## James Thomas

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9481885/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

178 64,116 63 167
papers citations h-index g-index

198 198 198 46011 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n71.	3.0	26,066
2	Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. The Cochrane Library, 2019, 10, ED000142.	1.5	4,644
3	Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2008, 8, 45.	1.4	4,412
4	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 89.	2.5	3,624
5	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. International Journal of Surgery, 2021, 88, 105906.	1.1	3,487
6	PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n160.	3.0	3,413
7	Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. BMJ, The, 2020, 368, 16890.	3.0	1,464
8	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003583.	3.9	1,340
9	Methods for the synthesis of qualitative research: a critical review. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2009, 9, 59.	1.4	1,189
10	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 134, 178-189.	2.4	995
11	The need for a complex systems model of evidence for public health. Lancet, The, 2017, 390, 2602-2604.	6.3	719
12	Clarifying differences between review designs and methods. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 28.	2.5	570
13	Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guÃa actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia, 2021, 74, 790-799.	0.6	473
14	Living systematic review: 1. Introductionâ€"the why, what, when, and how. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 91, 23-30.	2.4	406
15	Living Systematic Reviews: An Emerging Opportunity to Narrow the Evidence-Practice Gap. PLoS Medicine, 2014, 11, e1001603.	3.9	395
16	Integrating qualitative research with trials in systematic reviews. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2004, 328, 1010-1012.	2.4	356
17	Using text mining for study identification in systematic reviews: a systematic review of current approaches. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 5.	2.5	345
18	Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guÃa actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia (English Ed ), 2021, 74, 790-799.	0.4	333

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Psychosocial interventions for supporting women to stop smoking in pregnancy. The Cochrane Library, 2020, 2020, CD001055.	1.5	314
20	Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance seriesâ€"paperÂ3: methods for assessing methodological limitations, data extraction andÂsynthesis, and confidence in synthesized qualitative findings. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 49-58.	2.4	281
21	The effectiveness of community engagement in public health interventions for disadvantaged groups: a meta-analysis. BMC Public Health, 2015, 15, 129.	1.2	279
22	Machine learning for identifying Randomized Controlled Trials: An evaluation and practitioner's guide. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 602-614.	4.2	262
23	Living systematic reviews: 2. Combining human and machine effort. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 91, 31-37.	2.4	246
24	Methodological Issues in Combining Diverse Study Types in Systematic Reviews. International Journal of Social Research Methodology: Theory and Practice, 2005, 8, 257-271.	2.3	233
25	Improving reporting of meta-ethnography: the eMERGe reporting guidance. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2019, 19, 25.	1.4	224
26	The Human Behaviour-Change Project: harnessing the power of artificial intelligence and machine learning for evidence synthesis and interpretation. Implementation Science, 2017, 12, 121.	2.5	216
27	Psychosocial interventions for supporting women to stop smoking in pregnancy. , 2013, , CD001055.		187
28	Living systematic reviews: 4. Living guideline recommendations. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 91, 47-53.	2.4	184
29	Impact and experiences of delayed discharge: A mixedâ€studies systematic review. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 41-56.	1.1	168
30	School-based interventions to prevent anxiety and depression in children and young people: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Lancet Psychiatry,the, 2019, 6, 1011-1020.	3.7	155
31	Applications of text mining within systematic reviews. Research Synthesis Methods, 2011, 2, 1-14.	4.2	146
32	Searching for studies: a guide to information retrieval for Campbell systematic reviews. Campbell Systematic Reviews, 2017, 13, 1-73.	1.2	138
33	Synthesising quantitative evidence in systematic reviews of complex health interventions. BMJ Global Health, 2019, 4, e000858.	2.0	133
34	Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance seriesâ€"paper 2: methods for question formulation, searching, and protocol development for qualitative evidence synthesis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 39-48.	2.4	129
35	Facilitators and Barriers to Engagement in Parenting Programs. Health Education and Behavior, 2014, 41, 127-137.	1.3	127
36	Pinpointing needles in giant haystacks: use of text mining to reduce impractical screening workload in extremely large scoping reviews. Research Synthesis Methods, 2014, 5, 31-49.	4.2	124

