candidates to artificial urinary sphincter: Is the best always the enemy of the good?. Progres En Urologie, 2020, 30, 301-303.	0.3	1
286	Impact of enhanced recovery after surgery or fast track surgery pathways in minimally invasive radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2020, 9, 1037-1052.	0.6	8
287	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy compared to open radical prostatectomy: Comparison between surgical time, complications and length of hospital stay. Actas $Urol\tilde{A}^3$ gicas $Espa\tilde{A}\pm olas$ (English) Tj $ETQq0~0~0~0~gl$	BT Ø Øverlo	ck û 0 Tf 50 6
288	Perioperative outcomes of robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (RALRP) and LRP in patients with prostate cancer based on risk groups. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2020, 18, 187-193.	0.7	1
289	Retzius-sparing Robotic-assisted Radical Prostatectomy Facilitates Early Continence Regardless of Neurovascular Bundle Sparing. Anticancer Research, 2020, 40, 4075-4080.	0.5	6
290	Differences in risk factors for biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy stratified by the degree of obesity: Focused on surgical methods. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 10157.	1.6	3
291	Peri-operative, functional and early oncologic outcomes of salvage robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy after high-intensity focused ultrasound partial ablation. BMC Urology, 2020, 20, 81.	0.6	10
292	Unorthodox cause of urinary leak post radical prostatectomy: Catheter balloon within a bladder diverticulum – Case report and highlights on various methods to overcome leaks. International Journal of Surgery Case Reports, 2020, 67, 196-199.	0.2	0
293	ProstatectomÃa radical laparoscópica frente a prostatectomÃa radical abierta: comparación del tiempo quirúrgico, complicaciones y estancia postoperatoria. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2020, 44, 41-48.	0.3	0
294	Routine Postoperative Hemoglobin Assessment Poorly Predicts Transfusion Requirement among Patients Undergoing Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy. Urology Practice, 2020, 7, 299-304.	0.2	2
295	Robotâ€assisted radical perineal prostatectomy: a review of 95 cases. BJU International, 2020, 125, 573-578.	1.3	17
296	Factors Affecting Surgical Margin Positivity after Radical Prostatectomy in the Turkish Population: A Multicenter Study of the Urooncology Association. Urologia Internationalis, 2020, 104, 724-730.	0.6	5
297	A scientometric study of the top 100 most-cited publications based on Web-of-Science regarding robotic versus laparoscopic surgery. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2021, 44, 440-451.	0.2	12
298	Uptake and accessibility of surgical robotics in England. International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 2021, 17, 1-7.	1.2	15
299	Robotic radical perineal prostatectomy: tradition and evolution in the robotic era. Current Opinion in Urology, 2021, 31, 11-17.	0.9	10
300	Robotic Surgery in Urology: Effectiveness of da Vinci®Surgical System. Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan, 2021, 39, 235-237.	0.0	0
301	Cost-effectiveness analysis of robotic-assisted versus retropubic radical prostatectomy: a single cancer center experience. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2021, 15, 859-868.	1.0	6
302	Practice Patterns of Korean Urologists Regarding Positive Surgical Margins after Radical Prostatectomy: a Survey and Narrative Review. Journal of Korean Medical Science, 2021, 36, e256.	1.1	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
303	Blueprint for the Establishment of a Successful Robotic Surgery Program: Lessons from Admiral Hyman R. Rickover and the Nuclear Navy. , 2021, , 31-53.		0
304	Comparison of functional and oncological outcomes of innovative "three-port†and traditional "four-port†laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in patients with prostate cancer. BMC Urology, 2021, 21.	0.6	2
305	Latest Comprehensive Medical Resource Consumption in Robot-Assisted versus Laparoscopic and Traditional Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. Cancers, 2021, 13, 1564.	1.7	9
306	Comparison between laparoscopic and open prostatectomy: Oncological progression analysis. Actas Urol³gicas Españolas (English Edition), 2021, 45, 139-145.	0.2	1
307	Comparación entre prostatectomÃa laparoscópica y abierta: análisis de la evolución oncológica. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2021, 45, 139-145.	0.3	3
308	Tumor Biological Feature and Its Association with Positive Surgical Margins and Apical Margins after Radical Prostatectomy in Non-Metastasis Prostate Cancer. Current Oncology, 2021, 28, 1528-1536.	0.9	5
