

# Wendy V Norman

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9259826/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

125  
papers

1,464  
citations

304743

22  
h-index

414414

32  
g-index

136  
all docs

136  
docs citations

136  
times ranked

1153  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Association of Short Interpregnancy Interval With Pregnancy Outcomes According to Maternal Age. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 178, 1661.	5.1	108
2	Canadian Contraception Consensus (Part 3 of 4): Chapter 7 “Intrauterine Contraception. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2016, 38, 182-222.	0.7	65
3	Medical Abortion. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2016, 38, 366-389.	0.7	60
4	Induced abortion in Canada 1974–2005: trends over the first generation with legal access. <i>Contraception</i> , 2012, 85, 185-191.	1.5	59
5	Canadian Contraception Consensus (Part 1 of 4). <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2015, 37, 936-938.	0.7	55
6	Preventing repeat abortion in Canada: is the immediate insertion of intrauterine devices postabortion a cost-effective option associated with fewer repeat abortions?. <i>Contraception</i> , 2012, 85, 51-55.	1.5	45
7	The impact of COVID-19 on contraception and abortion care policy and practice: experiences from selected countries. <i>BMJ Sexual and Reproductive Health</i> , 2020, 46, 241-243.	1.7	43
8	Barriers to Rural Induced Abortion Services in Canada: Findings of the British Columbia Abortion Providers Survey (BCAPS). <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e67023.	2.5	39
9	No. 329-Canadian Contraception Consensus Part 4 of 4 Chapter 9: Combined Hormonal Contraception. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2017, 39, 229-268.e5.	0.7	37
10	Perspectives Among Canadian Physicians on Factors Influencing Implementation of Mifepristone Medical Abortion: A National Qualitative Study. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2020, 18, 413-421.	1.9	35
11	Abortion Safety and Use with Normally Prescribed Mifepristone in Canada. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2022, 386, 57-67.	27.0	34
12	A Review of Therapeutic Abortions and Related Areas of Concern in Canada. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2012, 34, 532-542.	0.7	31
13	The Rising Challenge of Training Physician–Scientists: Recommendations From a Canadian National Consensus Conference. <i>Academic Medicine</i> , 2018, 93, 172-178.	1.6	31
14	No. 360-Induced Abortion: Surgical Abortion and Second Trimester Medical Methods. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2018, 40, 750-783.	0.7	30
15	Could implementation of mifepristone address Canada’s urban–rural abortion access disparity: a mixed-methods implementation study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e028443.	1.9	30
16	Abortion health services in Canada: Results of a 2012 national survey. <i>Canadian Family Physician</i> , 2016, 62, e209-e217.	0.4	30
17	When there are no abortion laws: A case study of Canada. <i>Best Practice and Research in Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2020, 62, 49-62.	2.8	28
18	Best Practices to Minimize Risk of Infection With Intrauterine Device Insertion. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2014, 36, 266-274.	0.7	27

