Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Studies Report Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy

European Urology 62, 382-404 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2012.05.047

Citation Report

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	ecancermedicalscience. Ecancermedicalscience, 2013, 7, 355.	0.6	4
2	Best Practices in Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Recommendations of the Pasadena Consensus Panel. European Urology, 2012, 62, 368-381.	0.9	251
3	Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy – Fake Innovation or the Real Deal?. European Urology, 2012, 62, 365-367.	0.9	2
4	Re: Adverse Effects of Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Versus Open Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy Among a Nationwide Random Sample of Medicare-age Men. European Urology, 2012, 62, 933-935.	0.9	2
5	Comparative Cost-effectiveness of Robot-assisted and Standard Laparoscopic Prostatectomy as Alternatives to Open Radical Prostatectomy for Treatment of Men with Localised Prostate Cancer: A Health Technology Assessment from the Perspective of the UK National Health Service. European Urology, 2013, 64, 361-369.	0.9	91
6	Does Robotic Prostatectomy Meet Its Promise in the Management of Prostate Cancer?. Current Urology Reports, 2013, 14, 184-191.	1.0	11
8	Extraperitoneal robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a single-center experience beyond the learning curve. World Journal of Urology, 2013, 31, 447-453.	1.2	18
10	Longâ€ŧerm evaluation of survival, continence and potency (<scp>SCP</scp>) outcomes after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy (<scp>RARP</scp>). BJU International, 2013, 112, 338-345.	1.3	46
11	Robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy compared with open and laparoscopic approaches: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 312-321.	0.5	96
12	Comparisons of perioperative outcomes and costs between open and laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: A propensityâ€score matching analysis based on the <scp>J</scp> apanese <scp>D</scp> iagnosis <scp>P</scp> rocedure <scp>C</scp> ombination database. International Journal of Urology. 2013. 20. 349-353.	0.5	11
13	Current status of robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: How does it compare with other surgical approaches?. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 271-284.	0.5	24
14	Salvage Radiotherapy After Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Urology, 2013, 82, 834-839.	0.5	7
15	Oncological vs functional outcomes for RARP—finding a balance. Nature Reviews Urology, 2013, 10, 563-564.	1.9	0
16	EAU Guidelines on Robotic and Single-site Surgery in Urology. European Urology, 2013, 64, 277-291.	0.9	141
17	Surgical Management of Prostate Cancer. Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America, 2013, 27, 1111-1135.	0.9	11
18	Retropubic, Laparoscopic, or Robotic Radical Prostatectomy: Is There Any Real Difference?. Seminars in Oncology, 2013, 40, 286-296.	0.8	12
19	Urinary incontinence after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: Pathophysiology and intraoperative techniques to improve surgical outcome. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 1052-1063.	0.5	86
21	Perioperatieve, oncologische en functionele leercurves van robotgeassisteerde laparoscopische radicale prostatectomie (RALP) in een hoogvolumeziekenhuis. Tijdschrift Voor Urologie, 2013, 3, 190-200.	0.1	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
22	Introductie van robotgeassisteerde prostatectomie en effecten op oncologische uitkomsten. Tijdschrift Voor Urologie, 2013, 3, 201-206.	0.1	0
23	Reply to Stefano C.M. Picozzi, Cristian Ricci and Luca Carmignani's Letter to the Editor re: Giacomo Novara, Vincenzo Ficarra, Simone Mocellin, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Studies Reporting Oncologic Outcome After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2012;62:382–404. European Urology, 2013, 63, e29-e31.	0.9	5
24	Re: Giacomo Novara, Vincenzo Ficarra, Simone Mocellin, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Studies Reporting Oncologic Outcome After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2012;62:382–404. European Urology, 2013, 63, e27-e28.	0.9	4
25	Reply to Michael Froehner and Manfred P. Wirth's Letter to the Editor re: Vincenzo Ficarra, Giacomo Novara, Raymond C. Rosen, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Studies Reporting Urinary Continence Recovery After Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2012;62:405–17. European Urology, 2013, 63, e39-e40.	0.9	0
26	European Urology: Quality, Impact, Online. European Urology, 2013, 64, 523-524.	0.9	3
27	Beyond the Learning Curve of the Retzius-sparing Approach for Robot-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Oncologic and Functional Results of the First 200 Patients with ≥1 Year of Follow-up. European Urology, 2013, 64, 974-980.	0.9	205
29	Roboticâ€assisted Colorectal Surgery in the United States: A Nationwide Analysis of Trends and Outcomes. World Journal of Surgery, 2013, 37, 2782-2790.	0.8	161
30	Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy after the First Decade: Surgical Evolution or New Paradigm. ISRN Urology, 2013, 2013, 1-22.	1.5	35
31	Robotic Surgery. Cancer Journal (Sudbury, Mass), 2013, 19, 133-139.	1.0	32
32	Safe Introduction of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy after a Training Program in a High-Volume Robotic Centre. Urologia Internationalis, 2013, 91, 145-152.	0.6	6
33	The <scp>E</scp> uropean <scp>A</scp> ssociation of <scp>U</scp> rology <scp>R</scp> obotic <scp>U</scp> rology <scp>S</scp> ection (<scp>ERUS</scp>) survey of robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy (<scp>RARP</scp>). BJU International, 2013, 111, 596-603.	1.3	36
34	Efficacy of Robotic-Assisted Prostatectomy in Localized Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review of Clinical Trials. Advances in Urology, 2013, 2013, 1-6.	0.6	17
35	Editorial Comment to Predictors for positive surgical margins after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: A single surgeon's series in <scp>J</scp> apan. International Journal of Urology, 2013, 20, 879-879.	0.5	0
36	Robotic and standard open radical prostatectomy: oncological and quality-of-life outcomes. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2013, 2, 293-299.	0.6	13
37	Relative effectiveness of robotâ€assisted and standard laparoscopic prostatectomy as alternatives to open radical prostatectomy for treatment of localised prostate cancer: a systematic review and mixed treatment comparison metaâ€analysis. BJU International, 2013, 112, 798-812.	1.3	69
38	Tissue Quality Assessment Using a Novel Direct Elasticity Assessment Device (The E-Finger): A Cadaveric Study of Prostatectomy Dissection. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e112872.	1.1	9
39	CURRENT TECHNIQUES TO IMPROVE OUTCOMES FOR EARLY RETURN OF URINARY CONTINENCE FOLLOWING ROBOT-ASSISTED RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY. Fukushima Journal of Medical Sciences, 2014, 60, 1-13.	0.1	23
40	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Another Canadian experience. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2014, 8, 98.	0.3	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
41	Understanding the adoption dynamics of medical innovations: Affordances of the da Vinci robot in the Netherlands. Social Science and Medicine, 2014, 117, 125-133.	1.8	41
42	Endopelvic fascia preservation during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: Does it affect urinary incontinence?. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2014, 48, 506-512.	0.6	20
43	A Novel Design for Steerable Instruments Based on Laser-Cut Nitinol. Surgical Innovation, 2014, 21, 303-311.	0.4	14
44	What Is Next in Robotic Urology?. Current Urology Reports, 2014, 15, 460.	1.0	5
45	Radical prostatectomy: initial experience with robot-assisted laparoscopic procedures at a large university hospital. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2014, 48, 252-258.	0.6	11
46	Positive Surgical Margin Trends In Patients With Pathologic T3 Prostate Cancer Treated With Robot Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 0, , 150127063130004.	1.1	1
47	Extended vs nonâ€extended pelvic lymph node dissection and their influence on recurrenceâ€free survival in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a systematic review and metaâ€analysis of comparative studies. BJU International, 2014, 113, E39-48.	1.3	62
48	First Report on Joint Use of a Da Vinci® Surgical System with Transfer of Surgical Know-How between Two Public Hospitals. Urologia Internationalis, 2014, 93, 1-9.	0.6	0
49	Application in robotic urologic surgery. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association, 2014, 77, 242-245.	0.6	9
50	Fascial Layers in Nerve Sparing Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urology Practice, 2014, 1, 86-91.	0.2	1
51	High dose rate brachytherapy boost for prostate cancer: A systematic review. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2014, 40, 414-425.	3.4	57
52	Striated Muscle in the Prostatic Apex: Does the Amount in Radical Prostatectomy Specimens Predict Postprostatectomy Urinary Incontinence?. Urology, 2014, 83, 888-892.	0.5	10
53	Positive Surgical Margins After Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review and Contemporary Update. European Urology, 2014, 65, 303-313.	0.9	319
54	Capsular incision in normal prostatic tissue during robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a new concept or a waste of time?. World Journal of Urology, 2014, 32, 1235-1240.	1.2	3
55	Statins: protectors or pretenders in prostate cancer?. Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism, 2014, 25, 188-196.	3.1	36
56	EAU Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part 1: Screening, Diagnosis, and Local Treatment with Curative Intent—Update 2013. European Urology, 2014, 65, 124-137.	0.9	1,613