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance seriesâ€"paper 1: introduction. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 35-38.	2.4	123
38	Identifying reports of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) via a hybrid machine learning and crowdsourcing approach. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2017, 24, 1165-1168.	2.2	117
39	Reducing systematic review workload through certainty-based screening. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 2014, 51, 242-253.	2.5	116
40	From screening to synthesis: using <scp>nvivo</scp> to enhance transparency in qualitative evidence synthesis. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2017, 26, 873-881.	1.4	114
41	The effects of the school environment on student health: A systematic review of multi-level studies. Health and Place, 2013, 21, 180-191.	1.5	109
42	Narratives of community engagement: a systematic review-derived conceptual framework for public health interventions. BMC Public Health, 2017, 17, 944.	1.2	106
43	Living systematic reviews: 3. Statistical methods for updating meta-analyses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 91, 38-46.	2.4	102
44	Using qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) in systematic reviews of complex interventions: a worked example. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 67.	2.5	100
45	Standardized mean differences in individually-randomized and cluster-randomized trials, with applications to meta-analysis. Clinical Trials, 2005, 2, 141-151.	0.7	99
46	Implications of a complexity perspective for systematic reviews and guideline development in health decision making. BMJ Global Health, 2019, 4, e000899.	2.0	99
47	Making progress with the automation of systematic reviews: principles of the International Collaboration for the Automation of Systematic Reviews (ICASR). Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 77.	2.5	97
48	Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance seriesâ€"paper 5: methods for integrating qualitative and implementation evidence within intervention effectiveness reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 70-78.	2.4	96
49	The school environment and student health: a systematic review and meta-ethnography of qualitative research. BMC Public Health, 2013, 13, 798.	1.2	95
50	Mass media to communicate public health messages in six health topic areas: a systematic review and other reviews of the evidence. Public Health Research, 2019, 7, 1-206.	0.5	92
51	Supporting Systematic Reviews Using Text Mining. Social Science Computer Review, 2009, 27, 509-523.	2.6	90
52	Machine learning algorithms for systematic review: reducing workload in a preclinical review of animal studies and reducing human screening error. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 23.	2.5	90
53	Effectiveness of Mass Media Campaigns to Reduce Alcohol Consumption and Harm: A Systematic Review. Alcohol and Alcoholism, 2018, 53, 302-316.	0.9	88
54	Machine learning reduced workload with minimal risk of missing studies: development and evaluation of a randomized controlled trial classifier for Cochrane Reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 133, 140-151.	2.4	87

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Is Consumer Response to Plain/Standardised Tobacco Packaging Consistent with Framework Convention on Tobacco Control Guidelines? A Systematic Review of Quantitative Studies. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e75919.	1.1	87
56	Use of cost-effectiveness analysis to compare the efficiency of study identification methods in systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 140.	2.5	86
57	The politics of evidence and methodology: lessons from the EPPI-Centre. Evidence and Policy, 2005, 1, 5-32.	0.5	83
58	Developing and Optimising the Use of Logic Models in Systematic Reviews: Exploring Practice and Good Practice in the Use of Programme Theory in Reviews. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0142187.	1.1	82
59	Innovations in framework synthesis as a systematic review method. Research Synthesis Methods, 2020, 11, 316-330.	4.2	80
60	Rapid evidence assessments of research to inform social policy: taking stock and moving forward. Evidence and Policy, 2013, 9, 5-27.	0.5	79
61	School-based self-management interventions for asthma in children and adolescents: a mixed methods systematic review. The Cochrane Library, 2019, 2019, CD011651.	1.5	77
62	Systematic review and meta-analysis of cannabinoids, cannabis-based medicines, and endocannabinoid system modulators tested for antinociceptive effects in animal models of injury-related or pathological persistent pain. Pain, 2021, 162, S26-S44.	2.0	75
63	Epidemiology of Mental Health Attendances at Emergency Departments: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0154449.	1.1	75
64	The views of young children in the UK about obesity, body size, shape and weight: a systematic review. BMC Public Health, 2011, 11, 188.	1.2	72
65	What is positive youth development and how might it reduce substance use and violence? A systematic review and synthesis of theoretical literature. BMC Public Health, 2015, 16, 135.	1.2	69
66	Intervention Component Analysis (ICA): a pragmatic approach for identifying the critical features of complex interventions. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 140.	2.5	66
