Tobias Nordström

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9534392/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

69 papers

2,918 citations

331670 21 h-index 189892 50 g-index

73 all docs

73 docs citations

times ranked

73

4841 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Cost-Effectiveness of the Stockholm3 Test and Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Prostate Cancer Screening: A Microsimulation Study. European Urology, 2022, 82, 12-19.	1.9	4
2	A Head-to-head Comparison of Prostate Cancer Diagnostic Strategies Using the Stockholm3 Test, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, and Swedish National Guidelines: Results from a Prospective Population-based Screening Study. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 38, 32-39.	0.4	2
3	Association of 5α-Reductase Inhibitors With Prostate Cancer Mortality. JAMA Oncology, 2022, 8, 1019.	7.1	18
4	The Mount Sinai Prebiopsy Risk Calculator for Predicting any Prostate Cancer and Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: Development of a Risk Predictive Tool and Validation with Advanced Neural Networking, Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Outcome Database, and European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer Risk Calculator. European Urology Open Science,	0.4	4
5	2022, 41, 45-54. External Validation of the Prostate Biopsy Collaborative Group Risk Calculator and the Rotterdam Prostate Cancer Risk Calculator in a Swedish Population-based Screening Cohort. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 41, 1-7.	0.4	4
6	Combined Use of Prostate-specific Antigen Density and Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Prostate Biopsy Decision Planning: A Retrospective Multi-institutional Study Using the Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Outcome Database (PROMOD). European Urology Oncology, 2021, 4, 971-979.	5.4	56
7	Predictors of adverse pathology on radical prostatectomy specimen in men initially enrolled in active surveillance for low-risk prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 1797-1804.	2.2	10
8	Effect of information on prostate biopsy history on biopsy outcomes in the era of MRI-targeted biopsies. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 1153-1159.	2.2	2
9	Biomarker discrimination and calibration with MRI-targeted biopsies: an analysis with the Stockholm3 test. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2021, 24, 457-464.	3.9	1
10	Incorporating Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Biomarkers in Active Surveillance Protocols - Results From the Prospective Stockholm3 Active Surveillance Trial (STHLM3AS). Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 632-640.	6.3	9
11	Trans-ancestry genome-wide association meta-analysis of prostate cancer identifies new susceptibility loci and informs genetic risk prediction. Nature Genetics, 2021, 53, 65-75.	21.4	264
12	The cost-effectiveness of prostate cancer screening using the Stockholm3 test. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0246674.	2.5	11
13	Identifying Prostate Cancer Among Men with Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. European Urology Open Science, 2021, 24, 11-16.	0.4	2
14	Head-to-head Comparison of Conventional, and Image- and Biomarker-based Prostate Cancer Risk Calculators. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 546-553.	3.1	16
15	Real world treatment utilization patterns in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2021, 55, 299-306.	1.0	4
16	Cost-Effectiveness of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Prostate Cancer Screening: A Microsimulation Study. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 1763-1772.	0.3	7
17	MRI-Targeted or Standard Biopsy in Prostate Cancer Screening. New England Journal of Medicine, 2021, 385, 908-920.	27.0	184
18	Prostate cancer screening using a combination of risk-prediction, MRI, and targeted prostate biopsies (STHLM3-MRI): a prospective, population-based, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2021, 22, 1240-1249.	10.7	83

