Jos M P Kleijnen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9580016/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

54 papers 20,520 citations

30 h-index 54 g-index

56 all docs 56
docs citations

56 times ranked 33044 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Longitudinal outcome evaluations of Interdisciplinary Multimodal Pain Treatment programmes for patients with chronic primary musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. European Journal of Pain, 2022, 26, 310-335.	2.8	30
2	Comparison of Patients With Head and Neck Cancer in Randomized Clinical Trials and Clinical Practice. JAMA Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2022, 148, 670.	2.2	3
3	Tools to help healthcare professionals recognize palliative care needs in patients with advanced heart failure: A systematic review. Palliative Medicine, 2021, 35, 45-58.	3.1	15
4	High-sensitivity troponin assays for early rule-out of acute myocardial infarction in people with acute chest pain: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technology Assessment, 2021, 25, 1-276.	2.8	16
5	Electrocoagulation for liver metastases. The Cochrane Library, 2021, 2021, CD009497.	2.8	O
6	Systematic review and network meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of lidocaine 700 mg medicated plaster vs. pregabalin. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2020, 36, 101-115.	1.9	16
7	The impact of interpregnancy weight change on perinatal outcomes in women and their children: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Obesity Reviews, 2020, 21, e12974.	6.5	39
8	Overview of Differences and Similarities of Published Mixed Treatment Comparisons on Pharmaceutical Interventions for Multiple Sclerosis. Neurology and Therapy, 2020, 9, 335-358.	3.2	3
9	Transarterial (chemo)embolisation versus no intervention or placebo for liver metastases. The Cochrane Library, 2020, 2020, CD009498.	2.8	8
10	A systematic literature review looking for the definition of treatment burden. Heliyon, 2020, 6, e03641.	3.2	25
11	A Systematic Review and Mixed Treatment Comparison of Pharmaceutical Interventions for Multiple Sclerosis. Neurology and Therapy, 2020, 9, 359-374.	3.2	24
12	Standardized Protocol Items Recommendations for Observational Studies (SPIROS) for Observational Study Protocol Reporting Guidelines: Protocol for a Delphi Study. JMIR Research Protocols, 2020, 9, e17864.	1.0	9
13	Avatrombopag and lusutrombopag for thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing an elective procedure: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2020, 24, 1-220.	2.8	12
14	Clinically inappropriate post hoc exclusion of study participants from test accuracy calculations: the ROMA score, an example from a recent NICE diagnostic assessment. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry, 2019, 56, 72-81.	1.6	1
15	Sarcopenia and ovarian cancer survival: a systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle, 2019, 10, 1165-1174.	7.3	108
16	A systematic review of the prevalence of DNA damage response gene mutations in prostate cancer. International Journal of Oncology, 2019, 55, 597-616.	3.3	45
17	Effect of DNA damage response mutations on prostate cancer prognosis: a systematic review. Future Oncology, 2019, 15, 3283-3303.	2.4	18
18	The Effect of Supervised Exercise, Home Based Exercise and Endovascular Revascularisation on Physical Activity in Patients With Intermittent Claudication: A Network Meta-analysis. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, 2019, 58, 383-392.	1.5	23