67	An evaluation of Cochrane Crowd found that crowdsourcing produced accurate results in identifying randomized trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 133, 130-139.	2.4	65
68	Mixed Methods and Systematic Reviews: Examples and Emerging Issues., 2010,, 749-774.		65
69	Improving reporting of metaâ€ethnography: The <scp>eMERG</scp> e reporting guidance. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2019, 75, 1126-1139.	1.5	58
70	A question of trust: can we build an evidence base to gain trust in systematic review automation technologies?. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 143.	2.5	58
71	Rapid reviews may produce different results to systematic reviews: aÂmeta-epidemiological study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 109, 30-41.	2.4	57
72	Decision makers need constantly updated evidence synthesis. Nature, 2021, 600, 383-385.	13.7	57

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Beta-blockers and inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin aldosterone system for chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. The Cochrane Library, 2018, 6, CD012721.	1.5	56
74	â€~Nothing can be done until everything is done': the use of complexity arguments by food, beverage, alcohol and gambling industries. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2017, 71, jech-2017-209710.	2.0	53
75	Clarifying differences between reviews within evidence ecosystems. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 170.	2.5	53
76	Animal models of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A machine-assisted systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Biology, 2019, 17, e3000243.	2.6	53
77	A BEME systematic review of UK undergraduate medical education in the general practice setting: BEME Guide No. 32. Medical Teacher, 2015, 37, 611-630.	1.0	48
78	School-based cognitive-behavioural interventions: A systematic review of effects and inequalities. Health Sociology Review, 2009, 18, 61-78.	1.7	46
79	Emergent approaches to the meta-analysis of multiple heterogeneous complex interventions. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2015, 15, 47.	1.4	46
80	Mediating policy-relevant evidence at speed: are systematic reviews of systematic reviews a useful approach? Evidence and Policy, 2015, 11, 81-97.	0.5	45
81	The use of Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) to address causality in complex systems: a systematic review of research on public health interventions. BMC Public Health, 2021, 21, 877.	1.2	44
82	Inequalities in older LGBT people's health and care needs in the United Kingdom: a systematic scoping review. Ageing and Society, 2021, 41, 493-515.	1.2	42
83	Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance seriesâ€"paper 4: methods for assessing evidence on intervention implementation. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 59-69.	2.4	41
84	Representation of behaviour change interventions and their evaluation: Development of the Upper Level of the Behaviour Change Intervention Ontology. Wellcome Open Research, 2020, 5, 123.	0.9	41
85	Representation of behaviour change interventions and their evaluation: Development of the Upper Level of the Behaviour Change Intervention Ontology. Wellcome Open Research, 2020, 5, 123.	0.9	41
86	The use of evidence in English local public health decision-making: a systematic scoping review. Implementation Science, 2017, 12, 53.	2.5	40
87	Clinical manifestations, prevalence, risk factors, outcomes, transmission, diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19 in pregnancy and postpartum: a living systematic review protocol. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e041868.	0.8	39
88	What are effective strategies to support pupils with emotional and behavioural difficulties (EBD) in mainstream primary schools? Findings from a systematic review of research. Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs, 2004, 4, 2-16.	0.5	38
89	Protocol for a systematic review of the effects of schools and school-environment interventions on health: evidence mapping and syntheses. BMC Public Health, 2011, 11, 453.	1.2	38
90	Systematic reviews of research in education: aims, myths and multiple methods. Review of Education, 2016, 4, 84-102.	1.1	36

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	â€Ît's on your conscience all the time': a systematic review of qualitative studies examining views on obesity among young people aged 12–18 years in the UK. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004404.	0.8	34
92	Meta-analysis, complexity, and heterogeneity: a qualitative interview study of researchers' methodological values and practices. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 192.	2.5	34
93	Semiautomated text analytics for qualitative data synthesis. Research Synthesis Methods, 2019, 10, 452-464.	4.2	34
94	Improving reporting of metaâ€ethnography: The eMERGe reporting guidance. Psycho-Oncology, 2019, 28, 447-458.	1.0	34
95	Does being overweight impede academic attainment? A systematic review. Health Education Journal, 2014, 73, 497-521.	0.6	33
