

# Rieke Van der Graaf

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9530606/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

66  
papers

1,115  
citations

471509

17  
h-index

454955

30  
g-index

70  
all docs

70  
docs citations

70  
times ranked

1654  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Deferred Consent in an Acute Stroke Trial from a Patient, Proxy, and Physician Perspective: A Cross-Sectional Survey. <i>Neurocritical Care</i> , 2022, 36, 621-629.	2.4	4
2	Vaccine equity: Past, present, and future. <i>Cell Reports Medicine</i> , 2022, 3, 100551.	6.5	8
3	Injustice in Bioethics Research Funding: Going Further Upstream. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2022, 22, 33-35.	0.9	1
4	A Learning Healthcare System for pregnant and breastfeeding women: what do women during preconception, pregnancy, and nursing think? – A qualitative study. <i>BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth</i> , 2022, 22, 334.	2.4	3
5	Most patients reported positively or neutrally of having served as controls in the trials within cohorts design. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 148, 39-47.	5.0	6
6	How Traditional Informed Consent Impairs Inclusivity in a Learning Healthcare System: Lessons Learned from the Utrecht Cardiovascular Cohort. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2022, , .	5.0	4
7	SYMPHONY consortium: Orchestrating personalized treatment for patients with bleeding disorders. <i>Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis</i> , 2022, 20, 2001-2011.	3.8	6
8	When is it impractical to ask informed consent? A systematic review. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2022, 19, 545-560.	1.6	10
9	Text-mining in electronic healthcare records can be used as efficient tool for screening and data collection in cardiovascular trials: a multicenter validation study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 132, 97-105.	5.0	23
10	Oncology patients were found to understand and accept the Trials within Cohorts design. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 130, 135-142.	5.0	7
11	How Should the Precautionary Principle Apply to Pregnant Women in Clinical Research?. <i>Journal of Medicine and Philosophy</i> , 2021, 46, 516-529.	0.8	4
12	Revised UNAIDS/WHO Ethical Guidance for HIV Prevention Trials. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2021, 325, 1719.	7.4	5
13	Towards a Responsible Transition to Learning Healthcare Systems in Precision Medicine: Ethical Points to Consider. <i>Journal of Personalized Medicine</i> , 2021, 11, 539.	2.5	5
14	The Duty to Support Learning Health Systems: A Broad Rather than a Narrow Interpretation. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2021, 21, 14-16.	0.9	1
15	Mistreatment during childbirth. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2020, 396, 816-817.	13.7	1
16	The ethics of deferred consent in times of pandemics. <i>Nature Medicine</i> , 2020, 26, 1328-1330.	30.7	20
17	Low-risk trials for children and pregnant women threatened by unnecessary strict regulations. Does the coming EU Clinical Trial Regulation offer a solution?. <i>European Journal of Pediatrics</i> , 2020, 179, 1205-1211.	2.7	4
18	Pregnancy in Advanced Kidney Disease: Clinical Practice Considerations on a Challenging Combination. <i>Nephron</i> , 2020, 144, 185-189.	1.8	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Learning health care systems: Highly needed but challenging. <i>Learning Health Systems</i> , 2020, 4, e10211.	2.0	24
20	Integrating public health programs and research after the malaria vaccine implementation program (MVIP): Recommendations for next steps. <i>Vaccine</i> , 2020, 38, 6975-6978.	3.8	2
21	A systematic breakdown of the levels of evidence supporting the European Society of Cardiology guidelines. <i>European Journal of Preventive Cardiology</i> , 2019, 26, 1944-1952.	1.8	22
22	Voluntary Informed Consent Is Not Risk Dependent. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2019, 19, 33-35.	0.9	2
23	The moral and legal status of Health Care Workers in Cluster Randomized Trials: a response to Weijer and Taljaard. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 116, 146-149.	5.0	0
24	The willingness to participate in biomedical research involving human beings in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. <i>Tropical Medicine and International Health</i> , 2019, 24, 264-279.	2.3	25
25	A qualitative study on stakeholders' views on the participation of pregnant women in the APOSTEL VI study: a low-risk obstetrical RCT. <i>BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth</i> , 2019, 19, 65.	2.4	5
26	Stakeholders views on the ethical aspects of oocyte banking for third-party assisted reproduction: a qualitative interview study with donors, recipients and professionals. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , 2019, 34, 842-850.	0.9	5
27	What constitutes a reasonable compensation for non-commercial oocyte donors: an analogy with living organ donation and medical research participation. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2019, 45, 736-741.	1.8	4
28	Ethics of alternative trial designs and methods in low-resource settings. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 705.	1.6	7
29	Ethical issues in the design and conduct of stepped-wedge cluster randomized trials in low-resource settings. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 703.	1.6	10
30	How the CIOMS guidelines contribute to fair inclusion of pregnant women in research. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2019, 33, 377-383.	1.4	12
