

Bas IsraË«l

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9502506/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

21
papers

1,200
citations

840776

11
h-index

794594

19
g-index

21
all docs

21
docs citations

21
times ranked

1495
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Head-to-head Comparison of Transrectal Ultrasound-guided Prostate Biopsy Versus Multiparametric Prostate Resonance Imaging with Subsequent Magnetic Resonance-guided Biopsy in Biopsy-naïve Men with Elevated Prostate-specific Antigen: A Large Prospective Multicenter Clinical Study. <i>European Urology</i> , 2019, 75, 570-578.	1.9	521
2	ESUR/ESUI consensus statements on multi-parametric MRI for the detection of clinically significant prostate cancer: quality requirements for image acquisition, interpretation and radiologists'™ training. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2020, 30, 5404-5416.	4.5	185
3	High Diagnostic Performance of Short Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocols for Prostate Cancer Detection in Biopsy-naïve Men: The Next Step in Magnetic Resonance Imaging Accessibility. <i>European Urology</i> , 2019, 76, 574-581.	1.9	114
4	Ultra-small superparamagnetic iron oxides for metastatic lymph node detection: back on the block. <i>Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology</i> , 2018, 10, e1471.	6.1	70
5	Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: What Urologists Need to Know. Part 1: Acquisition. <i>European Urology</i> , 2020, 77, 457-468.	1.9	62
6	Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: What Urologists Need to Know. Part 2: Interpretation. <i>European Urology</i> , 2020, 77, 469-480.	1.9	59
7	Clinical use of the SelectMDx urinary-biomarker test with or without mpMRI in prostate cancer diagnosis: a prospective, multicenter study in biopsy-naïve men. <i>Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases</i> , 2021, 24, 1110-1119.	3.9	40
8	Evaluating F-18-PSMA-1007-PET in primary prostate cancer and comparing it to multi-parametric MRI and histopathology. <i>Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases</i> , 2021, 24, 423-430.	3.9	37
9	Focus on the Quality of Prostate Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Synopsis of the ESUR/ESUI Recommendations on Quality Assessment and Interpretation of Images and Radiologists'™ Training. <i>European Urology</i> , 2020, 78, 483-485.	1.9	27
10	Head-to-Head Comparison of ⁶⁸ Ga-Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen PET/CT and Ferumoxtran-10-Enhanced MRI for the Diagnosis of Lymph Node Metastases in Prostate Cancer Patients. <i>Journal of Nuclear Medicine</i> , 2021, 62, 1258-1263.	5.0	26
11	Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Detection of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer: What Urologists Need to Know. Part 4: Transperineal Magnetic Resonance-Ultrasound Fusion Guided Biopsy Using Local Anesthesia. <i>European Urology</i> , 2022, 81, 110-117.	1.9	17
12	External validation of the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Centre and Briganti nomograms for the prediction of lymph node involvement of prostate cancer using clinical stage assessed by magnetic resonance imaging. <i>BJU International</i> , 2021, 128, 236-243.	2.5	10
13	Reply to Jochen Walz, Eric Bastiaan Cornel, et al. High Diagnostic Performance of Short Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocols for Prostate Cancer Detection in Biopsy-naïve Men: The Next Step in Magnetic Resonance Imaging Accessibility. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2019;76:574-581. Are We Meeting Our Standards? Stringent Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Acquisition Requirements Might be Limiting Prostate Accessibility. <i>European Urology</i> , 2020, 77, e58-e59.	1.9	8
14	Can Biparametric Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Fulfill its PROMIS?. <i>European Urology</i> , 2020, 78, 512-514.	1.9	6
15	Clinical implementation of pre-biopsy magnetic resonance imaging pathways for the diagnosis of prostate cancer. <i>BJU International</i> , 2022, 129, 480-490.	2.5	5
16	Ferumoxtran-10-enhanced 3-T Magnetic Resonance Angiography of Pelvic Arteries: Initial Experience. <i>European Urology Focus</i> , 2022, 8, 1802-1808.	3.1	5
17	Reply to Jochen Walz. Let's Keep It at One Step at a Time: Why Biparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging Is Not the Priority Today. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2019;76:582-583. <i>European Urology</i> , 2019, 76, 584-585.	1.9	4
18	Validation of In Vivo Nodal Assessment of Solid Malignancies with USPIO-Enhanced MRI: A Workflow Protocol. <i>Methods and Protocols</i> , 2022, 5, 24.	2.0	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	ESUR/ESUI consensus statements on multi-parametric MRI for the detection of clinically significant prostate cancer: quality requirements for image acquisition, interpretation and radiologists' training. , 2020, 30, 5404.		1
20	Implications of the European Association of Urology Recommended Risk Assessment Algorithm for Early Prostate Cancer Detection. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 43, 1-4.	0.4	1
21	Reply to Kamal Kant Sahu's Letter to the Editor re: Veerle H. Groen, Karin Haustermans, Floris J. Pos, et al. Patterns of Failure Following External Beam Radiotherapy With or Without an Additional Focal Boost in the Randomized Controlled FLAME Trial for Localized Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol. In press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2021.12.012 . European Urology, 2022, ...	1.9	0