57	Open Conversion during Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy: Impact on Perioperative Complications and Predictors from National Data. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 1657-1662.	0.2	17
58	Pitfalls of robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: A comparison of positive surgical margins between robotic and laparoscopic surgery. International Journal of Urology, 2014, 21, 976-979.	0.5	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
59	Histologic Confirmation of a Biochemical Recurrence After Radical Prostatectomy by Performing 3-Dimensional Transrectal Ultrasonography–guided Biopsy With Fusion to Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Urology, 2014, 84, e17-e18.	0.5	1
60	Impact of a Single-surgeon Learning Curve on Complications, Positioning Injuries, and Renal Function in Patients Undergoing Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection. Urology, 2014, 84, 1106-1111.	0.5	29
61	Models of Assessment of Comparative Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Surgery. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 597-606.	0.8	6
62	Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, 473-484.	0.8	65
63	Comparison of Robot-Assisted and Open Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy for Risk of Biochemical Progression in Men with Positive Surgical Margins. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 208-213.	1.1	6
64	Robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery: recent advances in urology. Fertility and Sterility, 2014, 102, 939-949.	0.5	38
65	How to Optimize Patient Selection for Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Functional Outcome Analyses from a Tertiary Referral Center. Journal of Endourology, 2014, 28, 792-800.	1.1	22
66	Matched comparison of outcomes following open and minimally invasive radical prostatectomy for high-risk patients. World Journal of Urology, 2014, 32, 1411-1416.	1.2	19
67	Preoperative prognostic factors for biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in Japan. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 19, 702-707.	1.0	4
68	Outcome of Radical Prostatectomy: Is It the Approach or the Surgical Expertise?. European Urology, 2014, 66, 457-458.	0.9	9
69	On the Way Toward Better Evidence for Minimally Invasive Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse. European Urology, 2014, 65, 1138-1139.	0.9	1
70	Superior Quality of Life and Improved Surgical Margins Are Achievable with Robotic Radical Prostatectomy After a Long Learning Curve: A Prospective Single-surgeon Study of 1552 Consecutive Cases. European Urology, 2014, 65, 521-531.	0.9	139
71	A Multinational, Multi-institutional Study Comparing Positive Surgical Margin Rates Among 22 393 Open, Laparoscopic, and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy Patients. European Urology, 2014, 66, 450-456.	0.9	116
72	The Robotic Approach Does Not Change the Current Paradigms of Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection for Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2014, 65, 17-19.	0.9	0
73	Caveat Emptor. European Urology, 2014, 66, 673-675.	0.9	4
74	Comparisons of the Perioperative, Functional, and Oncologic Outcomes After Robot-Assisted Versus Pure Extraperitoneal Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2014, 65, 610-619.	0.9	74
75	Will the Future of Health Care Lead to the End of the Robotic Golden Years?. European Urology, 2014, 65, 325-327.	0.9	12
76	Low Detectable Prostate Specific Antigen after Radical Prostatectomy—Treat or Watch?. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 1390-1396.	0.2	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
77	The surgical approach can be determined from the pathological specimen obtained after open or robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. World Journal of Urology, 2014, 32, 489-493.	1.2	2
78	Prediction of biochemical recurrence after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: Analysis of 784 <scp>J</scp> apanese patients. International Journal of Urology, 2015, 22, 188-193.	0.5	21
79	Quality of Preoperative Biopsy Is a Risk Factor for Positive Surgical Margins in Organ-Confined Prostate Cancer Treated with Nerve-Sparing Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Urologia Internationalis, 2015, 95, 465-471.	0.6	7
80	Longâ€ŧerm outcomes of robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: Where do we stand?. BJU International, 2015, 116, 845-846.	1.3	0
82	Docetaxel, bevacizumab, and androgen deprivation therapy for biochemical disease recurrence after definitive local therapy for prostate cancer. Cancer, 2015, 121, 2603-2611.	2.0	9
83	Is previous experience in laparoscopic necessary to perform robotic radical prostatectomy? A comparative study with robotic and the classic open procedure in patients with prostate cancer. Acta Cirurgica Brasileira, 2015, 30, 229-234.	0.3	7
84	Clinical utility of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: advances and current status. Robotic Surgery (Auckland), 0, , 43.	1.3	0
85	Prevalence and risk factors of contralateral extraprostatic extension in men undergoing radical prostatectomy for unilateral disease at biopsy: A global multi-institutional experience. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2015, 9, 434.	0.3	1
86	Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy After Previous Prostate Surgery. Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons, 2015, 19, e2015.00080.	0.5	14
88	Robotic assisted radical prostatectomy. Apollo Medicine, 2015, 12, 82-86.	0.0	0
90	Robotic surgery in urological oncology: patient care or market share?. Nature Reviews Urology, 2015, 12, 55-60.	1.9	24
91	Resultados de pentafecta en prostatectomÃa radical robótica: primeros 100 casos en un hospital público latinoamericano. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2015, 39, 20-25.	0.3	5
92	Re: Comparative Effectiveness of Robot-assisted Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy Cancer Control. European Urology, 2015, 67, 589.	0.9	0
93	No impact of blood transfusion on oncological outcome after radical prostatectomy in patients with prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2015, 33, 801-806.	1.2	39
95	A Prospective Controlled Nonrandomized Trial of Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: On Point but Still Missed?. European Urology, 2015, 68, 226-227.	0.9	0
96	Robotics in urological surgery: Evolution, current status and future perspectives. Actas Urológicas Españolas (English Edition), 2015, 39, 435-441.	0.2	6
97	Minimum 5â€year followâ€up of 1138 consecutive laparoscopic radical prostatectomies. BJU International, 2015, 115, 546-553.	1.3	15
98	Acceptance, Prevalence and Indications for Robot-Assisted Laparoscopy - Results of a Survey Among Urologists in Germany, Austria and Switzerland. Urologia Internationalis, 2015, 95, 336-345.	0.6	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
100	Urinary Incontinence and Erectile Dysfunction After Robotic Versus Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective, Controlled, Nonrandomised Trial. European Urology, 2015, 68, 216-225.	0.9	347
101	La robótica en la cirugÃa urológica: evolución, estado actual y perspectivas futuras. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2015, 39, 435-441.	0.3	9
103	Organ-Confined Prostate Cancer: Are We Moving Towards More or Less Radical Surgical Intervention?. Current Urology Reports, 2015, 16, 27.	1.0	3
104	Risk factors for biochemical recurrence after robotic assisted radical prostatectomy: a single surgeon experience. BMC Urology, 2015, 15, 27.	0.6	14
105	Does Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Reduce the Rate of Positive Surgical Margins at Radical Prostatectomy in a Randomised Clinical Trial?. European Urology, 2015, 68, 487-496.	0.9	57
106	Laparoscopic versus roboticâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: an <scp>A</scp> ustralian singleâ€surgeon series. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2015, 85, 154-158.	0.3	22
107	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer. Future Oncology, 2015, 11, 2767-2773.	1.1	12
108	Role of MRI in the diagnosis and management of prostate cancer. Future Oncology, 2015, 11, 2757-2766.	1.1	10
109	Positive Surgical Margin Trends in Patients with Pathologic T ₃ Prostate Cancer Treated with Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2015, 29, 634-639.	1.1	14
110	Oncologic Outcomes at 10 Years Following Robotic Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2015, 67, 1168-1176.	0.9	103
111	Pentafecta outcomes after robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: First 100 cases in Latinoamerican Hospital. Actas Urológicas Españolas (English Edition), 2015, 39, 20-25.	0.2	2
112	The impact of length and location of positive margins in predicting biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy with a minimum follow-up of 5 years. BJU International, 2015, 115, 106-113.	1.3	56
113	Complications of the First 500 Extra-Peritoneal Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy (EP-RARP) Cases in an Italian Medium Volume Centre. Urologia, 2016, 83, 152-162.	0.3	1
114	Oncological results at 2 years after robotic radical prostatectomy – the Romanian experience. Central European Journal of Urology, 2016, 69, 48-52.	0.2	0
115	Comparison of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Open Radical Prostatectomy Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Yonsei Medical Journal, 2016, 57, 1165.	0.9	71
116	Past, present and future of urological robotic surgery. Investigative and Clinical Urology, 2016, 57, 75.	1.0	25
117	4-Ports endoscopic extraperitoneal radical prostatectomy: preliminary and learning curve results. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2016, 42, 438-448.	0.7	3
118	Outpatient Robotic Radical Prostatectomy: Matched-Pair Comparison with Inpatient Surgery. Journal of Endourology, 2016, 30, S-52-S-56.	1.1	42