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Intensity of Active Surveillance and Transition to Treatment in Men with Low-risk Prostate Cancer. European Urology Oncology, 2020, 3, 640-647.	5.4	15
20	Prognostic value of perineural invasion in prostate needle biopsies: a population-based study of patients treated by radical prostatectomy. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2020, 73, 630-635.	2.0	9
21	Effects of replacing PSA with Stockholm3 for diagnosis of clinically significant prostate cancer in a healthcare system – the Stavanger experience. Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care, 2020, 38, 315-322.	1.5	19
22	Association between PSA density and prostate cancer in men without significant MRI lesions. BJU International, 2020, 125, 763-764.	2.5	8
23	Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) are not associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer in men 50–69 years with PSA ≥3 ng/ml. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 1-6.	1.0	11
24	Survival in patients diagnosed with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a population-based observational study in Sweden. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2020, 54, 115-121.	1.0	36
25	The economic burden of prostate cancer – a Swedish prevalence-based register study. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 448.	2.2	19
26	MRI-targeted biopsies in prostate cancer screening and the value of its combination with blood-based risk-prediction: The randomized, diagnostic study STHLM3MRI Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, TPS378-TPS378.	1.6	0
27	Incorporating mpMRI and biomarkers in active surveillance protocols: The prospective Stockholm3 Active Surveillance trial (STHLM3AS) Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, TPS379-TPS379.	1.6	О
28	Is there any association between prostate-specific antigen screening frequency and uptake of active surveillance in men with low or very low risk prostate cancer?. BMC Urology, 2019, 19, 73.	1.4	0
29	Salvage radiation therapy following radical prostatectomy in Stockholm County in 2008–2016. Journal of Radiation Oncology, 2019, 8, 225-231.	0.7	О
30	Association Between Antidiabetic Medications and Prostate-Specific Antigen Levels and Biopsy Results. JAMA Network Open, 2019, 2, e1914689.	5.9	16
31	Does a novel diagnostic pathway including blood-based risk prediction and MRI-targeted biopsies outperform prostate cancer screening using prostate-specific antigen and systematic prostate biopsies? - protocol of the randomised study STHLM3MRI. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e027816.	1.9	11
32	Are Prostate Specific-Antigen (PSA) and age associated with the risk of ISUP Grade 1 prostate cancer? Results from 72 996 individual biopsy cores in 6 083 men from the Stockholm3 study. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0218280.	2.5	7
33	A Unified Prostate Cancer Risk Prediction Model Combining the Stockholm3 Test and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. European Urology Oncology, 2019, 2, 490-496.	5.4	13
34	The impact of different prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing intervals on Gleason score at diagnosis and the risk of experiencing false-positive biopsy recommendations: a population-based cohort study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e027958.	1.9	15
35	Improving GIS-based models for bicycling speed estimations. Transportation Research Procedia, 2019, 42, 85-99.	1.5	2
36	The Stockholm3 blood-test predicts clinically-significant cancer on biopsy: independent validation in a multi-center community cohort. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2019, 22, 137-142.	3.9	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Reply to Erik Rud, Peter Lauritzen, and Eduard Baco's Letter to the Editor re: Henrik Grönberg, Martin Eklund, Wolfgang Picker, et al. Prostate Cancer Diagnostics Using a Combination of the Stockholm3 Blood Test and Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Eur Urol 2018;74:722–8. European Urology, 2019, 75, e104-e105.	1.9	0
38	Response to Walsh. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2019, 111, 748-748.	6.3	0
39	Poor Follow-up After Elevated Prostate-specific Antigen Tests: A Population-based Cohort Study. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 842-848.	3.1	4
40	The predictive value of DRE in the modern era of prostate cancer diagnostics Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 48-48.	1.6	0
41	Survival in men diagnosed with castration resistant prostate cancer: A population-based observational study in Sweden Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, e16555-e16555.	1.6	1
42	The Stockholm-3 Model for Prostate Cancer Detection: Algorithm Update, Biomarker Contribution, and Reflex Test Potential. European Urology, 2018, 74, 204-210.	1.9	68
43	Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) density in the diagnostic algorithm of prostate cancer. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2018, 21, 57-63.	3.9	134
44	Reply to Ola Bratt and Anna Ã-fverholm's Letter to the Editor re: Peter Ström, Tobias Nordström, Henrik Grönberg, Martin Eklund. The Stockholm-3 Model for Prostate Cancer Detection: Algorithm Update, Biomarker Contribution, and Reflex Test Potential. Eur Urol. In press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2017.12.028. European Urology, 2018, 74, e10-e11.	1.9	0
45	Risk of Prostate Cancer in Men Treated With 5α-Reductase Inhibitors—A Large Population-Based Prospective Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2018, 110, 1216-1221.	6.3	27
46	Balancing Overdiagnosis and Early Detection of Prostate Cancer using the Stockholm-3 Model. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 385-387.	3.1	9
47	The Stockholm-3 (STHLM3) Model can Improve Prostate Cancer Diagnostics in Men Aged 50–69 yr Compared with Current Prostate Cancer Testing. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 707-710.	3.1	42
48	Cell-free DNA profiling of metastatic prostate cancer reveals microsatellite instability, structural rearrangements and clonal hematopoiesis. Genome Medicine, 2018, 10, 85.	8.2	94
49	Towards Next-generation Urine-based Prostate Cancer Risk Stratification. European Urology, 2018, 74, 739-740.	1.9	2
50	Prostate Cancer Diagnostics Using a Combination of the Stockholm3 Blood Test and Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging. European Urology, 2018, 74, 722-728.	1.9	70
51	Re: Tobias Nordström, Andrew Vickers, Melissa Assel, Hans Lilja, Henrik Grönberg, Martin Eklund. Comparison Between the Four-kallikrein Panel and Prostate Health Index for Predicting Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol 2015;68:139–46. European Urology, 2018, 74, e35-e36.	1.9	2
52	Association analyses of more than 140,000 men identify 63 new prostate cancer susceptibility loci. Nature Genetics, 2018, 50, 928-936.	21.4	652
53	Fine-mapping of prostate cancer susceptibility loci in a large meta-analysis identifies candidate causal variants. Nature Communications, 2018, 9, 2256.	12.8	88
54	Genome-wide association study of prostate-specific antigen levels identifies novel loci independent of prostate cancer. Nature Communications, 2017, 8, 14248.	12.8	58

