Anders Tingberg

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8654459/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

103	2,370	236925	223800
papers	citations	25 h-index	46 g-index

104	104	104	1474
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography: a comparison of breast cancer visibility and BIRADS classification in a population of cancers with subtle mammographic findings. European Radiology, 2008, 18, 2817-2825.	4.5	319
2	Performance of one-view breast tomosynthesis as a stand-alone breast cancer screening modality: results from the MalmÃ¶ Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial, a population-based study. European Radiology, 2016, 26, 184-190.	4.5	228
3	A software tool for increased efficiency in observer performance studies in radiology. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 45-52.	0.8	139
4	Breast tomosynthesis: Accuracy of tumor measurement compared with digital mammography and ultrasonography. Acta Radiologica, 2010, 51, 240-247.	1.1	128
5	One-view breast tomosynthesis versus two-view mammography in the MalmÃ¶ Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial (MBTST): a prospective, population-based, diagnostic accuracy study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, 1493-1503.	10.7	119
6	Dose dependence of mass and microcalcification detection in digital mammography: Free response human observer studies. Medical Physics, 2007, 34, 400-407.	3.0	72
7	The diagnostic accuracy of dual-view digital mammography, single-view breast tomosynthesis and a dual-view combination of breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography in a free-response observer performance study. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2010, 139, 113-117.	0.8	70
8	X-ray tomosynthesis: a review of its use for breast and chest imaging. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2010, 139, 100-107.	0.8	64
9	Method of simulating dose reduction for digital radiographic systems. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 253-259.	0.8	59
10	The influence of different technique factors on image quality of chest radiographs as evaluated by modified CEC image quality criteria. British Journal of Radiology, 2002, 75, 38-49.	2.2	53
11	Optimisation of image plate radiography with respect to tube voltage. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 286-293.	0.8	52
12	Visibility of microcalcification clusters and masses in breast tomosynthesis image volumes and digital mammography: A 4AFC human observer study. Medical Physics, 2012, 39, 2431-2437.	3.0	52
13	The influence of different technique factors on image quality of lumbar spine radiographs as evaluated by established CEC image criteria.. British Journal of Radiology, 2000, 73, 1192-1199.	2.2	51
14	Nodule detection in digital chest radiography: summary of the RADIUS chest trial. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 114-120.	0.8	50
15	Nodule detection in digital chest radiography: introduction to the RADIUS chest trial. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 85-91.	0.8	46
16	Comparison of clinical and physical measures of image quality in chest and pelvis computed radiography at different tube voltages. Medical Physics, 2006, 33, 4169-4175.	3.0	46
17	Breast compression in mammography: pressure distribution patterns. Acta Radiologica, 2012, 53, 973-980.	1.1	45
18	Comparing five different iterative reconstruction algorithms for computed tomography in an ROC study. European Radiology, 2014, 24, 2989-3002.	4.5	44

