

Amanda J Blatch-Jones

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9749523/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

26
papers

726
citations

623734

14
h-index

752698

20
g-index

26
all docs

26
docs citations

26
times ranked

1368
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Role of feasibility and pilot studies in randomised controlled trials: a cross-sectional study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e022233.	1.9	73
2	Identifying trial recruitment uncertainties using a James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership – the PRioRiTy (Prioritising Recruitment in Randomised Trials) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 147.	1.6	92
3	National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Programme research funding and UK burden of disease. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 87.	1.6	10
4	The impact on healthcare, policy and practice from 36 multi-project research programmes: findings from two reviews. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2017, 15, 26.	2.8	31
5	Web-Based Management Trial of Diabetes Care. <i>Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics</i> , 2016, 18, 605-606.	4.4	0
6	Models and applications for measuring the impact of health research: update of a systematic review for the Health Technology Assessment programme. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2016, 20, 1-254.	2.8	61
7	Going beyond routine data collection of clinical trials funded by the health technology assessment programme. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
8	Using systematic reviews to inform NIHR HTA trial planning and design: a retrospective cohort. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2015, 15, 108.	3.1	19
9	Psychosocial aspects of closed- and open-loop insulin delivery: closing the loop in adults with Type 1 diabetes in the home setting. <i>Diabetic Medicine</i> , 2015, 32, 601-608.	2.3	91
10	The utility of PRISMA for abstracts in two cohorts: a comparison of health technology assessment and Cochrane database of systematic reviews 2009-2014. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
11	Role of NIHR HTA clinical trials on subsequent systematic reviews. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
12	Pilot and feasibility studies: what's the point?. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
13	What are the methodological designs of nihr funded clinical trials? Going beyond routine data collection. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
14	Holistic Impact of Closed-Loop Technology on People With Type 1 Diabetes. <i>Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 9, 932-933.	2.2	16
15	Clinical trial metadata: defining and extracting metadata on the design, conduct, results and costs of 125 randomised clinical trials funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment programme. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2015, 19, 1-138.	2.8	39
16	The completeness of intervention descriptions in published National Institute of Health Research HTA-funded trials: a cross-sectional study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e003713.	1.9	23
17	The clinical relevance and newsworthiness of NIHR HTA-funded research: a cohort study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004556.	1.9	5
18	Time to publication for NIHR HTA programme-funded research: a cohort study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2013, 3, e004121.	1.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Developing a matrix to identify and prioritise research recommendations in HIV Prevention. BMC Public Health, 2011, 11, 381.	2.9	6
20	Self monitoring of blood glucose - a survey of diabetes UK members with type 2 diabetes who use SMBG. BMC Research Notes, 2010, 3, 318.	1.4	21
21	Experiences of hospital care reported by bereaved relatives of patients after a stroke: a retrospective survey using the VOICES questionnaire. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2009, 65, 2161-2174.	3.3	42
22	The quality and adequacy of care received at home in the last 3 months of life by people who died following a stroke: a retrospective survey of surviving family and friends using the Views of Informal Carers Evaluation of Services questionnaire. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2008, 16, 419-428.	1.6	25
23	Evaluating the clinical appropriateness of nurses' prescribing practice: method development and findings from an expert panel analysis. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2007, 16, 415-421.	2.5	25
24	Evaluating nurse prescribers' education and continuing professional development for independent prescribing practice: Findings from a national survey in England. Nurse Education Today, 2007, 27, 685-696.	3.3	54
25	Perceptions and practice of concordance in nurses' prescribing consultations: Findings from a national questionnaire survey and case studies of practice in England. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2007, 44, 9-18.	5.6	71
26	In a class of its own: Introducing a new tool for understanding adolescents' perceptions of the world of drugs. Health Education Journal, 2004, 63, 307-323.	1.2	10