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Canadian Contraception Consensus (Part 2 of 4). <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2015, 37, 1033-1035.	0.7	26
20	Barriers and Facilitators to Family Planning Access in Canada. <i>Healthcare Policy</i> , 2015, 10, 48-63.	0.6	25
21	The Perspective of Rural Physicians Providing Abortion in Canada: Qualitative Findings of the BC Abortion Providers Survey (BCAPS). <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e67070.	2.5	24
22	First trimester medication abortion practice in the United States and Canada. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0186487.	2.5	24
23	Making a Case for Abortion Curriculum Reform: A Knowledge-Assessment Survey of Undergraduate Medical Students. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2011, 33, 38-45.	0.7	23
24	Measuring interprofessional competencies and attitudes among health professional students creating family planning virtual patient cases. <i>BMC Medical Education</i> , 2016, 16, 273.	2.4	23
25	The acceptability of contraception task-sharing among pharmacists in Canada – the ACT-Pharm study. <i>Contraception</i> , 2015, 92, 55-61.	1.5	22
26	Requiring physicians to dispense mifepristone: an unnecessary limit on safety and access to medical abortion. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2016, 188, E429-E430.	2.0	21
27	Slow implementation of mifepristone medical termination of pregnancy in Quebec, Canada: a qualitative investigation. <i>European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care</i> , 2020, 25, 190-198.	1.5	19
28	Canadian Contraception Consensus (Part 3 of 4): Chapter 8 – Progestin-Only Contraception. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2016, 38, 279-300.	0.7	18
29	Withdrawal-associated injury site pain (WISP): a descriptive case series of an opioid cessation phenomenon. <i>Pain</i> , 2016, 157, 2865-2874.	4.2	18
30	Second-trimester surgical abortion practices in the United States. <i>Contraception</i> , 2018, 98, 95-99.	1.5	18
31	First-trimester aspiration abortion practices: a survey of United States abortion providers. <i>Contraception</i> , 2019, 99, 10-15.	1.5	17
32	Implementation of mifepristone medical abortion in Canada: pilot and feasibility testing of a survey to assess facilitators and barriers. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2019, 5, 126.	1.2	16
33	Development of an international sexual and reproductive health survey instrument: results from a pilot WHO/HRP consultative Delphi process. <i>Sexually Transmitted Infections</i> , 2022, 98, 38-43.	1.9	15
34	Telemedicine and medical abortion: dispelling safety myths, with facts. <i>MHealth</i> , 2018, 4, 3-3.	1.6	14
35	First-trimester medical abortion practices in Canada: National survey. <i>Canadian Family Physician</i> , 2016, 62, e201-e208.	0.4	14
36	Access to Complex Abortion Care Service and Planning Improved through a Toll-Free Telephone Resource Line. <i>Obstetrics and Gynecology International</i> , 2014, 2014, 1-4.	1.3	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	A systematic review of the effect of reproductive intention screening in primary care settings on reproductive health outcomes. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2018, 35, 122-131.	1.9	13
38	Pharmacist dispensing of the abortion pill in Canada: Diffusion of Innovation meets integrated knowledge translation. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2021, 16, 76.	6.9	13
39	Barriers and Facilitators to the Implementation of First Trimester Medical Abortion With Mifepristone in the Province of Qu�bec: A Qualitative Investigation. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2020, 42, 576-582.	0.7	12
40	Feasibility and Acceptability of a Mobile Technology Intervention to Support Postabortion Care in British Columbia: Phase I. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2019, 21, e13387.	4.3	12
41	New anomalies due to methotrexate and misoprostol exposure in early pregnancy. <i>International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2013, 122, 267-268.	2.3	11
42	The perspective of Canadian health care professionals on abortion service during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2021, 38, i30-i36.	1.9	11
43	Feasibility and Acceptability of a Mobile Technology Intervention to Support Postabortion Care (The Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overbo e14558.	2.0	11
44	Making a Case for Abortion Curriculum Reform: A Knowledge-Assessment Survey of Undergraduate Medical Students. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2011, 33, 580.	0.7	10
45	Development of a Survey to Assess the Acceptability of an Innovative Contraception Practice among Rural Pharmacists. <i>Pharmacy (Basel, Switzerland)</i> , 2014, 2, 124-136.	1.6	10
46	Validating abortion procedure coding in Canadian administrative databases. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2016, 16, 255.	2.2	10
47	Non-use of Contraception by Canadian Youth Aged 15 to 24: Findings From the 2009�2010 Canadian Community Health Survey. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2019, 41, 29-37.	0.7	10
48	What Proportion of Canadian Women Will Accept an Intrauterine Contraceptive at the Time of Second Trimester Abortion? Baseline Data From a Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2014, 36, 51-59.	0.7	9
49	Pharmacist checklist and resource guide for mifepristone medical abortion: User-centred development and testing. <i>Canadian Pharmacists Journal</i> , 2021, 154, 166-174.	0.8	9
50	Accuracy of Gestational Age Estimated by Menstrual Dating in Women Seeking Abortion Beyond Nine Weeks. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2011, 33, 252-257.	0.7	8
51	Household income and contraceptive methods among female youth: a cross-sectional study using the Canadian Community Health Survey (2009�2010 and 2013�2014). <i>CMAJ Open</i> , 2019, 7, E646-E653.	2.4	8
52	Leadership for success in transforming medical abortion policy in Canada. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0227216.	2.5	8
