

Barnabas Szaszi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/994652/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

25
papers

1,068
citations

567281

15
h-index

552781

26
g-index

27
all docs

27
docs citations

27
times ranked

1397
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Systematic Scoping Review of the Choice Architecture Movement: Toward Understanding When and Why Nudges Work. <i>Journal of Behavioral Decision Making</i> , 2018, 31, 355-366.	1.7	200
2	Registered Replication Report: Rand, Greene, and Nowak (2012). <i>Perspectives on Psychological Science</i> , 2017, 12, 527-542.	9.0	129
3	A billion-dollar donation: estimating the cost of researchers'™ time spent on peer review. <i>Research Integrity and Peer Review</i> , 2021, 6, 14.	5.2	90
4	A consensus-based transparency checklist. <i>Nature Human Behaviour</i> , 2020, 4, 4-6.	12.0	79
5	Researchers working from home: Benefits and challenges. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0249127.	2.5	75
6	Quantifying Support for the Null Hypothesis in Psychology: An Empirical Investigation. <i>Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science</i> , 2018, 1, 357-366.	9.4	71
7	A multi-country test of brief reappraisal interventions on emotions during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Nature Human Behaviour</i> , 2021, 5, 1089-1110.	12.0	71
8	The cognitive reflection test revisited: exploring the ways individuals solve the test. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i> , 2017, 23, 207-234.	3.2	54
9	Registered Replication Report: Dijksterhuis and van Knippenberg (1998). <i>Perspectives on Psychological Science</i> , 2018, 13, 268-294.	9.0	46
10	Many Labs 5: Testing Pre-Data-Collection Peer Review as an Intervention to Increase Replicability. <i>Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science</i> , 2020, 3, 309-331.	9.4	42
11	Estimating the evidential value of significant results in psychological science. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0182651.	2.5	37
12	A community-sourced glossary of open scholarship terms. <i>Nature Human Behaviour</i> , 2022, 6, 312-318.	12.0	28
13	Inequality in researchers'™ minds: Four guiding questions for studying subjective perceptions of economic inequality. <i>Journal of Economic Surveys</i> , 2023, 37, 1534-1561.	6.6	27
14	Commentary: Oxytocin-gaze positive loop and the coevolution of human'™dog bonds. <i>Frontiers in Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 10, 155.	2.8	25
15	Consensus-based guidance for conducting and reporting multi-analyst studies. <i>ELife</i> , 2021, 10, .	6.0	22
16	Higher economic inequality intensifies the financial hardship of people living in poverty by fraying the community buffer. <i>Nature Human Behaviour</i> , 2020, 4, 702-712.	12.0	20
17	The Testing Effect is Preserved in Stressful Final Testing Environment. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2017, 31, 615-622.	1.6	13
18	Exploring the determinants of confidence in the bat-and-ball problem. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2017, 180, 1-7.	1.5	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Is action execution part of the decision-making process? An investigation of the embodied choice hypothesis.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2018, 44, 918-926.	0.9	6
20	The pernicious role of asymmetric history in negotiations. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2015, 116, 430-438.	2.0	5
21	The role of expertise and preference behind individuals' tendency to use intuitive decision style.. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2016, 5, 329-330.	1.1	5
22	Commentary: Unlearning implicit social biases during sleep. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1428.	2.1	3
23	A machine learning analysis of the relationship of demographics and social gathering attendance from 41 countries during pandemic. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, 724.	3.3	3
24	Many Labs 5: Registered Multisite Replication of the Tempting-Fate Effects in Risen and Gilovich (2008). Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2020, 3, 394-404.	9.4	2
25	Many Labs 5: Replication of van Dijk, van Kleef, Steinel, and van Beest (2008). Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2020, 3, 418-428.	9.4	2