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
119	The importance of surgical margins in prostate cancer. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 113, 310-315.	0.8	36
120	Validation of an educational program balancing surgeon training and surgical quality control during robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Urology, 2016, 23, 160-166.	0.5	18
121	Gigapixel surface imaging of radical prostatectomy specimens for comprehensive detection of cancer-positive surgical margins using structured illumination microscopy. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 27419.	1.6	44
122	Robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in the setting of previous abdominal surgery: Perioperative results, oncological and functional outcomes, and complications in a single surgeon's series. International Journal of Surgery, 2016, 36, 170-176.	1.1	16
124	Initiation of robot-assisted radical prostatectomies in Finland: Impact on centralization and quality of care. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 149-154.	0.6	16
125	Accurate prediction tools in prostate cancer require consistent assessment of included variables. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 260-266.	0.6	3
126	Patient comorbidity predicts hospital length of stay after robot-assisted prostatectomy. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2016, 10, 151-156.	1.0	16
127	Intermediate-term cancer control outcomes in prostate cancer patients treated with robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a multi-institutional analysis. World Journal of Urology, 2016, 34, 1357-1366.	1.2	13
128	Navigation of a robot-integrated fluorescence laparoscope in preoperative SPECT/CT and intraoperative freehand SPECT imaging data: a phantom study. Journal of Biomedical Optics, 2016, 21, 086008.	1.4	19
129	Applications of indocyanine green in robotic urology. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2016, 10, 357-359.	1.0	27
130	Robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy versus open radical retropubic prostatectomy: early outcomes from a randomised controlled phase 3 study. Lancet, The, 2016, 388, 1057-1066.	6.3	539
131	Stratified analysis of 800 Asian patients after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy with a median 64 months of follow up. International Journal of Urology, 2016, 23, 765-774.	0.5	9
133	Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging and frozen-section analysis efficiently predict upgrading, upstaging, and extraprostatic extension in patients undergoing nerve-sparing robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. Medicine (United States), 2016, 95, e4519.	0.4	20
134	Is Surgery Still Necessary for Prostate Cancer?. , 2016, , 235-243.		0
135	Prognostic Significance of Positive Surgical Margins and Other Implications of Pathology Report. , 2016, , 295-306.		0
136	Comparison of outcomes and toxicities among radiation therapy treatment options for prostate cancer. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2016, 48, 50-60.	3.4	53
137	Conditional Disease-free Survival After Radical Prostatectomy: Recurrence Risk Evolution Over Time. Urology, 2016, 94, 173-179.	0.5	18
139	Comparison of oncological and healthâ€related quality of life outcomes between open and robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy for localisedÂprostate cancer – findings from the populationâ€based Victorian Prostate Cancer Registry. BJU International, 2016, 118, 563-569.	1.3	29

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
142	Brachytherapy for Prostate Cancer: An Overview. , 2016, , 399-411.		0
143	A Multidimensional Analysis of Prostate Surgery Costs in the United States: Robotic-Assisted versus Retropubic Radical Prostatectomy. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 391-403.	0.1	25
144	Robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy (RALP): a new way to training. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2016, 10, 19-25.	1.0	14
147	Fluorescent Image–Guided Surgery with an Anti-Prostate Stem Cell Antigen (PSCA) Diabody Enables Targeted Resection of Mouse Prostate Cancer Xenografts in Real Time. Clinical Cancer Research, 2016, 22, 1403-1412.	3.2	40
148	Evaluation of positive surgical margins in patients undergoing robot-assisted and open radical prostatectomy according to preoperative risk groups. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2016, 34, 57.e1-57.e7.	0.8	21
149	da Vinci and Open Radical Prostatectomy: Comparison of Clinical Outcomes and Analysis of Insurance Costs. Urologia Internationalis, 2016, 96, 287-294.	0.6	25
150	Advanced Reconstruction of Vesicourethral Support (ARVUS) during Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: One-year Functional Outcomes in a Two-group Randomised Controlled Trial. European Urology, 2017, 71, 822-830.	0.9	54
151	Training Modalities in Robot-assisted Urologic Surgery: A Systematic Review. European Urology Focus, 2017, 3, 102-116.	1.6	19
152	Urethralâ€fixation technique improves early urinary continence recovery in patients who undergo retropubic radical prostatectomy. BJU International, 2017, 119, 245-253.	1.3	9
153	Early clinical experience with the da Vinci Xi Surgical System in general surgery. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2017, 11, 347-353.	1.0	23
154	Minimally Invasive Cancer Surgery: Indications and Outcomes. Seminars in Oncology Nursing, 2017, 33, 23-36.	0.7	10
155	Reality of nerve sparing and surgical margins in surgeons' early experience with robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy in Japan. International Journal of Urology, 2017, 24, 191-196.	0.5	11
156	The Role of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in High-Risk Prostate Cancer. Journal of Endourology, 2017, 31, 229-237.	1.1	12
157	Can We Accomplish Better Oncological Results with Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy?. Journal of Endourology, 2017, 31, S-54-S-58.	1.1	5
158	Health-related quality of life after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy compared with laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2017, 11, 325-331.	1.0	13
159	Surgical method influences specimen margins and biochemical recurrence during radical prostatectomy for high-risk prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. World Journal of Urology, 2017, 35, 1481-1488.	1.2	23
160	Long-term cancer control outcomes of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer treatment: a meta-analysis. International Urology and Nephrology, 2017, 49, 995-1005.	0.6	19
161	Robotic Gastric Bypass Surgery in the Swiss Health Care System: Analysis of Hospital Costs and Reimbursement. Obesity Surgery, 2017, 27, 2099-2105.	1.1	7

