James F O'mahony

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8815592/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 677142 39 514 13 22 h-index g-index citations papers 41 41 41 1029 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Cost-effectiveness evidence on approved cancer drugs in Ireland: the limits of data availability and implications for public accountability. European Journal of Health Economics, 2022, 23, 375-431.	2.8	1
2	Colorectal Cancer Screening within Colonoscopy Capacity Constraints: Can FIT-Based Programs Save More Lives by Trading off More Sensitive Test Cutoffs against Longer Screening Intervals?. MDM Policy and Practice, 2022, 7, 238146832210970.	0.9	3
3	NICE's Discounting Review: Clear Thinking on Rational Revision Meets Obstacle of Industrial Interests. Pharmacoeconomics, 2021, 39, 139-146.	3.3	1
4	Cervical screening during the COVID-19 pandemic: optimising recovery strategies. Lancet Public Health, The, 2021, 6, e522-e527.	10.0	37
5	Comment on Keeney et al.'s "Delphi Analysis of Relevant Comparators in a Cost-Effectiveness Model of Prostate Cancer Screening― Pharmacoeconomics, 2021, 39, 965-967.	3.3	1
6	Revision of Ireland's Cost-Effectiveness Threshold: New State-Industry Drug Pricing Deal Should Adequately Reflect Opportunity Costs. PharmacoEconomics - Open, 2021, 5, 339-348.	1.8	3
7	Risk Stratification in Cost-Effectiveness Analyses of Cancer Screening: Intervention Eligibility, Strategy Choice, and Optimality. Medical Decision Making, 2021, , 0272989X2110509.	2.4	4
8	Does Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Really Need to Abandon the Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio to Embrace Net Benefit? Pharmacoeconomics, 2020, 38, 777-779.	3.3	5
9	Adherence to Discounting Guidelines: Evidence from Over 2000 Published Cost-Effectiveness Analyses. Pharmacoeconomics, 2020, 38, 809-818.	3.3	3
10	Interpreting cost-effectiveness ratios in a cost-effectiveness analysis of risk-tailored prostate screening: A critique of Callender et al HRB Open Research, 2020, 3, 23.	0.6	1
11	HIQA's Perspective on the Challenges Posed by Evaluations of Screening Programs: A Reply. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 136-138.	0.3	1
12	Unacceptable variation in screening colonoscopy. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 367, l6384.	2.3	2
13	The Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation's Advice on Extending Human Papillomavirus Vaccination to Boys: Were Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Guidelines Bent to Achieve a Politically Acceptable Decision?. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 1227-1230.	0.3	5
14	Appraising the cost-effectiveness of vaccines in the UK: Insights from the Department of Health Consultation on the revision of methods guidelines. Vaccine, 2019, 37, 2831-2837.	3.8	4
15	Beneluxa: What are the Prospects for Collective Bargaining on Pharmaceutical Prices Given Diverse Health Technology Assessment Processes?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2019, 37, 627-630.	3.3	11
16	Preeclampsia Prevention Using Routine Versus Screening Test–Indicated Aspirin in Low-Risk Women. Hypertension, 2018, 72, 1391-1396.	2.7	29
17	Surveying the Cost-Effectiveness of the 20 Procedures with the Largest Public Health Services Waiting Lists in Ireland: Implications for Ireland's Cost-Effectiveness Threshold. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 897-904.	0.3	13
18	Discounting the Recommendations of the Second Panel on Cost-Effectiveness in Health and Medicine. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 5-13.	3.3	22

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Evaluation of the Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness of Personalized Surveillance After Colorectal Adenomatous Polypectomy. Epidemiologic Reviews, 2017, 39, 148-160.	3.5	24
20	Systematic Review of Costs and Effects of Self-Management Interventions for Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: Spotlight on Analytic Perspective and Outcomes Assessment. Physical Therapy, 2017, 97, 998-1019.	2.4	10
21	Cost-effectiveness estimates: the need for complete reporting. Lancet Public Health, The, 2017, 2, e211.	10.0	O
22	Health-related quality of life as measured by the EQ-5D in the prevention, screening and management of cervical disease: A systematic review. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 2885-2897.	3.1	7
23	Determinants of Change in the Cost-effectiveness Threshold. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 264-276.	2.4	38
24	Challenges in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Modelling of HPV Vaccines in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Practice Recommendations. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 65-82.	3.3	24
25	Expanding the benefits of HPV vaccination to boys and men. Lancet, The, 2016, 388, 2992.	13.7	5
26	The Irish Cost-Effectiveness Threshold: Does it Support Rational Rationing or Might it Lead to Unintended Harm to Ireland's Health System?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2016, 34, 5-11.	3.3	34
27	Beware of Kinked Frontiers: A Systematic Review of the Choice of Comparator Strategies in Cost-Effectiveness Analyses of Human Papillomavirus Testing in Cervical Screening. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 1138-1151.	0.3	17
28	Assessing cost-effectiveness of HPV vaccines with decision analytic models: what are the distinct challenges of low- and middle-income countries? A protocol for a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 68.	5.3	4
29	HIQA's CEA of Breast Screening: Pragmatic Policy Recommendations are Welcome, but ACERs Reported as ICERs are Not. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 941-945.	0.3	7
30	Dealing with Time in Health Economic Evaluation: Methodological Issues and Recommendations for Practice. Pharmacoeconomics, 2015, 33, 1255-1268.	3.3	56
31	The Influence of Disease Risk on the Optimal Time Interval between Screens for the Early Detection of Cancer. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 183-195.	2.4	10
32	Objectivity and Equity: Clarity Required. A Response to Hill and Olson. Pharmacoeconomics, 2014, 32, 1249-1250.	3.3	1
33	Some Inconsistencies in NICE's Consideration of Social Values. Pharmacoeconomics, 2014, 32, 1043-1053.	3.3	43
34	NICE's Selective Application of Differential Discounting: Ambiguous, Inconsistent, and Unjustified. Value in Health, 2014, 17, 493-496.	0.3	34
35	Multicohort Models in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2013, 33, 407-414.	2.4	17
36	A Mathematical Approach for Evaluating Markov Models in Continuous Time without Discrete-Event Simulation. Medical Decision Making, 2013, 33, 767-779.	2.4	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Re: Cost-effectiveness of pertussis booster vaccination in the Netherlands. Vaccine, 2012, 30, 7141.	3.8	1
38	Practical Implications of Differential Discounting in Cost-Effectiveness Analyses with Varying Numbers of Cohorts. Value in Health, 2011, 14, 438-442.	0.3	21
39	Interpreting cost-effectiveness ratios in a cost-effectiveness analysis of risk-tailored prostate screening: A critique of Callender et al HRB Open Research, 0, 3, 23.	0.6	O