309	Reducing the Risk of Postoperative Complications After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Prostate Cancer Patients: Results of an Audit and Feedback Intervention Following the Implementation of Prospective Data Collection. European Urology Focus, 2022, 8, 431-437.	1.6	5
311	Urine leak after robotic radical prostatectomy: not all urine leaks come from the anastomosis. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2022, 16, 247-255.	1.0	5
312	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a single surgeon's experience in 80 cases after 2Âyears of formal training. African Journal of Urology, 2021, 27, .	0.1	0
313	Robotic assisted radical cystectomy versus open radical cystectomy: a review of what we do and don't know. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2021, 10, 2209-2215.	0.6	4
314	An original surgical method for the formation of fascial duplication in the elimination of damage to the anterior rectal wall during prostatectomy. Onkourologiya, 2021, 17, 54-61.	0.1	2
315	Comparing the efficacy of laparoscopic and open radical prostatectomy: analysis of treatment outcomes in patients with prostate cancer treated in three federal centers. Onkourologiya, 2021, 17, 45-53.	0.1	2
316	Primary Gleason grade and Gleason grade group at positive surgical margins: a systematic review and metaâ€analysis. BJU International, 2021, 127, 13-22.	1.3	11
317	New recommendations to reduce unnecessary blood tests following robot assisted radical prostatectomy. BJU International, 2021, 128, 681-684.	1.3	1
318	A multi-level spatio-temporal analysis on prostate cancer outcomes. Cancer Epidemiology, 2021, 72, 101939.	0.8	1
319	Impact of Hospital volume on postoperative outcomes after radical prostatectomy: A 5-Year nationwide database analysis. European Urology Focus, 2022, 8, 1169-1175.	1.6	10
320	Oligometastatic prostate cancer: definition and the role of local and systemic therapy: a narrative review. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2021, 10, 3167-3175.	0.6	9
321	Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Low-Volume Regions: Should It Be Abandoned or Adopted? A Multi-Institutional Outcome Study. Journal of Endourology, 2021, 35, 1013-1019.	1.1	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
323	The anatomic scalene triangle: A useful landmark for pelvic lymphadenectomy during Retzius-Sparing Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urology Video Journal, 2021, 11, 100096.	0.1	0
325	Well leg compartment syndrome following robot-assisted radical cystectomy in the lithotomy position: a case report. JA Clinical Reports, 2021, 7, 13.	0.2	6
326	Oncologic Outcomes of Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: The "Balancing Act―of Achieving Cancer Control and Minimizing Collateral Damage. , 2016, , 101-113.		1
328	Testing radical prostatectomy in men with prostate cancer and oligometastases to the bone: a randomized controlled feasibility trial. BJU International, 2017, 120, E8-E20.	1.3	33
329	Interrupted versus continuous suturing for vesicourethral anastomosis during radical prostatectomy: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e019823.	0.8	2
330	Intraoperative laparoscopic complications for urological cancer procedures. World Journal of Clinical Cases, 2015, 3, 450.	0.3	3
331	Myosin Va plays essential roles in maintaining normal mitosis, enhancing tumor cell motility and viability. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 54654-54671.	0.8	4
332	Comparison on efficacy of radical prostatectomy versus external beam radiotherapy for the treatment of localized prostate cancer. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 79854-79863.	0.8	20
333	The Use of Peri-operative Tranexamic Acid and its Potential Applications to Urological Surgery. The Open Urology & Nephrology Journal, 2018, 11, 79-86.	0.2	2
334	Patterns of positive surgical margins after open radical prostatectomy and their association with clinical recurrence. Minerva Urologica E Nefrologica = the Italian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2020, 72, 464-473.	3.9	13
335	Gleason Pattern 5 is a Possible Pathologic Predictor for Biochemical Recurrence after Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2019, 20, 783-788.	0.5	2
336	Significance and management of positive surgical margins at the time of radical prostatectomy. Indian Journal of Urology, 2014, 30, 423.	0.2	36
337	Newer concepts in neural anatomy and neurovascular preservation in robotic radical prostatectomy. Indian Journal of Urology, 2014, 30, 399.	0.2	12