\sim		<u> </u>
LIAT	IUNI	KEPUKI
· · · · · ·	· · · ·	

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
162	Longâ€ŧerm adverse effects after retropubic and robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. Nationwide, populationâ€based study. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 116, 500-506.	0.8	12
164	Effect of Prior Focal Therapy on Perioperative, Oncologic and Functional Outcomes of Salvage Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology, 2017, 198, 1069-1076.	0.2	39
165	Cost of New Technologies in Prostate Cancer Treatment: Systematic Review of Costs and Cost Effectiveness of Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Prostatectomy, Intensity-modulated Radiotherapy, and Proton Beam Therapy. European Urology, 2017, 72, 712-735.	0.9	79
166	Diagnosis of prostate cancer by desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometric imaging of small metabolites and lipids. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2017, 114, 3334-3339.	3.3	174
167	The effect of Rapid Access Prostate Clinics on the outcomes of Gleason 7 prostate cancer: does earlier diagnosis lead to better outcomes?. Irish Journal of Medical Science, 2017, 186, 583-588.	0.8	0
168	Radical prostatectomy in Denmark: Survival analysis and temporal trends in clinicopathological parameters with up to 20 years of follow-up. Surgical Oncology, 2017, 26, 21-27.	0.8	8
170	Intrafascial versus interfascial nerve sparing in radical prostatectomy for localized prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 11454.	1.6	22
171	Current status of robotic surgery in urology. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2017, 10, 372-381.	0.4	23
172	Evidencedâ€based clinical practice guideline for prostate cancer (summary: Japanese Urological) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rg	BT/Qverlc	ck 10 Tf 50 4
173	Robotic Surgery: Risks vs. Rewards. AORN Journal, 2017, 106, 186-186.	0.2	2
174	Improving postoperative radiotherapy following radical prostatectomy. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2017, 17, 925-937.	1.1	0
175	Retropubic Radical ProstatectomyRadical Prostatectomy. , 2017, , 231-249.		0
176	Comparative study of laparoscopic radical prostatectomy and robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy on perioperative, oncological and functional outcomes. Surgical Practice, 2017, 21, 141-148.	0.1	2
177	Systematic Review of Studies Reporting Positive Surgical Margins After Bladder Neck Sparing Radical Prostatectomy. Current Urology Reports, 2017, 18, 99.	1.0	34
178	Neurovascular bundle size measured on 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging is associated with the recovery of erectile function after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2017, 35, 542.e11-542.e17.	0.8	4
179	Will Retzius-sparing Prostatectomy Be the Future of Prostate Cancer Surgery?. European Urology, 2017, 72, 686-688.	0.9	14
180	Imaging on nodal staging of prostate cancer. Future Oncology, 2017, 13, 551-565.	1.1	2

181Full Neurovascular Sparing Extraperitoneal Robotic Radical Prostatectomy: Our Experience with
PERUSIA Technique. Journal of Endourology, 2017, 31, 32-37.1.130

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
182	Biopsy Based Proteomic Assay Predicts Risk of Biochemical Recurrence after Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, 1034-1040.	0.2	10
183	Intraoperative workload in robotic surgery assessed by wearable motion tracking sensors and questionnaires. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 877-886.	1.3	84
184	Comparison of perioperative, functional, and oncologic outcomes between standard laparoscopic and robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 1045-1060.	1.3	52
185	Robotic and Open Radical Prostatectomy: The First Prospective Randomised Controlled Trial Fuels Debate Rather than Closing the Question. European Urology, 2017, 71, 307-308.	0.9	11
186	The Surgical Management of Prostate Cancer. Seminars in Oncology, 2017, 44, 347-357.	0.8	60
187	Robotic <i>vs</i> . Retropubic radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer: A systematic review and a meta-analysis update. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 32237-32257.	0.8	53
188	Positive surgical margins and biochemical recurrence following minimally-invasive radical prostatectomy – An analysis of outcomes from a UK tertiary referral centre. BMC Urology, 2017, 17, 91.	0.6	33
189	Open radical prostatectomy reproducing robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Involving antegrade nerve sparing and continuous anastomosis. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2017, 43, 1043-1051.	0.7	7
190	Prostatectomies for localized prostate cancer: a mixed comparison network and cumulative meta-analysis. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2018, 12, 633-639.	1.0	2
191	Positive Surgical Margins in the 10 Most Common Solid Cancers. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 5686.	1.6	162
192	De novo overactive bladder after robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2018, 37, 2008-2014.	0.8	27
193	Factores predictivos de recidiva bioquÃmica temprana, intermedia y tardÃa tras prostatectomÃa radical mÃnimamente invasiva en una cohorte unicéntrica con seguimiento medio de 8 años. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2018, 42, 516-523.	0.3	2
194	Lymph node yield during radical prostatectomy does not impact rate of biochemical recurrence in patients with seminal vesicle invasion and node-negative disease. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2018, 36, 310.e1-310.e6.	0.8	8
194 195	Lymph node yield during radical prostatectomy does not impact rate of biochemical recurrence in patients with seminal vesicle invasion and node-negative disease. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2018, 36, 310.e1-310.e6. Prostateâ€specific antigen screening impacts on biochemical recurrence in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer. International Journal of Urology, 2018, 25, 561-567.	0.8	8
194 195 196	Lymph node yield during radical prostatectomy does not impact rate of biochemical recurrence in patients with seminal vesicle invasion and node-negative disease. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2018, 36, 310.e1-310.e6. Prostateâ€specific antigen screening impacts on biochemical recurrence in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer. International Journal of Urology, 2018, 25, 561-567. EAU and NICE guidelines for the diagnosis and management of prostate cancer. How wide is the channel?. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2018, 11, 149-153.	0.8 0.5 0.1	8 2 2
194 195 196 197	Lymph node yield during radical prostatectomy does not impact rate of biochemical recurrence in patients with seminal vesicle invasion and node-negative disease. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2018, 36, 310.e1-310.e6. Prostateâ€specific antigen screening impacts on biochemical recurrence in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer. International Journal of Urology, 2018, 25, 561-567. EAU and NICE guidelines for the diagnosis and management of prostate cancer. How wide is the channel?. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2018, 11, 149-153. Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Step-by-Step Guide. Journal of Endourology, 2018, 32, S-28-S-32.	0.8 0.5 0.1 1.1	8 2 2 15
194 195 196 197 198	Lymph node yield during radical prostatectomy does not impact rate of biochemical recurrence in patients with seminal vesicle invasion and node-negative disease. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2018, 36, 310.e1-310.e6. Prostateâ€specific antigen screening impacts on biochemical recurrence in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer. International Journal of Urology, 2018, 25, 561-567. EAU and NICE guidelines for the diagnosis and management of prostate cancer. How wide is the channel?. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2018, 11, 149-153. Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Step-by-Step Guide. Journal of Endourology, 2018, 32, S-28-S-32. Comparison of retropubic, laparoscopic and robotic radical prostatectomy: who is the winner?. World Journal of Urology, 2018, 36, 609-621.	0.8 0.5 0.1 1.1 1.2	8 2 2 15 100

