

Ann-Marie Towers

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8902973/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

33
papers

760
citations

687363

13
h-index

552781

26
g-index

33
all docs

33
docs citations

33
times ranked

835
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The uptake and use of a minimum data set (MDS) for older people living and dying in care homes: a realist review. <i>BMC Geriatrics</i> , 2022, 22, 33.	2.7	12
2	Developing a Scale of Care Work-Related Quality of Life (CWRQoL) for Long-Term Care Workers in England. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2022, 19, 945.	2.6	7
3	Understanding and measuring the work-related quality of life among those working in adult social care: A scoping review. <i>Health and Social Care in the Community</i> , 2022, 30, 1637-1664.	1.6	10
4	Using linked health and social care data to understand service delivery and planning and improve outcomes. <i>Age and Ageing</i> , 2022, 51, .	1.6	0
5	Developing a minimum data set for older adult care homes in the UK: exploring the concept and defining early core principles. <i>The Lancet Healthy Longevity</i> , 2022, 3, e186-e193.	4.6	19
6	Social care-related quality of life of people with dementia and their carers in England. <i>Health and Social Care in the Community</i> , 2022, 30, .	1.6	4
7	Supporting the involvement of older adults with complex needs in evaluation of outcomes in long-term care at home programmes. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2022, 25, 1453-1463.	2.6	4
8	Unmet social care needs of people living with and beyond cancer: prevalence and predictors from an English longitudinal survey. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2021, 30, 874-881.	2.3	3
9	Care home residents' quality of life and its association with CQC ratings and workforce issues: the MiCareHQ mixed-methods study. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2021, 9, 1-188.	1.4	9
10	Letter to the Editor re: McEwan et al (2019) Moving on from Quality Assurance: Exploring Systems' Outcomes in Disability Services. <i>Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities</i> , 2020, 17, 376-378.	2.7	0
11	Uptake and use of a minimum data set (MDS) for older people living and dying in care homes in England: a realist review protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e040397.	1.9	10
12	Feasibility, factor structure and construct validity of the easy-read Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT-ER). <i>Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability</i> , 2020, 45, 119-132.	1.6	10
13	Producing 'Top Tips' for Care Home Staff During the COVID-19 Pandemic in England: Rapid Reviews Inform Evidence-Based Practice but Reveal Major Gaps. <i>Journal of Long-Term Care</i> , 2020, .	1.1	11
14	A Cohesive Research Approach to Assess Care-Related Quality of Life: Lessons Learned From Adapting an Easy Read Survey With Older Service Users With Cognitive Impairment. <i>International Journal of Qualitative Methods</i> , 2019, 18, 160940691985496.	2.8	14
15	A cross-sectional study exploring the relationship between regulator quality ratings and care home residents' quality of life in England. <i>Health and Quality of Life Outcomes</i> , 2019, 17, 22.	2.4	24
16	Encouraging managers of care homes for older adults to participate in research. <i>Quality in Ageing and Older Adults</i> , 2019, 20, 120-129.	0.8	11
17	Exploring the feasibility and validity of a pragmatic approach to estimating the impact of long-term care: The 'expected' ASCOT method. <i>Journal of Long-Term Care</i> , 2019, .	1.1	8
18	Being occupied: supporting 'meaningful activity' in care homes for older people in England. <i>Ageing and Society</i> , 2018, 38, 2218-2240.	1.7	33

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Why are relatives of care home residents reluctant to "rock the boat"? Is there a culture of acceptance?. Working With Older People, 2017, 21, 124-132.	0.4	6
20	Validity and test-retest reliability of the self-completion adult social care outcomes toolkit (ASCOT-SCT4) with adults with long-term physical, sensory and mental health conditions in England. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2017, 15, 163.	2.4	26
21	The Long-Term Conditions Questionnaire: conceptual framework and item development. Patient Related Outcome Measures, 2016, Volume 7, 109-125.	1.2	14
22	The acceptability and feasibility of using the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT) to inform practice in care homes. BMC Health Services Research, 2016, 16, 523.	2.2	24
23	Dutch translation and cross-cultural validation of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 56.	2.4	22
24	Adapting the adult social care outcomes toolkit (ASCOT) for use in care home quality monitoring: conceptual development and testing. BMC Health Services Research, 2015, 15, 304.	2.2	10
25	USING COST-EFFECTIVENESS ESTIMATES FROM SURVEY DATA TO GUIDE COMMISSIONING: AN APPLICATION TO HOME CARE. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2014, 23, 979-992.	1.7	20
26	Feeling in control: comparing older people's experiences in different care settings. Ageing and Society, 2014, 34, 1427-1451.	1.7	21
27	Quality of life outcomes for residents and quality ratings of care homes: is there a relationship?. Age and Ageing, 2012, 41, 512-517.	1.6	32
28	Deciding to move into extra care housing: residents'™ views. Ageing and Society, 2012, 32, 1215-1245.	1.7	32
29	The characteristics of residents in extra care housing and care homes in England. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2012, 20, 87-96.	1.6	35
30	An assessment of the construct validity of the ASCOT measure of social care-related quality of life with older people. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2012, 10, 21.	2.4	94
31	Outcomes of social care for adults: developing a preference-weighted measure.. Health Technology Assessment, 2012, 16, 1-166.	2.8	222
32	Slicing Up the Pie: Allocation of Central Government Funding of Care of Older People. Social Policy and Administration, 2010, 44, 529-553.	3.0	13
33	Exploring the structural characteristics of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT) and ASCOT-Carer. NIHR Open Research, 0, 2, 21.	0.0	0