96	Psychosocial Predictors, Assessment, and Outcomes of Cosmetic Procedures: A Systematic Rapid Evidence Assessment. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2014, 38, 1030-1040.	0.5	33
97	Assessing the applicability of public health intervention evaluations from one setting to another: a methodological study of the usability and usefulness of assessment tools and frameworks. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2018, 16, 88.	1.1	33
98	Systematic review and meta-analysis of effects of community-delivered positive youth development interventions on violence outcomes. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2016, 70, 1171-1177.	2.0	32
99	Broadening public participation in systematic reviews: a case example involving young people in two configurative reviews. Research Synthesis Methods, 2015, 6, 206-217.	4.2	30
100	Trialstreamer: A living, automatically updated database of clinical trial reports. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2020, 27, 1903-1912.	2.2	30
101	The development and evaluation of an online application to assist in the extraction of data from graphs for use in systematic reviews. Wellcome Open Research, 2018, 3, 157.	0.9	30
102	Data extraction methods for systematic review (semi)automation: A living systematic review. F1000Research, 2021, 10, 401.	0.8	29
103	The development and evaluation of an online application to assist in the extraction of data from graphs for use in systematic reviews. Wellcome Open Research, 2018, 3, 157.	0.9	29
104	The selection of search sources influences the findings of a systematic review of people's views: a case study in public health. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2012, 12, 55.	1.4	26
105	Risk of bias reporting in the recent animal focal cerebral ischaemia literature. Clinical Science, 2017, 131, 2525-2532.	1.8	26
106	Text mining for search term development in systematic reviewing: A discussion of some methods and challenges. Research Synthesis Methods, 2017, 8, 355-365.	4.2	26
107	Obstacles and opportunities to using research evidence in local public health decision-making in England. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2019, 17, 61.	1.1	26
108	The Human Behaviour-Change Project: An artificial intelligence system to answer questions about changing behaviour. Wellcome Open Research, 2020, 5, 122.	0.9	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	The †active ingredients†for successful community engagement with disadvantaged expectant and new mothers: a qualitative comparative analysis. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2014, 70, 2847-2860.	1.5	24
110	Techniques for identifying cross-disciplinary and †hard-to-detect' evidence for systematic review. Research Synthesis Methods, 2014, 5, 50-59.	4.2	21
111	The importance of serviceâ€users' perspectives: A systematic review of qualitative evidence reveals overlooked critical features of weight management programmes. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 563-573.	1.1	21
112	Effectiveness of school-based self-management interventions for asthma among children and adolescents: findings from a Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. Thorax, 2019, 74, 432-438.	2.7	21
113	Weight management programmes: Reâ€analysis of a systematic review to identify pathways to effectiveness. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 574-584.	1.1	20
114	The views of health guideline developers on the use of automation in health evidence synthesis. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 16.	2.5	20
115	Consulting with young people to inform systematic reviews: an example from a review on the effects of schools on health. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 3225-3235.	1.1	19
116	Conceptualising causal pathways in systematic reviews of international development interventions through adopting a causal chain analysis approach. Journal of Development Effectiveness, 2018, 10, 422-437.	0.4	19
117	Rapid systematic review of systematic reviews: what befriending, social support and low intensity psychosocial interventions, delivered remotely, may reduce social isolation and loneliness among older adults and how?. F1000Research, 0, 9, 1368.	0.8	19
118	School closure in response to epidemic outbreaks: Systems-based logic model of downstream impacts. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 352.	0.8	19
119	Interventions integrating health and academic interventions to prevent substance use and violence: a systematic review and synthesis of process evaluations. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 227.	2.5	18
120	Development, inter-rater reliability and feasibility of a checklist to assess implementation (Ch-IMP) in systematic reviews: the case of provider-based prevention and treatment programs targeting children and youth. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2015, 15, 73.	1.4	17
121	Lifestyle weight management programmes for children: A systematic review using Qualitative Comparative Analysis to identify critical pathways to effectiveness. Preventive Medicine, 2018, 106, 1-12.	1.6	17
122	Discriminating between empirical studies and nonempirical works using automated text classification. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 587-601.	4.2	17
123	†Clustering†Mocuments automatically to support scoping reviews of research: a case study. Research Synthesis Methods, 2013, 4, 230-241.	4.2	16