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	The effect of reduced breast compression in breast tomosynthesis: human observer study using clinical cases. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2010, 139, 118-123.	0.8	42
20	Evaluation of image quality of lumbar spine images: a comparison between FFE and VGA. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 53-61.	0.8	37
21	Demonstration of correlations between clinical and physical image quality measures in chest and lumbar spine screenâ€“film radiography. British Journal of Radiology, 2001, 74, 520-528.	2.2	36
22	Inâ€plane visibility of lesions using breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography. Medical Physics, 2010, 37, 5618-5626.	3.0	30
23	The use of reference image criteria in X-ray diagnostics: an application for the optimisation of lumbar spine radiographs. European Radiology, 2004, 14, 1561-7.	4.5	28
24	Breast cancer screening with tomosynthesis--initial experiences. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2011, 147, 180-183.	0.8	27
25	Influence of the characteristic curve on the clinical image quality of lumbar spine and chest radiographs. British Journal of Radiology, 2004, 77, 204-215.	2.2	26
26	Can the average glandular dose in routine digital mammography screening be reduced? a pilot study using revised image quality criteria. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 383-388.	0.8	22
27	No evidence for shedding of circulating tumor cells to the peripheral venous blood as a result of mammographic breast compression. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2013, 141, 187-195.	2.5	22
28	VIRTUAL CLINICAL TRIALS IN MEDICAL IMAGING SYSTEM EVALUATION AND OPTIMISATION. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2021, 195, 363-371.	0.8	22
29	Digital radiography: optimization of image quality and dose using multi-frequency software. Pediatric Radiology, 2012, 42, 1112-1118.	2.0	21
30	Using simple mathematical functions to simulate pathological structuresâ€”input for digital mammography clinical trial. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 424-431.	0.8	19
31	Improvements to image quality using hybrid and model-based iterative reconstructions: a phantom study. Acta Radiologica, 2017, 58, 53-61.	1.1	19
32	Dose reduction and its influence on diagnostic accuracy and radiation risk in digital mammography: an observer performance study using an anthropomorphic breast phantom. British Journal of Radiology, 2007, 80, 557-562.	2.2	18
33	<title>Evaluation of lumbar spine images with added pathology</title>. , 2000, , .		17
34	New Developed DR Detector Performs Radiographs of Hand, Pelvic and Premature Chest Anatomies at a Lower Radiation Dose and/or a Higher Image Quality. Journal of Digital Imaging, 2014, 27, 68-76.	2.9	15
35	Clinical evaluation of a new set of image quality criteria for mammography. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 389-394.	0.8	14
36	Threshold pixel size for shape determination of microcalcifications in digital mammography: a pilot study. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 415-423.	0.8	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Digital mammography and tomosynthesis for breast cancer diagnosis. Expert Opinion on Medical Diagnostics, 2011, 5, 517-526.	1.6	12
38	<title>What is worse: decreased spatial resolution or increased noise?</title>. , 2002, 4686, 338.		11
39	Investigation of viewing procedures for interpretation of breast tomosynthesis image volumes: a detection-task study with eye tracking. European Radiology, 2013, 23, 997-1005.	4.5	11
40	Search for optimal tube voltage for image plate radiography. , 2003, 5034, 187.		10
41	Comparison of two methods for evaluation of image quality of lumbar spine radiographs. , 2004, 5372, 251.		10
42	MODEL-BASED ITERATIVE RECONSTRUCTION ENABLES THE EVALUATION OF THIN-SLICE COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY IMAGES WITHOUT DEGRADING IMAGE QUALITY OR INCREASING RADIATION DOSE. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2016, 169, 100-106.	0.8	10
43	Mass detection in breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography: a model observer study. , 2009, , .		9
44	Large dose reduction by optimization of multifrequency processing software in digital radiography at follow-up examinations of the pediatric femur. Pediatric Radiology, 2014, 44, 239-240.	2.0	9
45	Image Quality in Oncologic Chest Computerized Tomography With Iterative Reconstruction. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2016, 40, 351-356.	0.9	9
46	Can mechanical imaging increase the specificity of mammography screening?. European Radiology, 2017, 27, 3217-3225.	4.5	9
47	CAN SCATTER CORRECTION SOFTWARE REPLACE A GRID IN DR PELVIC EXAMINATIONS?. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2019, 187, 8-16.	0.8	9
48	Evaluation of Image Quality for 7 Iterative Reconstruction Algorithms in Chest Computed Tomography Imaging: A Phantom Study. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2020, 44, 673-680.	0.9	9
49	How does image quality affect radiologistsâ€™ perceived ability for image interpretation and lesion detection in digital mammography?. European Radiology, 2021, 31, 5335-5343.	4.5	9
50	Artificial Intelligence Detection of Missed Cancers at Digital Mammography That Were Detected at Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. Radiology: Artificial Intelligence, 2021, 3, e200299.	5.8	9
51	Improved in-plane visibility of tumors using breast tomosynthesis. , 2007, , .		8
52	Improved Liver Lesion Conspicuity With Iterative Reconstruction in Computed Tomography Imaging. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2016, 45, 291-296.	1.4	8
53	Quantitative Measurements Versus Receiver Operating Characteristics and Visual Grading Regression in CT Images Reconstructed with Iterative Reconstruction. Academic Radiology, 2018, 25, 509-518.	2.5	8
54	Does software optimization influence the radiologists' perception in low dose paediatric pelvic examinations?. Radiography, 2019, 25, 143-147.	2.1	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Comparison of image quality in chest, hip and pelvis examinations between mobile equipment in nursing homes and static indirect radiography equipment in the hospital. Radiography, 2020, 26, e31-e37.	2.1	8
56	Inter-observer variation in masked and unmasked images for quality evaluation of clinical radiographs. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2005, 114, 62-68.	0.8	7
57	In-plane artifacts in breast tomosynthesis quantified with a novel contrast-detail phantom. , 2007, , .		7
58	The effect of breast positioning on breast compression in mammography: a pressure distribution perspective. Proceedings of SPIE, 2012, , .	0.8	7
59	The Influence of Different Technique Factors on Image Quality for Chest Radiographys: Application of the Recent CEC Image Quality Criteria. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2000, 90, 203-206.	0.8	6
60	Potential for lower absorbed dose in digital mammography: A JAFROC experiment using clinical hybrid images with simulated dose reduction. , 2006, , .		6
61	Application of the fractal Perlin noise algorithm for the generation of simulated breast tissue. Proceedings of SPIE, 2015, , .	0.8	6
62	Evaluation of an iterative model-based reconstruction of pediatric abdominal CT with regard to image quality and radiation dose. Acta Radiologica, 2018, 59, 740-747.	1.1	6
63	BIRADS Classification in Breast Tomosynthesis Compared to Mammography and Ultrasonography. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2008, , 67-73.	1.3	6
64	<title>Influence of the characteristic curve on the clinical image quality and patient absorbed dose in lumbar spine radiography</title>. , 2001, , .		5
65	Investigation of image components affecting the detection of lung nodules in digital chest radiography. , 2005, 5749, 231.		5
66	Optimization of image quality in breast tomosynthesis using lumpectomy and mastectomy specimens. , 2007, , .		5
67	Impact of dose on observer performance in breast tomosynthesis using breast specimens. , 2008, , .		5
68	A phantom study showing the importance of compression in conventional diagnostic X-ray examinations. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2010, 139, 78-80.	0.8	5
69	A study of the feasibility of using slabbing to reduce tomosynthesis review time. Proceedings of SPIE, 2013, , .	0.8	5
70	Validation of a candidate instrument to assess image quality in digital mammography using ROC analysis. European Journal of Radiology, 2021, 139, 109686.	2.6	5
71	Optimizing viewing procedures of breast tomosynthesis image volumes using eye tracking combined with a free response human observer study. Proceedings of SPIE, 2011, , .	0.8	4
72	Pressure distribution in mammography: compression of breasts with malignant tumor masses. , 2013, , .		4