338	Perineural invasion status, Gleason score and number of positive cores in biopsy pathology are predictors of positive surgical margin following laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Asian Journal of Andrology, 2017, 19, 468.	0.8	11
339	Intermittent, low-dose, antiandrogen monotherapy as an alternative therapeutic option for patients with positive surgical margins after radical prostatectomy. Asian Journal of Andrology, 2018, 20, 270.	0.8	3
340	Biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy: Current status of its use as a treatment endpoint and early management strategies. Indian Journal of Urology, 2019, 35, 6.	0.2	23
341	Changing Patterns of Primary Treatment in Korean Men with Prostate Cancer Over 10 Years: A Nationwide Population Based Study. Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 48, 899-906.	1.3	30
342	Health-Related Quality of Life Changes in Prostate Cancer Patients after Radical Prostatectomy: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 51, 556-567.	1.3	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
343	Reporting and methodological quality of meta-analyses in urological literature. PeerJ, 2017, 5, e3129.	0.9	17
344	Current status of robotic surgery: what is different from laparoscopic surgery?. Journal of the Korean Medical Association, 2012, 55, 610.	0.1	3
345	Fistule recto-ur \tilde{A} ©trale apr \tilde{A} 's prostatectomie laparoscopique : \tilde{A} propos de 2 cas et revue de la litt \tilde{A} ©rature. Research, 0, fr 1 , .	0.0	0
346	Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. , 2015, , 111-120.		0
347	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). , 2015, , 1-11.		0
348	Treatment of the Prostate Cancer. , 2015, , 29-55.		0
349	Alternative Verfahren bei Prostatakrebs., 2016,, 1-63.		0
350	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). , 2016, , 1133-1140.		1
351	Prostatic Bleeding after Prostatic Biopsy Effects Oncological Outcomes with Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2016, 17, 1373-1377.	0.5	0
352	Robotic Surgery in Prostate Cancer. , 2017, , 205-229.		0
353	Robotik. WissenKompakt Medizin, 2018, , 41-51.	0.0	0
354	Robot-Assisted Kidney Transplantation. , 2018, , 697-712.		0
355	Radical Prostatectomy in the Metastatic Setting. , 2018, , 169-184.		0
356	Surgical site infections after radical prostatectomy: A comparative study between robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy and retropubic radical prostatectomy. Turkish Journal of Urology, 2018, 44, 303-310.	1.3	3
357	Significance of positive surgical margin and how to minimize in robotic radical prostatectomy. Urology & Nephrology Open Access Journal, 2018, 6, 136-141.	0.1	0
358	Comparison of Surgical Outcomes of Radical Prostatectomy Using the Multicenter Korean Prostate Cancer Registry (KPCR) Database. Journal of Health Informatics and Statistics, 2018, 43, 175-183.	0.1	0
359	Extrafascial robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in locally advanced prostate cancer. Minerva Chirurgica, 2019, 74, 78-87.	0.8	3
360	Insurance Coverage and Introduction of Robotic-Assisted Rectal Surgery. Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi, 2019, 72, 575-582.	0.1	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
361	An evaluation of response to therapy in patients undergoing radiotherapy or surgery in the treatment of prostate cancer. Medeniyet Medical Journal, 2019, 34, 263-270.	0.4	0
362	Outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy versus open prostatectomy in surgical intervention of localized prostate cancer. Clinical Research in Practice the Journal of Team Hippocrates, 2019, 5, .	0.0	O
363	Korumak ya da korumamak? Robotik radikal prostatektomide mesane boynu. Yeni Üroloji Dergisi, 0, , .	0.1	0
365	Post-Treatment MR Imaging of Prostate. , 2020, , 155-170.		О
366	Effect of pelvimetric diameters on success of surgery in patients submitted to robot-assisted perineal radical prostatectomy. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2020, 46, 425-433.	0.7	2
368	The Impact Of Bladder Neck Sparing During Robot Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: 6-Year Experience of Surgeon That Defined The Technique. İstanbul Kuzey Klinikleri, 2020, 8, 269-274.	0.1	2
369	"Maximum Preservation Radical Prostatectomy― Oncological, functional and other contemporary aspects of Retzius Sparing Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Archives of Cancer Science and Therapy, 2020, 4, 024-028.	0.0	0