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The effect of exercise therapy combined with psychological therapy on physical activity and quality of life in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy: a systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of Pain, 2019, 19, 433-439.	1.3	29
20	A Systematic Review of Direct Cardiovascular Event Costs: An International Perspective. Pharmacoeconomics, 2019, 37, 895-919.	3.3	20
21	PROBAST: A Tool to Assess the Risk of Bias and Applicability of Prediction Model Studies. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, 51.	3.9	1,066
22	PROBAST: A Tool to Assess Risk of Bias and Applicability of Prediction Model Studies: Explanation and Elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, W1.	3.9	696
23	Effectiveness of Primary Care Interventions Using a Biopsychosocial Approach in Chronic Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review. Pain Practice, 2019, 19, 224-241.	1.9	83
24	Patient- and tumor-related predictors of chemotherapy intolerance in older patients with cancer: A systematic review. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2019, 10, 31-41.	1.0	37
25	Evaluation of a new method for librarianâ€mediated literature searches for systematic reviews. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 510-520.	8.7	42
26	A systematic approach to searching: an efficient and complete method to develop literature searches. Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA, 2018, 106, 531-541.	1.7	299
27	Risk scores to guide referral decisions for people with suspected ovarian cancer in secondary care: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2018, 22, 1-264.	2.8	106
28	Patient expectations for management of chronic nonâ€cancer pain: A systematic review. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 1201-1217.	2.6	80
29	A Systematic Review of Cardiovascular Outcomes-Based Cost-Effectiveness Analyses of Lipid-Lowering Therapies. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 297-318.	3.3	15
30	Faecal immunochemical tests (FIT) can help to rule out colorectal cancer in patients presenting in primary care with lower abdominal symptoms: a systematic review conducted to inform new NICE DG30 diagnostic guidance. BMC Medicine, 2017, 15, 189.	5.5	86
31	Faecal immunochemical tests to triage patients with lower abdominal symptoms for suspected colorectal cancer referrals in primary care: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2017, 21, 1-234.	2.8	59
32	How to prepare a systematic review of economic evaluations for informing evidence-based healthcare decisions: a five-step approach (part $1/3$). Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2016, 16, 689-704.	1.4	134
33	Epidemiology of otitis media in children from developing countries: A systematic review. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2016, 85, 65-74.	1.0	75
34	The relationship between Lp(a) and CVD outcomes: a systematic review. Lipids in Health and Disease, 2016, 15, 95.	3.0	47
35	The influence of chemotherapy-associated sinusoidal dilatation on short-term outcome after partial hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Surgical Oncology, 2016, 25, 298-307.	1.6	9
36	Epidemiology of community-acquired pneumonia and implications for vaccination of children living in developing and newly industrialized countries: A systematic literature review. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics, 2016, 12, 2422-2440.	3.3	39

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Enhanced recovery pathways in abdominal gynecologic surgery: a systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2016, 95, 382-395.	2.8	82
38	Bias in dissemination of clinical research findings: structured OPEN framework of what, who and why, based on literature review and expert consensus. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010024.	1.9	16
39	ROBIS: A new tool to assess risk of bias in systematic reviews was developed. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 69, 225-234.	5.0	1,204
40	ImmunoCAP® ISAC and Microtest for multiplex allergen testing in people with difficult to manage allergic disease: a systematic review and cost analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2016, 20, 1-178.	2.8	34
41	Sustainability of professionals' adherence to clinical practice guidelines in medical care: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e008073.	1.9	105
42	Systematic review and mixed treatment comparison of intravitreal aflibercept with other therapies for diabetic macular edema (DME). BMC Ophthalmology, 2015, 15, 52.	1.4	26
43	Evidence-informed recommendations to reduce dissemination bias in clinical research: conclusions from the OPEN (Overcome failure to Publish nEgative fiNdings) project based on an international consensus meeting. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e006666-e006666.	1.9	49
44	Development of an algorithm to provide awareness in choosing study designs for inclusion in systematic reviews of healthcare interventions: a method study. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e007540.	1.9	19
45	Cannabinoids for Medical Use. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2015, 313, 2456.	7.4	1,629
46	A systematic review found no consistent difference in effect between more and less intensive placebo interventions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 442-451.	5.0	27
47	A systematic review of randomised controlled trials of radiotherapy for localised prostate cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 2345-2367.	2.8	81
48	High-sensitivity troponin assays for the early rule-out or diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in people with acute chest pain: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2015, 19, 1-234.	2.8	39
49	Viscoelastic point-of-care testing to assist with the diagnosis, management and monitoring of haemostasis: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2015, 19, 1-228.	2.8	230
50	Dose Efficiency of Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents for the Treatment of Patients With Chemotherapy-Induced Anemia: A Systematic Review. Clinical Therapeutics, 2014, 36, 594-610.e4.	2.5	14
51	Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TK) mutation testing in adults with locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2014, 18, 1-166.	2.8	42
52	KRAS mutation testing of tumours in adults with metastatic colorectal cancer: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2014, 18, 1-132.	2.8	66
53	Using Multiple Types of Studies in Systematic Reviews of Health Care Interventions – A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e85035.	2.5	67
54	The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 339, b2700-b2700.	2.3	13,452