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Assessment of a tumour growth model for virtual clinical trials of breast cancer screening. , 2021, , .		4
74	Simulation of Nodule-like Pathology in Radiographs of the Lumbar Spine. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2000, 90, 113-116.	0.8	3
75	Suspension criteria for image monitors and viewing boxes. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2013, 153, 230-235.	0.8	3
76	One-view breast tomosynthesis vs two-view mammography: a methodological issue â€“ Authors' reply. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, e7.	10.7	3
77	Evaluation of the possibility to use thick slabs of reconstructed outer breast tomosynthesis slice images. , 2016, , .		2
78	VALIDATION OF A SIMULATION PROCEDURE FOR GENERATING BREAST TOMOSYNTHESIS PROJECTION IMAGES. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2016, 169, 386-391.	0.8	2
79	The effect of breast density on the performance of deep learning-based breast cancer detection methods for mammography. , 2020, , .		2
80	Science and practice of imaging physics through 50 years of SPIE Medical Imaging conferences. Journal of Medical Imaging, 2022, 9, 012205.	1.5	2
81	Finite element model of mechanical imaging of the breast. Journal of Medical Imaging, 2022, 9, .	1.5	2
82	Development and evaluation of a method for tumor growth simulation in virtual clinical trials of breast cancer screening. Journal of Medical Imaging, 2022, 9, .	1.5	2
83	Detectability of pathological lesions in lumbar spine radiography. , 2005, 5749, 518.		1
84	A human observer study for evaluation and optimization of reconstruction methods in breast tomosynthesis using clinical cases. , 2011, , .		1
85	Monte Carlo simulation of breast tomosynthesis: visibility of microcalcifications at different acquisition schemes. Proceedings of SPIE, 2015, , .	0.8	1
86	Development and content validity evaluation of a candidate instrument to assess image quality in digital mammography: A mixed-method study. European Journal of Radiology, 2021, 134, 109464.	2.6	1
87	Computer model of mechanical imaging acquisition for virtual clinical trials. , 2021, , .		1
88	Dose-length-product determination on cone beam computed tomography through experimental measurements and dose-area-product conversion. , 2021, , .		1
89	Identifying and modelling clinical subpopulations from the MalmÃ¶ breast tomosynthesis screening trial. , 2020, , .		1
90	Evaluation of a flat fielding method for simultaneous DBT and MI acquisition. , 2020, , .		1

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Virtual clinical trial of simultaneous digital breast tomosynthesis and mechanical imaging: model calibration and the effect of tumor depth. , 2022, , .		1
92	Shape determination of microcalcifications in simulated digital mammography images with varying pixel size. , 2005, 5749, 288.		0
93	Can horizontally oriented breast tomosynthesis image volumes or the use of a systematic search strategy improve interpretation? An eye tracking and free response human observer study. , 2011, , .		0
94	Model based iterative reconstruction IMR gives possibility to evaluate thinner slice thicknesses than conventional iterative reconstruction iDose4: a phantom study. , 2015, , .		0
95	Image Quality of Thick Average Intensity Pixel Slabs Using Statistical Artifact Reduction in Breast Tomosynthesis. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2014, , 544-549.	1.3	0
96	The Characteristics of Malignant Breast Tumors Imaged Using a Prototype Mechanical Imaging System as an Adjunct to Mammography. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2016, , 282-288.	1.3	0
97	Towards determination of individual glandular dose. , 2018, , .		0
98	Artifact reduction in simultaneous tomosynthesis and mechanical imaging of the breast. , 2019, , .		0
99	Personalised breast cancer screening with selective addition of digital breast tomosynthesis through artificial intelligence. , 2020, , .		0
100	Artificial intelligence together with mechanical imaging in mammography. , 2020, , .		0
101	Pre-processing for image quality improvement in simultaneous DBT and mechanical imaging. , 2020, , .		0
102	Evaluation of digital breast tomosynthesis systems. , 2020, , .		0
103	Simulation of volumetric breast densities for virtual clinical trials. , 2022, , .		0