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
200	Prostate Cancer. Medical Clinics of North America, 2018, 102, 215-229.	1.1	12
201	MRI Displays the Prostatic Cancer Anatomy and Improves the Bundles Management Before Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2018, 32, 315-321.	1.1	68
202	Review of optimal techniques for robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. Current Opinion in Urology, 2018, 28, 102-107.	0.9	3
203	Prospective longitudinal outcomes of quality of life after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy compared with retropubic radical prostatectomy. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2018, 16, 7.	1.0	6
204	Prostatic Artery Embolization in the Treatment of Localized Prostate Cancer: A Bicentric Prospective Proof-of-Concept Study of 12ÂPatients. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology, 2018, 29, 589-597.	0.2	36
205	Community-based Outcomes of Open versus Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2018, 73, 215-223.	0.9	45
206	Five-year Outcomes for a Prospective Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Laparoscopic and Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 80-86.	1.6	62
207	Ex vivo MRI evaluation of prostate cancer: Localization and margin status prediction of prostate cancer in fresh radical prostatectomy specimens. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2018, 47, 439-448.	1.9	8
208	Robotic radical prostatectomy with concomitant repair of inguinal hernia: is it safe?. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2018, 12, 325-330.	1.0	19
209	Oncological and functional outcomes of elderly men treated with HIFU vs. minimally invasive radical prostatectomy: A propensity score analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 185-191.	0.5	7
211	Robotic-assisted vs. open radical prostatectomy: an update to the never-ending debate. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2018, 7, S120-S123.	0.6	8
212	Analysis of the Learning Curve of Surgeons without Previous Experience in Laparoscopy to Perform Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Advances in Urology, 2018, 2018, 1-7.	0.6	5
213	Predictors of biochemical recurrence after Retziusâ€sparing robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: Analysis of 359 cases with a median followâ€up period of 26Âmonths. International Journal of Urology, 2018, 25, 1006-1014.	0.5	13
214	Health Services Research and Robotic Surgery. , 2018, , 235-252.		Ο
215	Predictors of early, intermediate and late biochemical recurrence after minimally invasive radical prostatectomy in a single-center cohort with a mean follow-up of 8 years. Actas Urológicas Españolas (English Edition), 2018, 42, 516-523.	0.2	0
217	Functional and Oncological Outcomes of Robotic Radical Prostatectomy. , 2018, , 409-425.		0
218	Robotic Radical Prostatectomy: Margins Positivity and Implications on Cancer Control. , 2018, , 471-486.		0
219	Prognostic significance of six clinicopathological features for biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Oncotarget, 2018, 9, 32238-32249.	0.8	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
220	A Novel Approach for Apical Dissection During Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: The "Collar― Technique. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 677-685.	1.6	30
221	Incidence and location of positive surgical margin among open, laparoscopic and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in prostate cancer patients: a single institutional analysis. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 48, 765-770.	0.6	22
222	Quality indicators of clinical cancer care for prostate cancer: a population-based study in southern Switzerland. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 733.	1.1	5
223	The age of robotic surgery – Is laparoscopy dead?. Arab Journal of Urology Arab Association of Urology, 2018, 16, 262-269.	0.7	16
224	Safety and Clinical Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Kidney Transplant Patients: A Systematic Review. Journal of Endourology, 2018, 32, 935-943.	1.1	14
225	Preoperative Prostate MRI: A Road Map for Surgery. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2018, 211, 383-391.	1.0	26
226	Hyaluronic acid formulation of near infrared fluorophores optimizes surgical imaging in a prostate tumor xenograft. Acta Biomaterialia, 2018, 75, 323-333.	4.1	31
227	The impact on oncological outcomes after radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer of converting soft tissue margins at the apex and bladder neck from tumour-positive to -negative. BJU International, 2019, 123, 811-817.	1.3	8
228	Unintended consequences of decreased PSA-based prostate cancer screening. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 489-496.	1.2	28
229	Preventing Erectile Dysfunction after Radical Prostatectomy: Nerve-Sparing Techniques, Penile Rehabilitation, and Novel Regenerative Therapies. , 0, , .		2
230	Variation in Positive Surgical Margin Status After Radical Prostatectomy for pT2 Prostate Cancer. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2019, 17, e1060-e1068.	0.9	11
231	Contemporary treatments in prostate cancer focal therapy. Current Opinion in Oncology, 2019, 31, 200-206.	1.1	68
232	Anatomic robotic prostatectomy: current best practice. Therapeutic Advances in Urology, 2019, 11, 175628721881378.	0.9	13
233	Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy before radical prostatectomy predicts biochemical recurrence better than CAPRA-S. International Journal of Nanomedicine, 2019, Volume 14, 431-440.	3.3	17
234	Index tumor volume on MRI as a predictor of clinical and pathologic outcomes following radical prostatectomy. International Urology and Nephrology, 2019, 51, 1349-1355.	0.6	8
235	Oncological safety of intrafascial nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy compared with conventional process: a pooled review and meta-regression analysis based on available studies. BMC Urology, 2019, 19, 41.	0.6	7
236	Extent of positive surgical margins following radical prostatectomy: impact on biochemical recurrence with long-term follow-up. BMC Urology, 2019, 19, 37.	0.6	17
237	Predictive factors for short-term biochemical recurrence-free survival after robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in high-risk prostate cancer patients. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 24, 1099-1104.	1.0	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
238	Comparison of renal function after robot - assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy versus retropubic radical prostatectomy. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2019, 45, 83-88.	0.7	3
240	A comparative study of robotâ€assisted and open radical prostatectomy in 10Â790 men treated by highly trained surgeons for both procedures. BJU International, 2019, 123, 1031-1040.	1.3	76
241	Total anatomical reconstruction during robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: focus on urinary continence recovery and related complications after 1000 procedures. BJU International, 2019, 124, 477-486.	1.3	40
243	Robot-assisted and laparoscopic vs open radical prostatectomy in clinically localized prostate cancer: perioperative, functional, and oncological outcomes. Medicine (United States), 2019, 98, e15770.	0.4	93
244	â€~Robosurgeons vs. robosceptics': can we afford robotic technology or can we afford not to?. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2019, 12, 285-295.	0.1	4
245	Development and validation of a preoperative nomogram for predicting positive surgical margins after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Chinese Medical Journal, 2019, 132, 928-934.	0.9	15
246	Variation in prostate surgery costs and outcomes in the USA: robot-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2019, 8, 143-155.	0.6	14
247	Prognostic value of unifocal and multifocal positive surgical margins in a large series of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 1837-1844.	1.2	16
248	Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging parameters predict urinary incontinence after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. LUTS: Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms, 2019, 11, 122-126.	0.6	24
249	Simultaneous laparoscopic proctocolectomy (TaTME) and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy for synchronous rectal and prostate cancer. Acta Chirurgica Belgica, 2019, 119, 47-51.	0.2	4
250	Atlas of Ex Vivo Prostate Tissue and Cancer Images Using Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy: A Project for Intraoperative Positive Surgical Margin Detection During Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 941-958.	1.6	9
251	Initial Experience with da Vinci Single-port Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomies. European Urology, 2020, 77, 373-379.	0.9	90
252	Retrograde Release of the Neurovascular Bundle with Preservation of Dorsal Venous Complex During Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy: Optimizing Functional Outcomes. European Urology, 2020, 77, 628-635.	0.9	54
253	Robotic Surgery for Malignant Liver Disease: a Systematic Review of Oncological and Surgical Outcomes. Indian Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 11, 565-572.	0.3	5
254	Automated gigapixel circumferential surface microscopy of the prostate. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 131.	1.6	4
255	Prostate Carcinoma Grade and Length But Not Cribriform Architecture at Positive Surgical Margins Are Predictive for Biochemical Recurrence After Radical Prostatectomy. American Journal of Surgical Pathology, 2020, 44, 191-197.	2.1	20
256	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy versus robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: comparison of oncological outcomes at a single center. Prostate International, 2020, 8, 16-21.	1.2	11
257	Effect of personalized extracorporeal biofeedback device for pelvic floor muscle training on urinary incontinence after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy: A randomized controlled trial. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2020, 39, 674-681.	0.8	19