370	Comparative Effectiveness Analyses of Salvage Prostatectomy and Salvage Radiotherapy Outcomes Following Focal or Whole-Gland Ablative Therapy (High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound, Cryotherapy) Tj ETQq1 1	0.784614	rgBT /Overloc
371	Laparoscopic Port-Site Metastasis From Prostate Cancer on 18F-Fluciclovine PET/CT. Clinical Nuclear Medicine, 2021, 46, e279-e281.	0.7	2
372	In-vivo assessment of barbed suturing thread with regard to tissue reaction and material absorption in a rat model. Clujul Medical, 2013, 86, 371-6.	0.1	3
373	Robotic-assisted laparoscopic versus open salvage radical prostatectomy following radiotherapy. Canadian Journal of Urology, 2016, 23, 8271-7.	0.0	14
374	Robotic Surgical System for Radical Prostatectomy: A Health Technology Assessment. Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series, 2017, 17, 1-172.	3.0	15
375	Surgical Management for Prostate Cancer. Missouri Medicine, 2018, 115, 142-145.	0.3	4
376	Improving access to surgical innovation in the community: Implementation of shared access model in Canadian healthcare. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2019, , E300-E302.	0.3	2
377	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy for Localized Prostate Cancer From the Brazilian Public System Perspective. Value in Health Regional Issues, 2022, 29, 60-65.	0.5	4
378	Blood transfusion had no influence on the 5-year biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a retrospective study. BMC Urology, 2021, 21, 160.	0.6	1
379	Annual nationwide analysis of costs and post-operative outcomes after radical prostatectomy according to the surgical approach (open, laparoscopic, and robotic). World Journal of Urology, 2022, 40, 419-425.	1.2	11
380	Randomized controlled trial comparing open anterograde anatomic radical retropubic prostatectomy with retrograde technique. Asian Journal of Urology, 2021, , .	0.5	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
381	Can Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy Improve Functional Outcomes Compared to Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy? Experience at a Laparoscopic Center. Urological Science, 2019, 30, 124-130.	0.2	3
382	Feasibility and safety of radical prostatectomy for oligoâ€metastatic prostate cancer: the Testing Radical prostatectomy in men with prostate cancer and oligoâ€Metastases to the bone (TRoMbone) trial. BJU International, 2022, 130, 43-53.	1.3	26
383	Introduction and Short-term Results of Robot-assisted Rectal Surgery in a City Hospital. Nihon Daicho Komonbyo Gakkai Zasshi, 2022, 75, 63-70.	0.1	0
384	A study on setting standards for near-infrared fluorescence-image guided surgery (NIRFGS) time lapse monitoring based on preoperative liver function assessment. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2022, 10, 96-96.	0.7	2
385	Robotic surgery: an evolution in practice. Journal of Surgical Protocols and Research Methodologies, 2022, 2022, .	0.2	10
386	Localized prostate cancer: An analysis of the CDC Breast and Prostate Cancer Data Quality and Patterns of Care study (CDC PoC-BP). Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2022, 16, .	0.3	O
387	Methodology to standardize heterogeneous statistical data presentations for combining time-to-event oncologic outcomes. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0263661.	1.1	8
388	Anaesthesia for Major Urological Surgery. Anesthesiology Clinics, 2022, 40, 175-197.	0.6	0
389	Cost-effectiveness of Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy for Localized Prostate Cancer in the UK. JAMA Network Open, 2022, 5, e225740.	2.8	15
390	Impact of nerve sparing in robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy on the risk of positive surgical margin and biochemical recurrence. International Journal of Urology, 2022, 29, 824-829.	0.5	7
392	ProstatectomÃa total robot asistida como tratamiento para el divertÃculo de uretra prostática, reporte de caso. Revista Mexicana De Urologia, 2022, 82, 1-8.	0.0	0
394	Impact of human placental derivative allografts on functional and oncological outcomes after radical prostatectomy: a literature review. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 0, , .	1.0	1
395	The impact of hegemonic masculine ideals on selfâ€esteem in prostate cancer patients undergoing androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) compared to ADTâ€naÃ⁻ve patients. Psycho-Oncology, 2022, 31, 1958-1971.	1.0	5
396	Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection at the Time of Radical Prostatectomy: Extended? Of Course Not!. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 44, 18-19.	0.2	2
397	Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection at the Time of Radical Prostatectomy: Extended or Not. The Referee Point of View. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 44, 24-26.	0.2	1