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Detection of Prostate Cancer Using a Multistep Approach with Prostate-specific Antigen, the Stockholm 3 Test, and Targeted Biopsies: The STHLM3 MRI Project. European Urology Focus, 2017, 3, 526-528.	3.1	14
56	Future directions in prostate cancer testing: a comment upon results from the prospective population-based diagnostic STHLM3 study—Grönberg H et al. Lancet Oncology. 2015 Nov 9; doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00361-7. World Journal of Urology, 2017, 35, 895-896.	2.2	0
57	Effects of increasing the PSA cutoff to perform additional biomarker tests before prostate biopsy. BMC Urology, 2017, 17, 92.	1.4	3
58	The STHLM3 prostate cancer diagnostic study: calibration, clarification, and comments. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 2016, 13, 394-394.	27.6	7
59	Repeat Prostate-Specific Antigen Tests Before Prostate Biopsy Decisions. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2016, 108, djw165.	6.3	13
60	Associations between circulating carotenoids, genomic instability and the risk of high-grade prostate cancer. Prostate, 2016, 76, 339-348.	2.3	32
61	A population-based study on the association between educational length, prostate-specific antigen testing and use of prostate biopsies. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 104-109.	1.0	15
62	Association of changing prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels on repeat testing with lower risk for Gleason Score (GS) ≥ 7 prostate cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 284-284.	1.6	0
63	Rapid increase in multidrug-resistant enteric bacilli blood stream infection after prostate biopsy-A 10-year population-based cohort study. Prostate, 2015, 75, 947-956.	2.3	37
64	The risk of prostate cancer for men on aspirin, statin or antidiabetic medications. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 725-733.	2.8	61
65	Comparison Between the Four-kallikrein Panel and Prostate Health Index for Predicting Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2015, 68, 139-146.	1.9	156
66	Prostate cancer screening in men aged 50–69 years (STHLM3): a prospective population-based diagnostic study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2015, 16, 1667-1676.	10.7	308
67	A Genetic Score Can Identify Men at High Risk for Prostate Cancer Among Men With Prostate-Specific Antigen of 1–3 ng/ml. European Urology, 2014, 65, 1184-1190.	1.9	32
68	Reply from Authors re: Goutham Vemana, Gerald L. Andriole. Bad Habits May Be Hard to Break. Eur Urol 2013;63:426–7. European Urology, 2013, 63, 427.	1.9	0
69	Prostate-specific Antigen (PSA) Testing Is Prevalent and Increasing in Stockholm County, Sweden, Despite No Recommendations for PSA Screening: Results from a Population-based Study, 2003–2011. European Urology, 2013, 63, 419-425.	1.9	85