IF

1.3

CITATIONS

Implementing successful systematic Patient Reported Outcome and Experience Measures (PROMs and) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 258 0.7 15 Planning and Management, 2020, 35, 773-787. Adjuvant radiotherapy versus early salvage radiotherapy following radical prostatectomy (TROG) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock 259 5.1 197 2020, 21, 1331-1340. The Use of Cumulative Sum Analysis to Derive Institutional and Surgeon-Specific Learning Curves for 260 3 1.1 Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2020, 34, 969-973. Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Radical Prostatectomy–a Contemporary Review. Indian Journal of 0.3 Surgical Oncology, 2020, 11, 580-588. Comparison of Retzius-sparing robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy vs standard 262 10 0.6 robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a meta-analysis. BMC Urology, 2020, 20, 114. Oncologic outcomes in 408 consecutive patient cohort treated with da Vinci robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Actas Urol \tilde{A}^3 gicas Espa $\tilde{A}\pm$ olas (English Edition), 2020, 44, 179-186. 0.2 Impact of positive surgical margin location and perineural invasion on biochemical recurrence in 264 0.8 8 patients undergoing radical prostatectomy. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 18, 201. Current evidence between hospital volume and perioperative outcome: Prospective assessment of robotic radical prostatectomy safety profile in a regional center of medium annual caseload. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2020, 15, E153-E159. 0.3 The effects of PSA kinetics on the outcome of hypofractionated salvage radiotherapy for biochemical 266 0.8 3 recurrence of prostate cancer after prostatectomy. Journal of Radiation Research, 2020, 61, 908-919. Robotic radical prostatectomy: analysis of midterm pathologic and oncologic outcomes: A historical series from a high-volume center. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 1.3 6731-6745. Dose-volume Histogram-based Predictors for Hematuria and Rectal Hemorrhage in Patients Receiving 268 4 0.6 Radiotherapy After Radical Prostatectomy. In Vivo, 2020, 34, 1289-1295. Differences in risk factors for biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy stratified by the 1.6 degree of obesity: Focused on surgical methods. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 10157. Positive surgical margin during radical prostatectomy: overview of sampling methods for frozen 270 sections and techniques for the secondary resection of the neurovascular bundles. BJU 1.3 17 International, 2020, 125, 656-663. Considering the role of radical prostatectomy in 21st century prostate cancer care. Nature Reviews Urology, 2020, 17, 177-188. 271 Oncological and Postoperative Outcomes of Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy in 272 Renal Transplant Recipients: A Multicenter and Comparative Study. Transplantation Proceedings, 2020, 0.3 6 52, 850-856. Small-volume lymph node involvement and biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy with extended lymph node dissection in prostate cancer. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 25, 1398-1404. 274 Urologic Robotic Surgery. Surgical Clinics of North America, 2020, 100, 361-378. 0.5 35 Singlea \in port robota \in assisted radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and pooled analysis of the

preliminary experiences. BJU International, 2020, 126, 55-64.

