Maria C Katapodi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9049811/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Swiss cost-effectiveness analysis of universal screening for Lynch syndrome of patients with colorectal cancer followed by cascade genetic testing of relatives. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2022, 59, 924-930.	3.2	16
2	Intention to Inform Relatives, Rates of Cascade Testing, and Preference for Patient-Mediated Communication in Families Concerned with Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer and Lynch Syndrome: The Swiss CASCADE Cohort. Cancers, 2022, 14, 1636.	3.7	8
3	Distinct Cognitive Function Profiles Are Associated With a Higher Presurgery Symptom Burden in Patients With Breast Cancer. Cancer Nursing, 2022, Publish Ahead of Print, .	1.5	1
4	Accuracy of Perceived Breast Cancer Risk in Black and White Women with an Elevated Risk. Ethnicity and Disease, 2022, 32, 81-90.	2.3	3
5	Pre-Surgery Demographic, Clinical, and Symptom Characteristics Associated with Different Self-Reported Cognitive Processes in Patients with Breast Cancer. Cancers, 2022, 14, 3281.	3.7	5
6	Interventions Facilitating Family Communication of Genetic Testing Results and Cascade Screening in Hereditary Breast/Ovarian Cancer or Lynch Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cancers, 2021, 13, 925.	3.7	29
7	Using a Tailored Digital Health Intervention for Family Communication and Cascade Genetic Testing in Swiss and Korean Families With Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer: Protocol for the DIALOGUE Study. JMIR Research Protocols, 2021, 10, e26264.	1.0	7
8	Genetic Literacy and Communication of Genetic Information in Families Concerned with Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer: A Cross-Study Comparison in Two Countries and within a Timeframe of More Than 10 Years. Cancers, 2021, 13, 6254.	3.7	5
9	Precision health: A nursing perspective. International Journal of Nursing Sciences, 2020, 7, 5-12.	1.3	37
10	Physicians communicating with women at genetic risk of breast and ovarian cancer: Are we in the middle of the ford between contradictory messages and unshared decision making?. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0240054.	2.5	6
11	Genetic Testing and Surveillance of Young Breast Cancer Survivors and Blood Relatives: A Cluster Randomized Trial. Cancers, 2020, 12, 2526.	3.7	3
12	Machine learning-based lifetime breast cancer risk reclassification compared with the BOADICEA model: impact on screening recommendations. British Journal of Cancer, 2020, 123, 860-867.	6.4	30
13	An advanced practice nurseâ€led delirium consultation service reduces delirium severity and length of stay in orthopedic patients: A nonrandomized posttest only evaluation study. Perspectives in Psychiatric Care, 2020, 56, 804-810.	1.9	3
14	Changes in Attentional Function in Patients From Before Through 12ÂMonths After Breast Cancer Surgery. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2020, 59, 1172-1185.	1.2	8
15	Letter to the editor: Response to Giardiello D, Antoniou AC, Mariani L, Easton DF, Steyerberg EW. Breast Cancer Research, 2020, 22, 35.	5.0	1
16	Dissemination of Genetic Information in Swiss Families with Lynch Syndrome: A Qualitative Exploratory Study. Clinical Oncology and Research, 2020, , 1-5.	0.0	3
17	Communication of genetic information to family members in hereditary cancers and healthcare providers' role. Annals of Oncology, 2019, 30, v848.	1.2	1
18	Use of Endocrine Therapy for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 3152-3165.	1.6	117