31	Facilitators and barriers to pregnant women's participation in research: A systematic review. <i>Women and Birth</i> , 2018, 31, 350-361.	2.0	38
32	Good intentions do not replace ethical conduct in research. <i>Lancet</i> , 2018, 391, 1020-1021.	13.7	0
33	Power Difference and Risk Perception: Mapping Vulnerability within the Decision Process of Pregnant Women towards Clinical Trial Participation in an Urban Middle-Income Setting. <i>Developing World Bioethics</i> , 2018, 18, 68-75.	0.9	10
34	Pediatric oncology as a Learning Health System: Ethical implications for best available treatment protocols. <i>Learning Health Systems</i> , 2018, 2, e10052.	2.0	5
35	Protect pregnant women by including them in clinical research. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2018, 362, k4013.	2.3	5
36	Ethics of oocyte banking for third-party assisted reproduction: a systematic review. <i>Human Reproduction Update</i> , 2018, 24, 615-635.	10.8	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Towards an appropriate framework to facilitate responsible inclusion of pregnant women in drug development programs. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 123.	1.6	9
38	Fair inclusion of pregnant women in clinical trials: an integrated scientific and ethical approach. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 78.	1.6	84
39	The Social Value of Pragmatic Trials. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2017, 31, 136-143.	1.4	13
40	Revised CIOMS International Ethical Guidelines for Health-Related Research Involving Humans. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2017, 317, 135.	7.4	113
41	Vulnerability of pregnant women in clinical research. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2017, 43, 657-663.	1.8	64
42	A qualitative study on acceptable levels of risk for pregnant women in clinical research. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2017, 18, 35.	2.4	11
43	Ethics, regulation, and beyond: the landscape of research with pregnant women. <i>Reproductive Health</i> , 2017, 14, 173.	3.1	9
44	The ethics of "Trials within Cohorts" (TwICs): 2nd international symposium. <i>Trials</i> , 2017, 18, .	1.6	7
45	Brief Report. <i>Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 27, 389-392.	2.7	59
46	Voluntary Informed Consent in Paediatric Oncology Research. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2016, 30, 440-450.	1.4	4
47	A Qualitative Study into Dependent Relationships and Voluntary Informed Consent for Research in Pediatric Oncology. <i>Paediatric Drugs</i> , 2016, 18, 145-156.	3.1	15
48	A systematic review of reasons for gatekeeping in palliative care research. <i>Palliative Medicine</i> , 2016, 30, 533-548.	3.1	115
49	Fair Inclusion of Pregnant Women in Clinical Research: A Systematic Review of Reported Reasons for Exclusion. <i>Research Ethics Forum</i> , 2016, , 65-94.	0.1	14
50	Balancing research interests and patient interests: A qualitative study into the intertwining of care and research in paediatric oncology. <i>Pediatric Blood and Cancer</i> , 2015, 62, 816-822.	1.5	18
51	The need to balance merits and limitations from different disciplines when considering the stepped wedge cluster randomized trial design. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2015, 15, 93.	3.1	27
52	Placebo-Controlled Trials, <i>Ethics of.</i> , 2015, , 164-173.		2
53	Is a New Protocol for Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Research or Standard Therapy?. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2015, 136, 566-570.	2.1	6
54	The ethics of cluster-randomized trials requires further evaluation: a refinement of the Ottawa Statement. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 68, 1108-1114.	5.0	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Strengths and weaknesses of guideline approaches to safeguard voluntary informed consent of patients within a dependent relationship. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2014, 12, 52.	5.5	19
56	Justification of exclusion criteria was underreported in a review of cardiovascular trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 67, 635-644.	5.0	23
57	Issues in the Use of Stepped Wedge Cluster and Alternative Designs in the Case of Pandemics. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2013, 13, 23-24.	0.9	9
58	On what we will lose in giving up on clinical equipoise: A reply to Miller. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2012, 9, 628-629.	1.6	4
59	Adaptive Trials in Clinical Research. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2012, 307, 2379-80.	7.4	28
60	ON USING PEOPLE MERELY AS A MEANS IN CLINICAL RESEARCH. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2012, 26, 76-83.	1.4	12
61	Equipoise should be amended, not abandoned. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2011, 8, 408-416.	1.6	39
62	Toward a "Post-Posthuman Dignity Area" in Evaluating Emerging Enhancement Technologies. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2010, 10, 55-57.	0.9	9
63	What is the Best Standard for the Standard of Care in Clinical Research?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2009, 9, 35-43.	0.9	23
64	Response to Open Peer Commentaries on "What is The Best Standard for the Standard of Care in Clinical Research?". <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2009, 9, W7-W8.	0.9	0
65	Conflating Scientific With Clinical Considerations. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2009, 9, 58-59.	0.9	2
66	CLARIFYING APPEALS TO DIGNITY IN MEDICAL ETHICS FROM AN HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2009, 23, 151-160.	1.4	43