275

ARTICLE

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
276	Complete puborectalis, puboperinealis muscle and urethral rhabdomyosphincter preservation in laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: Anatomical landmarks to achieve early urinary continence. International Journal of Urology, 2020, 27, 525-536.	0.5	5
277	The Efficacy of the WeChat App Combined with Pelvic Floor Muscle Exercise for the Urinary Incontinence after Radical Prostatectomy. BioMed Research International, 2020, 2020, 1-4.	0.9	8
278	Prognostic performance of magnetic resonance imaging-guided biopsy in defining prostate cancer anterior lesions. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 1473-1479.	1.2	6
279	Sustainable functional urethral reconstruction: Maximizing early continence recovery in robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. Asian Journal of Urology, 2021, 8, 126-133.	0.5	6
280	Functional outcomes after laparoscopic versus robotic-assisted rectal resection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 81-95.	1.3	43
281	Daily urine loss immediately after urethral catheter removal may be an effective predictor of longâ€term urinary incontinence following robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2021, 75, e13736.	0.8	2
282	Extended Versus Limited Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection During Radical Prostatectomy for Intermediate- and High-risk Prostate Cancer: Early Oncological Outcomes from a Randomized Phase 3 Trial. European Urology, 2021, 79, 595-604.	0.9	111
283	Detailed comparison of the da Vinci Xi and S surgical systems for transaxillary thyroidectomy. Medicine (United States), 2021, 100, e24370.	0.4	5
284	The Management of Prostate Cancer. Practical Guides in Radiation Oncology, 2021, , 3-23.	0.0	0
285	Robotic Surgery in Urology: Effectiveness of da Vinci®Surgical System. Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan, 2021, 39, 235-237.	0.0	0
286	High-dose-rate brachytherapy as monotherapy for low- and intermediate-risk prostate cancer: long-term experience of Swedish single-center. Journal of Contemporary Brachytherapy, 2021, 13, 245-253.	0.4	8
287	Blueprint for the Establishment of a Successful Robotic Surgery Program: Lessons from Admiral Hyman R. Rickover and the Nuclear Navy. , 2021, , 31-53.		0
288	Preoperative MRI-based estimation of risk for positive resection margin after radical prostatectomy in patients with prostate cancer: development and validation of a simple scoring system. European Radiology, 2021, 31, 4898-4907.	2.3	15
289	Recommendations on robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy: a Brazilian experts' consensus. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2021, 15, 829-839.	1.0	1
290	New Evolution of Robotic Radical Prostatectomy: A Single Center Experience with PERUSIA Technique. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 2021, 11, 1513.	1.3	18
291	Predictive Factors for Positive Surgical Margins in Patients With Prostate Cancer After Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in Oncology, 2020, 10, 539592.	1.3	13
292	MiRNAs and radical prostatectomy: Current data, bioinformatic analysis and utility as predictors of tumour relapse. Andrology, 2021, 9, 1092-1107.	1.9	2
293	Comparison between laparoscopic and open prostatectomy: Oncological progression analysis. Actas Urol³gicas Españolas (English Edition), 2021, 45, 139-145.	0.2	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
294	The impact of positive surgical margins on salvage radiation or androgen deprivation therapy following radical prostatectomy – a nationwide study. Acta Oncol³gica, 2021, 60, 620-626.	0.8	0
295	Comparación entre prostatectomÃa laparoscópica y abierta: análisis de la evolución oncológica. Actas Urol³gicas Españolas, 2021, 45, 139-145.	0.3	3
296	Urinary continence recovery and oncological outcomes after surgery for prostate cancer analysed by risk category: results from the LAParoscopic prostatectomy robot and open trial. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 3239-3249.	1.2	11
297	Comparative effectiveness of robotic and open radical prostatectomy. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2021, 10, 2158-2170.	0.6	3
298	Oncological outcomes of salvage radical prostatectomy for recurrent prostate cancer in the contemporary era: A multicenter retrospective study. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2021, 39, 296.e21-296.e29.	0.8	24
299	Evaluation of Oncological Outcomes and Data Quality in Studies Assessing Nerve-sparing Versus Non–Nerve-sparing Radical Prostatectomy in Nonmetastatic Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review. Fundpean Alrology Focust, 2022 8 690-2019, Markus Graefen, Steven Ioniau, R. Jeffrey Karnes, and	1.6	10
300	Francesco Montorsiâ∈™s Letter to the Editor re: Jean F.P. Lestingi, Giuliano B. Guglielmetti, Quoc-Dien Trinh, et al. Extended Versus Limited Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection During Radical Prostatectomy for Intermediate- and High-risk Prostate Cancer: Early Oncological Outcomes from a Randomized Phase 3 Trial Fur Urol 2021:79:595–604 Time for a Change? Clinically Meaningful Reasons Why We Will	0.9	0
301	Continue Performin. European Urology, 2021, 79, e184-e185. Robotic Radical Prostatectomy at the Egyptian National Cancer Institute: Overcoming the Challenges in the Initial Case Series. Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, 2020, 9, 367-372.	0.1	0
302	Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Low-Volume Regions: Should It Be Abandoned or Adopted? A Multi-Institutional Outcome Study. Journal of Endourology, 2021, 35, 1013-1019.	1.1	1
303	Impact of positive surgical margin status in predicting early biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 26, 1961-1967.	1.0	12
304	Prediction of a positive surgical margin and biochemical recurrence after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 14329.	1.6	15
305	Perioperative and Oncologic Outcomes of Single-Port <i>vs</i> Multiport Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Endourology, 2022, 36, 83-98.	1.1	18
306	Degree of Preservation of Neurovascular Bundles in Radical Prostatectomy and Recurrence of Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2021, 30, 25-33.	0.2	6
307	Myosteatosis as a novel predictor of urinary incontinence after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Urology, 2022, 29, 34-40.	0.5	7
308	Strategy for laparoscopic repair of inguinal hernia after robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. Asian Journal of Endoscopic Surgery, 2022, 15, 155-161.	0.4	3
309	Stratification of Potency Outcomes Following Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Based on Age, Preoperative Potency, and Nerve Sparing. Journal of Endourology, 2021, 35, 1631-1638.	1.1	18
311	Prospective comparative trial on nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy using a robot-assisted versus laparoscopic technique: expectation versus satisfaction and impact on surgical margins. Central European Journal of Urology, 2021, 74, 169-177.	0.2	2
312	Management of Localized and Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. , 2020, , 579-590.		1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
313	Oncologic Outcomes of Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: The "Balancing Act―of Achieving Cancer Control and Minimizing Collateral Damage. , 2016, , 101-113.		1
314	The Impact of Experience on the Risk of Surgical Margins and Biochemical Recurrence after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy: A Learning Curve Study. Journal of Urology, 2019, 202, 108-113.	0.2	67
315	Performance evaluation of Cerenkov luminescence imaging: a comparison of 68Ga with 18F. EJNMMI Physics, 2019, 6, 17.	1.3	19
316	High expression of SLCO2B1 is associated with prostate cancer recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Oncotarget, 2018, 9, 14207-14218.	0.8	35
317	Understanding the roles of randomized trials for robotic prostatectomy. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2016, 4, 467-467.	0.7	1
318	Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in 2018: 20 years of worldwide experiences, experimentations, researches and refinements. Minerva Chirurgica, 2019, 74, 37-53.	0.8	9
319	Oncologic outcomes in prostate cancer patients treated with robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: results from a single institution series with more than 10 years follow up. Minerva Urologica E Nefrologica = the Italian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2019, 71, 38-46.	3.9	13
320	Patterns of positive surgical margins after open radical prostatectomy and their association with clinical recurrence. Minerva Urologica E Nefrologica = the Italian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, 2020, 72, 464-473.	3.9	13
321	Gleason Pattern 5 is a Possible Pathologic Predictor for Biochemical Recurrence after Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2019, 20, 783-788.	0.5	2
322	Predictive factors for lymph node positivity in patients undergoing extended pelvic lymphadenectomy during robot assisted radical prostatectomy. Indian Journal of Urology, 2015, 31, 217.	0.2	6
323	Robotic Surgery for Rectal Cancer: An Update in 2015. Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 48, 427-435.	1.3	25
324	Robotic-Laparoscopic Rectal Cancer Excision Versus Traditional Laparoscopy. Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons, 2014, 18, e2014.00020.	0.5	11
325	Robot-assisted laparoscopic total extraperitoneal hernia repair during prostatectomy: technique and initial experience. Central European Journal of Urology, 2015, 68, 240-4.	0.2	18
326	The effect of wide resection during radical prostatectomy on surgical margins. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2016, 10, 14.	0.3	7
327	CAPRA-S predicts outcome for adjuvant and salvage EBRT after radical prostatectomy. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2016, 10, 132.	0.3	4
328	Current Status and Future Prospect of Robotic Surgery in Korea. Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 2014, 17, 55-61.	0.2	5
329	Transperineal single-port robot-assisted radical prostatectomy with Si da Vinci surgical system: initial experience and description of technique. Translational Cancer Research, 2021, 10, 4694-4701.	0.4	6
330	A Review of the Robotic Radical Prostatectomy Outcomes. World Journal of Laparoscopic Surgery, 2013, 6, 69-73.	0.2	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
331	A Review of the Robotic Radical Prostatectomy Outcomes. World Journal of Laparoscopic Surgery, 2013, 6, 69-73.	0.2	0
332	Robot-Assisted Surgery in Urology. Mechanisms and Machine Science, 2014, , 87-101.	0.3	0
333	Surgical Interventions in Cancer. , 2014, , 372-380.e1.		1
334	Radical Prostatectomy in the Robotic Era. Comparison of Three Different Methods: Retropubic, Robotic and Perineal. Journal of Urological Surgery, 2014, 1, 1-10.	0.2	1
335	Dealing with Pelvic Dysfunction: Multi and Interdisciplinary Team Approach. , 2015, , 49-56.		0
336	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). , 2015, , 1-11.		0
337	Management of Pelvic Retroperitoneal Tumors. , 2015, , 209-216.		0
338	Positive Surgical Margins: How to Self-Evaluate and Improve. , 2016, , 257-263.		0
339	Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy – Extraperitoneal and Transperitoneal Technique. , 2016, , 165-172.		0
340	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). , 2016, , 1133-1140.		1
341	Implementation of Surgery Clinical Pathway for Training in Urologic Robotic Surgery: Preliminary Experience with the Radical Prostatectomy in Central America. Urology & Nephrology Open Access Journal, 2016, 3, .	0.1	1
343	Relationship of Positive Resection Margin, Cancer Location and Learning Curve after Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Medical & Surgical Urology, 2017, 06, .	0.0	0
344	Outcomes of robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy for patients in two extreme age-groups (< 50) Tj ETQq0 0 0	rgBT/Ove 0.2	rlock 10 Tf 50
346	Anterior Reconstruction After Radical Prostatectomy. , 2018, , 391-400.		0
347	Adjuvant Radiation Therapy for High-Risk Post-prostatectomy Patients. , 2018, , 81-99.		0
348	Peri- and post-operative results of initial robot-assisted radical prostatectomies of a surgeon graduating from a structured fellowship Medical Science Pulse, 2019, 13, 17-21.	0.1	0
349	Outcomes of robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy versus open prostatectomy in surgical intervention of localized prostate cancer. Clinical Research in Practice the Journal of Team Hippocrates, 2019, 5, .	0.0	0
350	Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. , 2020, , 63-91.		0