124	Variations of mixed methods reviews approaches: A case study. Research Synthesis Methods, 2020, 11, 795-811.	4.2	16
125	The effects of Positive Youth Development interventions on substance use, violence and inequalities: systematic review of theories of change, processes and outcomes. Public Health Research, 2016, 4, 1-218.	0.5	16
126	Fathers' involvement and the impact on family mental health: evidence from Millennium Cohort Study analyses. Community, Work and Family, 2013, 16, 212-224.	1.5	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Documenting research with transgender and genderÂdiverse people: protocol for an evidence map and thematic analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 35.	2.5	15
128	A systematic review and synthesis of theories of change of school-based interventions integrating health and academic education as a novel means of preventing violence and substance use among students. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 190.	2.5	15
129	Applying machine classifiers to update searches: Analysis from two case studies. Research Synthesis Methods, 2022, 13, 121-133.	4.2	15
130	Machine learning reduced workload for the Cochrane COVID-19 Study Register: development and evaluation of the Cochrane COVID-19 Study Classifier. Systematic Reviews, 2022, 11, 15.	2.5	15
131	Systematic reviews and their application to research in speech and language therapy: a response to T. R. Pring's â€~Ask a silly question: two decades of troublesome trials' (2004). International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders, 2006, 41, 95-105.	0.7	14
132	Improving reporting of metaâ€ethnography: The <scp>eMERG</scp> e reporting guidance. Review of Education, 2019, 7, 430-451.	1.1	14
133	The use of  PICO for synthesis' and methods for synthesis without meta-analysis: protocol for a survey of current practice in systematic reviews of health interventions. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 678.	0.8	14
134	Data extraction methods for systematic review (semi)automation: A living review protocol. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 210.	0.8	14
135	Promoting physical activity amongst children outside of physical education classes: A systematic review integrating intervention studies and qualitative studies. Health Education Journal, 2005, 64, 323-338.	0.6	13
136	Searching for systematic reviews of the effects of social and environmental interventions: a case study of children and obesity. Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA, 2010, 98, 140-146.	0.6	13
137	#CochraneTech: Technology and the Future of Systematic Reviews. , 2014, , ED000091.		13
138	Inequalities in Health and Care Among Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual People Aged 50 and Older in the United Kingdom: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Sources of Individual Participant Data. Journals of Gerontology - Series B Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 2020, 75, 1758-1771.	2.4	13
139	Electronic cigarettes and subsequent cigarette smoking in young people. The Cochrane Library, 2022, 2022, .	1.5	13
140	Exploring the importance of evidence in local health and wellbeing strategies. Journal of Public Health, 2018, 40, i13-i23.	1.0	12
141	How can additional secondary data analysis of observational data enhance the generalisability of metaâ€analytic evidence for local public health decision making?. Research Synthesis Methods, 2019, 10, 44-56.	4.2	12
142	Developing and testing intervention theory by incorporating a views synthesis into a qualitative comparative analysis of intervention effectiveness. Research Synthesis Methods, 2019, 10, 389-397.	4.2	12
143	On the use of computerâ€assistance to facilitate systematic mapping. Campbell Systematic Reviews, 2020, 16, e1129.	1.2	12
144	When assessing generalisability, focusing on differences in population or setting alone is insufficient. Trials, 2020, 21, 286.	0.7	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Supporting the education evidence portal via text mining. Philosophical Transactions Series A, Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences, 2010, 368, 3829-3844.	1.6	11
146	Gaps in the evidence on improving social care outcomes: findings from a meta-review of systematic reviews. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2017, 25, 1287-1303.	0.7	11
147	School-based interventions to prevent anxiety, depression and conduct disorder in children and young people: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Public Health Research, 2021, 9, 1-284.	0.5	11
148	Current practice in systematic reviews including the †PICO for each synthesis†and methods other than meta-analysis: protocol for a cross-sectional study. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 678.	0.8	11
149	Evidence synthesis software. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2018, 23, 140-141.	1.7	10
150	How Do Contextual Factors Influence Implementation and Receipt of Positive Youth Development Programs Addressing Substance Use and Violence? A Qualitative Meta-Synthesis of Process Evaluations. American Journal of Health Promotion, 2018, 32, 1110-1121.	0.9	8