398	Clinical outcomes following robotic versus conventional DIEP flap in breast reconstruction: A retrospective matched study. Frontiers in Oncology, 0, 12 , .	1.3	9
399	Surgical Results and Complications for Open, Laparoscopic, and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Reverse Systematic Review. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 44, 150-161.	0.2	16
400	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy for Localized Prostate Cancer From the Brazilian Public System Perspective. Value in Health Regional Issues, 2023, 33, 7-9.	0.5	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
401	Management of Extracapsular Extension and Positive Surgical Margins Following Robot-Assisted, Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy., 2022,, 373-384.		0
402	Outcomes of RALP: An Evidence-Based Approach. , 2022, , 199-216.		0
403	Determining the component-based operative time learning curve for robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. Current Urology, 2022, 16, 240-245.	0.4	1
404	Systematic literature review of cost-effectiveness analyses of robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy for localised prostate cancer. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e058394.	0.8	6
405	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). Springer Reference Medizin, 2022, , 1-8.	0.0	0
406	Virtual classroom proficiency-based progression for robotic surgery training (VROBOT): a randomised, prospective, cross-over, effectiveness study. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2023, 17, 629-635.	1.0	3
407	Perioperative Red Cell Line Trend Following Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy for Prostate Cancer. Medicina (Lithuania), 2022, 58, 1520.	0.8	3
408	Patients Regret Their Choice of Therapy Significantly Less Frequently after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Patient-Reported Results of the Multicenter Cross-Sectional IMPROVE Study. Cancers, 2022, 14, 5356.	1.7	6
409	Clinical Analysis of Perioperative Outcomes on Neoadjuvant Hormone Therapy before Laparoscopic and Robot-Assisted Surgery for Localized High-Risk Prostate Cancer in a Chinese Cohort. Current Oncology, 2022, 29, 8668-8676.	0.9	3
411	Complications in Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Prevention and Management. , 2022, , 377-386.		0
413	MR linac radiation therapy: A real-time personalized approach for prostate cancer. Advances in Magnetic Resonance Technology and Applications, 2023, , 341-365.	0.0	0
414	Super-Extended Robot Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. , 2022, , 351-358.		0
415	Robotic surgery: is it here to flourish?. Medical Journal Armed Forces India, 2023, 79, 1-5.	0.3	1
416	3D-Reconstructed Contact Surface Area and Tumour Volume on Magnetic Resonance Imaging Improve the Prediction of Extraprostatic Extension of Prostate Cancer. Journal of Digital Imaging, 2023, 36, 486-496.	1.6	3
417	Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Based Intervention in Medical Infrastructure: A Review and Future Trends. Healthcare (Switzerland), 2023, 11, 207.	1.0	17
418	Recent Developments in Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy. European Medical Journal Urology, 0, , 12-18.	0.0	0
419	Open, Laparoscopic, and Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Oncological Results: A Reverse Systematic Review. Journal of Endourology, 2023, 37, 521-530.	1.1	5
420	The evolution of single-port robot-assisted transperineal radical prostatectomy., 2022,, 61-79.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
421	Comparison of Perioperative, Functional, and Oncological Outcomes of Transperitoneal and Extraperitoneal Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2023, 2023, 1-10.	0.1	0
422	Effects of Individualised High Positive End-Expiratory Pressure and Crystalloid Administration on Postoperative Pulmonary Function in Patients Undergoing Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective Randomised Single-Blinded Pilot Study. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2023, 12, 1460.	1.0	0
423	Radical prostatectomy trends between 2010 and 2020 in Ehime, Japan, identified using data from the Medical Investigation Cancer Network (MICAN) study. International Journal of Urology, 0, , .	0.5	1
427	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). Springer Reference Medizin, 2023, , 1357-1364.	0.0	0
438	Perspective Chapter: Perioperative Considerations for Patients Undergoing Robotic Radical Prostatectomy., 0,,.		0