		CITATION REPORT		
#	Article		IF	CITATIONS
351	Initial Outcome of Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Kitakanto Medical Journal, 20)20, 70, 83-94.	0.0	0
352	Comparison of robotic and open radical prostatectomy:Âlnitial experience of a single s Journal of Medical Sciences, 2020, 37, 167-174.	urgeon. Pakistan	0.3	4
353	Prostate volume as an independent predictor of results robot-assisted prostatectomy. Onkourologiya, 2020, 15, 73-83.		0.1	0
354	Resultados oncológicos de un programa de prostatectomÃa radical asistida por robot una cohorte de 408 pacientes consecutivos. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2020, 44,	«da Vinci» en 179-186.	0.3	1
355	Robotic Surgical System for Radical Prostatectomy: A Health Technology Assessment. Technology Assessment Series, 2017, 17, 1-172.	Ontario Health	3.0	15
356	The Prognostic Factors of Biochemical Recurrence-Free Survival Following Radical Pros Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2017, 18, 2555-2559.	ratectomy.	0.5	2
357	Analysis of Complications Development Predictors after Radical Prostatectomy. Open A Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, 2020, 9, 1575-1579.	Access	0.1	0
358	The prognostic nutritional index predicts the biochemical recurrence of patients treate robotâ€assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Prostate, 2022, 82, 221-226.	d with	1.2	6
360	Blood transfusion had no influence on the 5-year biochemical recurrence after robot-as prostatectomy: a retrospective study. BMC Urology, 2021, 21, 160.	sisted radical	0.6	1
362	Robotics in Urology: No More Shadows?. Uro, 2021, 1, 254-265.		0.3	0
363	Evaluating Incidence, Location, and Predictors of Positive Surgical Margin Among Chin Undergoing Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Cancer Control, 2021, 28, 107327	ese Men 482110552.	0.7	2
364	Initial Experience of Robotic-assisted Radical Prostatectomy in Juntendo Nerima Hospit Medical Journal, 2021, 67, 537-541.	al. Juntendo	0.1	0
365	Influence of operative time and blood loss on surgical margins and functional outcome laparoscopic versus robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy: a prospective analysis. Cen Journal of Urology, 2021, 74, 503-515.	s for tral European	0.2	1
366	How long should urologists observe patients with prostate cancer after radical prostat Weibull analysis. International Journal of Urology, 2022, , .	ectomy?	0.5	1
367	Extent of pelvic lymph node dissection improves early oncological outcomes for patien high-risk prostate cancer without lymph node involvement after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. International Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2022, 27, 781-789.	ts with	1.0	3
368	A multi-surgeon learning curve analysis of overall and site-specific positive surgical mar RARP and implications for training. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2022, , 1.	gins after	1.0	5
369	Comparison of surgical approaches to radical prostatectomy in our series beyond once functional outcomes. Actas Urológicas EspaA±olas (English Edition), 2022, 46, 275-2	logical and 84.	0.2	1
370	Introduction of gasless laparoscopic surgery as a minimally invasive procedure for endocencer and its usefulness from the viewpoint of the learning curve. World Journal of Su Oncology, 2021, 19, 347.	pmetrial rgical	0.8	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
372	Prognostic differences among Grade Group 4 subgroups in roboticâ€assisted radical prostatectomy. BJUI Compass, 0, , .	0.7	2
373	Robotic Radical Prostatectomy for Prostate Cancer in Renal Transplant Recipients: Results from a Multicenter Series. European Urology, 2022, 82, 639-645.	0.9	5
374	The safety and feasibility of simultaneous robotic repair of an inguinal hernia during robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2022, 56, 197-205.	0.6	2
375	Prognostic Impact of Lymphatic Invasion in Patients with High-Risk Prostate Cancer after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Extended Lymph Node Dissection: A Single-Institution Prospective Cohort Study. Cancers, 2022, 14, 3466.	1.7	3
376	Lower vs standard pressure pneumoperitoneum in robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2023, 17, 303-312.	1.0	3
377	Correlation between Bladder Neck Preservation, Positive Surgical Margins, and Biochemical Recurrence in Laparoscopic and Open Radical Prostatectomy: A Prospective Cohort Study. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 2022, 12, 8304.	1.3	0
378	The Effect of Adverse Surgical Margins on the Risk of Biochemical Recurrence after Robotic-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. Biomedicines, 2022, 10, 1911.	1.4	3
379	Management of Extracapsular Extension and Positive Surgical Margins Following Robot-Assisted, Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. , 2022, , 373-384.		0
380	Outcomes of RALP: An Evidence-Based Approach. , 2022, , 199-216.		0
381	Determining the component-based operative time learning curve for robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy. Current Urology, 2022, 16, 240-245.	0.4	1
382	Clinicopathological Significances of Positive Surgical Resection Margin after Radical Prostatectomy for Prostatic Cancers: A Meta-Analysis. Medicina (Lithuania), 2022, 58, 1251.	0.8	8
383	Effects of nerve-sparing procedures on surgical margins after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association, 0, Publish Ahead of Print, .	0.6	10
384	Indikationastallung und Stratagian heim Drestateharningen (DCa), Springer Deference Medicin, 2022		
	1-8.	0.0	0
385	Perioperative morbidity of radical prostatectomy after intensive neoadjuvant androgen blockade in men with high-risk prostate cancer: results of phase II trial compared to a control group. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2022, , .	0.0	0
385 386	Perioperative morbidity of radical prostatectomy after intensive neoadjuvant androgen blockade in men with high-risk prostate cancer: results of phase II trial compared to a control group. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2022, , . Development and Implementation of an Advanced Program for Robotic Treatment of Prostate Cancerâ€"Is Surgical Quality Transferable?. Cancers, 2022, 14, 5261.	0.0	0 2 1
385 386 387	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). Springer kerefence Medizin, 2022, , 1-8. Perioperative morbidity of radical prostatectomy after intensive neoadjuvant androgen blockade in men with high-risk prostate cancer: results of phase II trial compared to a control group. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2022, , . Development and Implementation of an Advanced Program for Robotic Treatment of Prostate Cancer—Is Surgical Quality Transferable?. Cancers, 2022, 14, 5261. The Role of Post-Radical Prostatectomy Testosterone Therapy in Erectile Function Recovery. Androgens: Clinical Research and Therapeutics, 2022, 3, 138-148.	0.0 0.9 1.7 0.2	0 2 1 0
385 386 387 388	Indukationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). Springer Reference Medizin, 2022, , 1-8. Perioperative morbidity of radical prostatectomy after intensive neoadjuvant androgen blockade in men with high-risk prostate cancer: results of phase II trial compared to a control group. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2022, , Development and Implementation of an Advanced Program for Robotic Treatment of Prostate Cancer—Is Surgical Quality Transferable?. Cancers, 2022, 14, 5261. The Role of Post-Radical Prostatectomy Testosterone Therapy in Erectile Function Recovery. Androgens: Clinical Research and Therapeutics, 2022, 3, 138-148. Eingriffe an der Prostata. Springer Reference Medizin, 2022, , 1-21.	0.0 0.9 1.7 0.2 0.0	0 2 1 0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
390	Fudan Zhongshan Technique: Single-Port Suprapubic Transvesical Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy. , 2022, , 317-321.		0
391	PET/CT for Detection of Biochemical Recurrence Post Radical Prostatectomy. , 2022, , 43-46.		Ο
392	The Efficacy of Urinary Continence in Patients Undergoing Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy with Bladder-Prostatic Muscle Reconstruction and Bladder Neck Eversion Anastomosis. Medicina (Lithuania), 2022, 58, 1821.	0.8	1
393	Prognostic differences among the positive surgical margin locations following robot-assisted radical prostatectomy in a large Japanese cohort (the MSUG94 group). Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology, 0, , .	0.6	2
394	Clinicopathological implications of histological mapping in radical prostatectomy specimens. Pathology Research and Practice, 2023, , 154334.	1.0	0
395	Urinary Continence Recovery after Robotic Radical Prostatectomy without Anterior or Posterior Reconstruction: Experience from a Tertiary Referral Center. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2023, 12, 1358.	1.0	3
396	Open, Laparoscopic, and Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Oncological Results: A Reverse Systematic Review. Journal of Endourology, 2023, 37, 521-530.	1.1	5
397	Impact of 3DÂprinted models on quantitative surgical outcomes for patients undergoing robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy: a cohort study. Abdominal Radiology, 0, , .	1.0	0
398	ROBOT Assisted Laparoscopic Surgeries For Nononcological Urologic Disease: Initial Experience With Hugo Ras System. Urology, 2023, 174, 118-125.	0.5	11
399	Sameâ€day discharge robotâ€assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy: feasibility, safety and patient experience. BJU International, 2023, 132, 92-99.	1.3	2
400	Ultrapreservation in Robotic Assisted Radical Prostatectomy Provides Early Continence Recovery. Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons, 2023, 27, e2022.00077.	0.5	0
405	Indikationsstellung und Strategien beim Prostatakarzinom (PCa). Springer Reference Medizin, 2023, , 1357-1364.	0.0	0
406	Eingriffe an der Prostata. Springer Reference Medizin, 2023, , 155-175.	0.0	0
411	Robot-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 0, , .	1.0	1