151	State of the evidence: a survey of global disparities in clinical trials. BMJ Global Health, 2021, 6, e004145.	2.0	8
152	Interventions integrating health and academic education in schools to prevent substance misuse and violence: a systematic review. Public Health Research, 2019, 7, 1-244.	0.5	8
153	Using the realist perspective to link theory from qualitative evidence synthesis to quantitative studies: Broadening the matrix approach. Research Synthesis Methods, 2017, 8, 303-311.	4.2	7
154	A Neural Candidate-Selector Architecture for Automatic Structured Clinical Text Annotation. , 2017, 2017, 1519-1528.		7
155	Rapid systematic review of systematic reviews: what befriending, social support and low intensity psychosocial interventions, delivered remotely, are effective in reducing social isolation and loneliness among older adults? How do they work?. F1000Research, 0, 9, 1368.	0.8	7
156	Influences on User Trust in Healthcare Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Review. Wellcome Open Research, 0, 7, 65.	0.9	7
157	School-based self management interventions for asthma in children and adolescents: a mixed methods systematic review. The Cochrane Library, 2015, , .	1.5	6
158	Accuracy and Efficiency of Machine Learning–Assisted Risk-of-Bias Assessments in "Real-World― Systematic Reviews. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2022, 175, 1001-1009.	2.0	6
159	Just how plain are plain tobacco packs: re-analysis of a systematic review using multilevel meta-analysis suggests lessons about the comparative benefits of synthesis methods. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 153.	2,5	5
160	Protocol for a systematic review of the use of qualitative comparative analysis for evaluative questions in public health research. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 252.	2.5	5
161	Cost-effectiveness of Microsoft Academic Graph with machine learning for automated study identification in a living map of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) research. Wellcome Open Research, 0, 6, 210.	0.9	5
162	Structured Multi-Label Biomedical Text Tagging via Attentive Neural Tree Decoding. , 2018, , .		5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Ongoing developments in metaâ€analytic and quantitative synthesis methods: Broadening the types of research questions that can be addressed. Review of Education, 2016, 4, 5-27.	1.1	4
164	School-based asthma self-management interventions for children and adolescents with asthma. Paediatric Respiratory Reviews, 2019, 31, 40-42.	1.2	4
165	The development and evaluation of an online application to assist in the extraction of data from graphs for use in systematic reviews. Wellcome Open Research, 0, 3, 157.	0.9	4
166	Disciplinarity and the Organisation of Scholarly Writing in Educational Studies in the UK: 1970–2010. British Journal of Educational Studies, 2012, 60, 357-386.	0.9	3
167	Integration of academic and health education for the prevention of physical aggression and violence in young people: systematic review, narrative synthesis and intervention components analysis. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e020793.	0.8	3
168	What are the impacts of within-field farmland management practices on the flux of greenhouse gases from arable cropland in temperate regions? A systematic map protocol. Environmental Evidence, 2019, 8, .	1.1	3
169	†Leading from the front†implementation increases the success of influenza vaccination drives among healthcare workers: a reanalysis of systematic review evidence using Intervention Component Analysis (ICA) and Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA). BMC Health Services Research, 2022, 22, 653.	0.9	3
170	Existing evidence on the impacts of within-field farmland management practices on the flux of greenhouse gases from arable cropland in temperate regions: a systematic map. Environmental Evidence, 2022, $11$ , .	1,1	3
171	Beta-blockers and inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin aldosterone system for chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. The Cochrane Library, 2017, , .	1.5	2
172	Approaches to evidence synthesis in international development: a research agenda. Journal of Development Effectiveness, 2018, 10, 305-326.	0.4	2
173	Using existing systematic reviews for developing vaccination recommendations: Results of an international expert workshop. Vaccine, 2021, 39, 3103-3110.	1.7	2
174	PROTOCOL: What is the impact of complex WASH interventions on gender and social equality outcomes in low†and middleâ€income countries? A mixedâ€method systematic review protocol. Campbell Systematic Reviews, 2021, 17, e1164.	1.2	2
175	Context and Implications Document for: Ongoing developments in metaâ€analytic and quantitative synthesis methods: Broadening the types of research questions that can be addressed. Review of Education, 2016, 4, 28-30.	1.1	0
176	Citation analysis may well have a role to play in study identification, but more evaluation and system development are required. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 97, 125.	2.4	0
177	Evidence surveillance to keep up to date with new research. , 2018, , 189-208.		0
178	A systematic approach to mapping longitudinal data usage: Reflections on tracking Millennium Cohort Study activity. F1000Research, 0, 7, 1559.	0.8	0