Maria C Katapodi

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
19	Machine learning techniques for personalized breast cancer risk prediction: comparison with the BCRAT and BOADICEA models. Breast Cancer Research, 2019, 21, 75.	5.0	73
20	Disparities in genetic services utilization in a random sample of young breast cancer survivors. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 1363-1370.	2.4	33
21	Nursing genetics and genomics: The International Society of Nurses in Genetics (ISONG) survey. Nurse Education Today, 2018, 63, 12-17.	3.3	22
22	Surveillance for cancer recurrence in long-term young breast cancer survivors randomly selected from a statewide cancer registry. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 169, 141-152.	2.5	12
23	Challenges and Opportunities for Cancer Predisposition Cascade Screening for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer and Lynch Syndrome in Switzerland: Findings from an International Workshop. Public Health Genomics, 2018, 21, 121-132.	1.0	20
24	Predictors and interdependence of family support in a random sample of longâ€ŧerm young breast cancer survivors and their biological relatives. Cancer Medicine, 2018, 7, 4980-4992.	2.8	9
25	Development of a Web-based Family Intervention for BRCA Carriers and Their Biological Relatives: Acceptability, Feasibility, and Usability Study. JMIR Cancer, 2018, 4, e7.	2.4	19
26	The relationship between illness representations, risk perception and fear of cancer recurrence in breast cancer survivors. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1270-1277.	2.3	47
27	Improving Utilization of the Family History in the Electronic Health Record. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 2017, 49, 80-86.	2.4	19
28	Recruiting families at risk for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer from a statewide cancer registry: a methodological study. Cancer Causes and Control, 2017, 28, 191-201.	1.8	18
29	Cancer Predisposition Cascade Screening for Hereditary Breast/Ovarian Cancer and Lynch Syndromes in Switzerland: Study Protocol. JMIR Research Protocols, 2017, 6, e184.	1.0	30
30	Qualitative Analysis of the Experience of Mental Fatigue of Family Caregivers of Patients With Cancer in Phase I Trials. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2016, 43, E153-E160.	1.2	6
31	Use of Cancer Genetics Services in African-American Young Breast Cancer Survivors. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2016, 51, 427-436.	3.0	42
32	Advanced nursing practice and research contributions to precision medicine. Nursing Outlook, 2016, 64, 117-123.	2.6	35
33	The 2014–2018 Oncology Nursing Society Research Agenda. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2015, 42, 450-465.	1.2	43
34	Factors influencing breast cancer screening and risk assessment among young African American women: An integrative review of the literature. Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners, 2015, 27, 521-529.	0.9	12
35	The Interdependence of Advanced Cancer Patients' and Their Family Caregivers' Mental Health, Physical Health, and Self-Efficacy over Time. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2015, 49, 901-911.	2.9	144
36	A Tailored Web-Based Psychoeducational Intervention for Cancer Patients and Their Family Caregivers. Cancer Nursing, 2014, 37, 321-330.	1.5	117

Maria C Katapodi

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Individual and family characteristics associated with <i>BRCA1/2</i> genetic testing in highâ€risk families. Psycho-Oncology, 2013, 22, 1336-1343.	2.3	23
38	Using a state cancer registry to recruit young breast cancer survivors and high-risk relatives: protocol of a randomized trial testing the efficacy of a targeted versus a tailored intervention to increase breast cancer screening. BMC Cancer, 2013, 13, 97.	2.6	20
39	Use of Pharmacologic Interventions for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2013, 31, 2942-2962.	1.6	279
40	The Impact of Caregiving on the Psychological Well-Being of Family Caregivers and Cancer Patients. Seminars in Oncology Nursing, 2012, 28, 236-245.	1.5	309
41	Differences Between Women Who Pursued Genetic Testing for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer and Their At-Risk Relatives Who Did Not. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2011, 38, 572-581.	1.2	19
42	Comparative Effectiveness Research: Using Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses to Synthesize Empirical Evidence. Research and Theory for Nursing Practice, 2011, 25, 191-209.	0.4	5
43	Psychometric Testing of the Decisional Conflict Scale. Nursing Research, 2011, 60, 368-377.	1.7	27
44	Why Some Women Have an Optimistic or a Pessimistic Bias About Their Breast Cancer Risk. Cancer Nursing, 2010, 33, 64-73.	1.5	35
45	Interventions with Family Caregivers of Cancer Patients: Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 2010, 60, n/a-n/a.	329.8	518
46	Distrust, predisposition to use health services and breast cancer screening: Results from a multicultural community-based survey. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2010, 47, 975-983.	5.6	31
47	A critical review of the evidence for nurses as information providers to cancer patients. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2010, 19, 749-765.	3.0	71
48	Underestimation of Breast Cancer Risk: Influence on Screening Behavior. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2009, 36, 306-314.	1.2	65
49	Quantifying Smoking Cessation Outcomes: From The Women's Initiative for Nonsmoking Study (X). Nursing Research, 2006, 55, 292-297.	1.7	5
50	Evaluation of a visit preparation intervention implemented in two rural, underserved counties of Northern California. Patient Education and Counseling, 2006, 64, 350-359.	2.2	27
51	Prospective Assessment of Fatigue and Health Status in Greek Patients With Breast Cancer Undergoing Adjuvant Radiotherapy. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2006, 33, 603-610.	1.2	25
52	Perceived breast cancer risk: heuristic reasoning and search for a dominance structure. Social Science and Medicine, 2005, 60, 421-432.	3.8	40
53	Do Women in the Community Recognize Hereditary and Sporadic Breast Cancer Risk Factors?. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2005, 32, 617-623.	1.2	20
54	Predictors of perceived breast cancer risk and the relation between perceived risk and breast cancer screening: a meta-analytic review. Preventive Medicine, 2004, 38, 388-402.	3.4	399

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	The Influence of Social Support on Breast Cancer Screening in a Multicultural Community Sample. Oncology Nursing Forum, 2002, 29, 845-852.	1.2	100
56	Culture as an influence on breast cancer screening and early detection. Seminars in Oncology Nursing, 2000, 16, 238-247.	1.5	38