53	Short interpregnancy interval and pregnancy outcomes: How important is the timing of confounding variable ascertainment?. <i>Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 35, 428-437.	1.7	7
54	Provision of first-trimester medication abortion in 2019: Results from the Canadian abortion provider survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2022, 113, 19-25.	1.5	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Abortion services in Canada: results of the 2012 national survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2014, 90, 300.	1.5	6
56	Inter-pregnancy interval and pregnancy outcomes among women with delayed childbearing: protocol for a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 75.	5.3	6
57	Abortion by telemedicine: an equitable option for Irish women. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 357, j2237.	2.3	6
58	Linking opioid-induced hyperalgesia and withdrawal-associated injury site pain: a case report. <i>Pain Reports</i> , 2018, 3, e648.	2.7	6
59	Development and testing for a national survey: The Canadian Abortion Provider Survey (CAPS). <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2020, 42, 690.	0.7	6
60	Immediate vs. delayed insertion of intrauterine contraception after second trimester abortion: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2011, 12, 149.	1.6	5
61	Abortion providers'™ resilience to antichoice tactics in the United States and Canada. <i>Contraception</i> , 2014, 90, 300-301.	1.5	5
62	Regulatory approval time for hormonal contraception in Canada, the United States and the United Kingdom, 2000-2015: a retrospective data analysis. <i>CMAJ Open</i> , 2016, 4, E654-E660.	2.4	5
63	A tale of two countries: women's™ reproductive rights in Ireland and the US. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2018, 361, k2471.	2.3	5
64	A Virtual Community of Practice to Support Physician Uptake of a Novel Abortion Practice: Mixed Methods Case Study. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2022, 24, e34302.	4.3	5
65	Abortion in British Columbia: trends over 10 years compared to Canada. <i>Contraception</i> , 2011, 84, 316.	1.5	4
66	The effects of asking a fertility intention question in primary care settings: a systematic review protocol. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 11.	5.3	4
67	Abortion care in Canada is decided between a woman and her doctor, without recourse to criminal law. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 356, j1506.	2.3	4
68	Book of Abstracts: The 15th Congress of the European Society of Contraception and Reproductive Health. <i>European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care</i> , 2018, 23, 1-143.	1.5	4
69	Why public health matters today and tomorrow: the role of applied public health research. <i>Canadian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2019, 110, 317-322.	2.3	4
70	Explaining slow implementation of mifepristone in Quebec with the transtheoretical model of change. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2020, 42, 677.	0.7	4
71	Comparing options for females seeking permanent contraception in high resource countries: a systematic review. <i>Reproductive Health</i> , 2021, 18, 154.	3.1	4
72	Contraceptive counselling in 3 Canadian bariatric surgery clinics: a multicentre qualitative study of the experiences of patients and health care providers. <i>CMAJ Open</i> , 2022, 10, E255-E261.	2.4	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Metronidazole Prophylaxis Before Surgical Abortion: Retrospective Review of 51 330 Cases. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2012, 34, 648-652.	0.7	3
74	Experiences of rural versus urban abortion providers in British Colombia: interview findings from the BC Abortion Providers Survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2012, 86, 305.	1.5	3
75	IUD String Perforation Through Anterior Cervix: A Case Report. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2015, 37, 345-348.	0.7	3
76	Consensus canadien sur la contraception (2 e partie de 4). <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2015, 37, 1036-1039.	0.7	3
77	Consensus canadien sur la contraception (3e partie de 4) : chapitre 8 "contraception" progestatif seul. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2016, 38, 301-326.	0.7	3
78	Avortement médical. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2016, 38, 390-420.	0.7	3
79	Abortion services in Canada: access and safety. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2019, 191, E517-E518.	2.0	3
80	Comparing options for women seeking permanent contraception in high-resource countries: a protocol for a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 74.	5.3	3
81	Do medication abortion complications increase when restrictive risk evaluation and mitigation strategy regulations are removed? A population-based study using single-payer linked health administrative data. <i>Contraception</i> , 2020, 102, 273.	1.5	3
82	Improving Post-Release Care Engagement for People Living with HIV Involved in the Criminal Justice System: A Systematic Review. <i>AIDS and Behavior</i> , 2021, , 1.	2.7	3
83	The contraceptive experience among women seeking abortion. <i>Contraception</i> , 2011, 84, 314.	1.5	2
84	Canadian rural compared to urban first- and second-trimester abortion services: findings of the British Colombia abortion provider survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2012, 86, 305.	1.5	2
85	Sexually active youth in Canada: regional variations in pregnancy risk, from the 2009-2010 Canadian community health survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2013, 88, 451.	1.5	2
86	Policy makers on the research team: real-time health policy improvements during mifepristone implementation research in Canada. <i>Contraception</i> , 2018, 98, 331.	1.5	2
87	Failure to monitor or prevent unintended pregnancy is the key intergenerational problem, not the pregnancy outcome. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2018, 190, E600-E600.	2.0	2
88	Trends and determinants of postabortion contraception use in a Canadian retrospective cohort. <i>Contraception</i> , 2019, 100, 96-100.	1.5	2
89	Optimizing the Nursing Role in Abortion Care: Considerations for Health Equity. <i>Canadian Journal of Nursing Leadership</i> , 2022, 35, 54-68.	1.0	2
90	In Response. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2011, 33, 993-994.	0.7	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Comparing the effectiveness of copper intrauterine devices available in Canada. Is FlexiT non-inferior to NovaT when inserted immediately after first-trimester abortion? Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2012, 13, 147.	1.6	1
92	The Canadian contraception access survey: regional differences in access and quality of care of contraceptive services. <i>Contraception</i> , 2012, 86, 310.	1.5	1
93	Contraceptive access in Canada compared with access in other countries. <i>Contraception</i> , 2015, 92, 387-388.	1.5	1
94	Second-trimester surgical and medical abortion practice in Canada in 2012: a national survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2017, 95, 517.	1.5	1
95	A call for precision in coronavirus disease case reporting: a crucial step more important now than ever. <i>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> , 2020, 223, 613-614.	1.3	1
96	Contraception Practices Among Women on Opioid Agonist Therapy. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2021, 43, 204-210.	0.7	1
97	Nurses are Key Members of the Abortion Care Team: Why aren't Schools of Nursing Teaching Abortion Care?. <i>Witness the Canadian Journal of Critical Nursing Discourse</i> , 2019, 1, 17-29.	0.6	1
98	Do you have a secret researcher inside?: High-quality family medicine research at Family Medicine Forum. <i>Canadian Family Physician</i> , 2014, 60, 602-4, 608-10.	0.4	1
99	Training family physicians as researchers: Outcomes over 15 years for Canada's first clinician scholar program. <i>Canadian Family Physician</i> , 2019, 65, 45-51.	0.4	1
100	Preventing repeat abortion: is the immediate insertion of intrauterine devices post-abortion associated with fewer repeat abortions in a Canadian population?. <i>Contraception</i> , 2010, 82, 207.	1.5	0
101	A Review of Therapeutic Abortions and Related Areas of Concern in Canada. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2012, 34, 1023-1025.	0.7	0
102	Setting a Canadian family planning research agenda: opportunities and priorities. <i>Contraception</i> , 2012, 86, 304-305.	1.5	0
103	Age-related variations in contraceptive method use among Canadian females aged 15-24 years: data from the 2009-2010 Canadian community health survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2013, 88, 451.	1.5	0
104	Regional variation in contraceptive method use among young men and women in Canada. <i>Contraception</i> , 2013, 88, 452.	1.5	0
105	Our struggle to implement best practices: the example of antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract infection. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2015, 32, cmv055.	1.9	0
106	Accuracy of surgical abortion data capture in Canadian Government Health Administration Databases. <i>Contraception</i> , 2015, 92, 371.	1.5	0
107	Subsequent pregnancies after abortion by contraception method. <i>Contraception</i> , 2016, 93, 470.	1.5	0
108	Immediate versus delayed insertion of intrauterine contraception after second-trimester abortion: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Contraception</i> , 2016, 94, 402.	1.5	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	First-trimester surgical abortion practice in Canada in 2012: a national survey. <i>Contraception</i> , 2017, 95, 516-517.	1.5	0
110	Mifepristone checklist for pharmacists – development and testing a user-requested tool. <i>Contraception</i> , 2018, 98, 341.	1.5	0
111	The effect of short interpregnancy interval on adverse pregnancy outcomes for older mothers: a population-based cohort. <i>Contraception</i> , 2018, 98, 361.	1.5	0
112	Consensus canadien sur la contraception (partie 3 de 4): chapitre 7 - Contraception intra-utérine. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2019, 41, S1-S23.	0.7	0
113	1076: Interpregnancy interval and perinatal outcomes after a perinatal loss. <i>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> , 2020, 222, S664.	1.3	0
114	What factors influence implementation of mifepristone medical abortion among Canadian physicians? Results of a national qualitative investigation. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2020, 42, 687.	0.7	0
115	Let's keep our eye on the ball. <i>BMJ Sexual and Reproductive Health</i> , 2020, 46, 159-160.	1.7	0
116	Calling a Spade a Spoon: Are Non-American Donors Likely to Need the Same "Reshaping" of Terms for International Family Planning?. <i>World Health &amp; Population</i> , 2016, 17, 21-25.	0.2	0
117	What would be the likely impact of decriminalisation on the incidence, timing, provision and safety of abortion?. , 2020, , 99-126.		0
118	Learners' Critical Thinking Processes when using Virtual Patient Cases. , 2012, 2012, 52.		0
119	A review of therapeutic abortions and related areas of concern in Canada. <i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada</i> , 2012, 34, 1024-5.	0.7	0
120	Decriminalisation of abortion in New Zealand and Australia. <i>New Zealand Medical Journal</i> , 2019, 132, 93-95.	0.5	0
121	Leadership for success in transforming medical abortion policy in Canada. , 2020, 15, e0227216.		0
122	Leadership for success in transforming medical abortion policy in Canada. , 2020, 15, e0227216.		0
123	Leadership for success in transforming medical abortion policy in Canada. , 2020, 15, e0227216.		0
124	Leadership for success in transforming medical abortion policy in Canada. , 2020, 15, e0227216.		0
125	A more accurate approach to define abortion cohorts using linked administrative data: an application to Ontario, Canada. <i>International Journal of Population Data Science</i> , 2022, 7, .	0.1	0