## Gilles Grolleau

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8585492/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

72 papers 1,868 citations

20 h-index 289244 40 g-index

72 all docs

72 docs citations

times ranked

72

1785 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Social Norms and Pro-environmental Behavior: A Review of the Evidence. Ecological Economics, 2017, 140, 1-13.	5.7	502
2	Cheating and Loss Aversion: Do People Cheat More to Avoid a Loss?. Management Science, 2016, 62, 3428-3438.	4.1	109
3	Can Ecolabeling Schemes Preserve the Environment?. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2008, 40, 233-249.	3.2	94
4	Designing watershed programs to pay farmers for water quality services: Case studies of Munich and New York City. Ecological Economics, 2012, 76, 87-94.	5.7	87
5	Green not (only) for profit: An empirical examination of the effect of environmental-related standards on employees' recruitment. Resources and Energy Economics, 2012, 34, 74-92.	2.5	74
6	Buy local, pollute less: What drives households to join a community supported farm?. Ecological Economics, 2009, 68, 1488-1495.	5.7	72
7	Environmental investments: Too much of a good thing?. International Journal of Production Economics, 2018, 197, 297-302.	8.9	56
8	Smug Alert! Exploring self-licensing behavior in a cheating game. Economics Letters, 2014, 123, 191-194.	1.9	53
9	What drives agrifood firms to register for an Environmental Management System?. European Review of Agricultural Economics, 2007, 34, 233-255.	3.1	49
10	Helping eco-labels to fulfil their promises. Climate Policy, 2016, 16, 792-802.	5.1	49
11	Do good deeds make bad people?. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2016, 42, 491-513.	1.1	40
11 12	Do good deeds make bad people?. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2016, 42, 491-513.  Can Labelling Policies Do More Harm Than Good? An Analysis Applied to Environmental Labelling Schemes. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2005, 19, 5-16.	1.1	40 35
	Can Labelling Policies Do More Harm Than Good? An Analysis Applied to Environmental Labelling		
12	Can Labelling Policies Do More Harm Than Good? An Analysis Applied to Environmental Labelling Schemes. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2005, 19, 5-16.	1.1	35
12	Can Labelling Policies Do More Harm Than Good? An Analysis Applied to Environmental Labelling Schemes. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2005, 19, 5-16.  Contracting for Environmental Property Rights: The Case of Vittel. Economica, 2008, 75, 412-434.  Shall we pay all? An experimental test of Random Incentivized Systems. Journal of Behavioral and	1.1	<b>35</b> <b>34</b>
12 13 14	Can Labelling Policies Do More Harm Than Good? An Analysis Applied to Environmental Labelling Schemes. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2005, 19, 5-16.  Contracting for Environmental Property Rights: The Case of Vittel. Economica, 2008, 75, 412-434.  Shall we pay all? An experimental test of Random Incentivized Systems. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2018, 73, 93-98.  Cause-related marketing of products with a negative externality. Journal of Business Research, 2016,	1.1 1.6 1.2	35 34 31
12 13 14 15	Can Labelling Policies Do More Harm Than Good? An Analysis Applied to Environmental Labelling Schemes. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2005, 19, 5-16.  Contracting for Environmental Property Rights: The Case of Vittel. Economica, 2008, 75, 412-434.  Shall we pay all? An experimental test of Random Incentivized Systems. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2018, 73, 93-98.  Cause-related marketing of products with a negative externality. Journal of Business Research, 2016, 69, 4321-4330.  Substituting piracy with a pay-what-you-want option: does it make sense?. European Journal of Law and	1.1 1.6 1.2	35 34 31 29

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Profiting from Being Pirated by â€~Pirating' the Pirates. Kyklos, 2008, 61, 385-390.	1.4	22
20	Is Business Performance Related to the Adoption of Quality and Environmental-Related Standards?. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2013, 54, 525-548.	3.2	21
21	Fair trading in markets for credence goods. Intereconomics, 2001, 36, 208-214.	2.2	20
22	The Impact of Envy-Related Behaviors on Development. Journal of Economic Issues, 2009, 43, 795-808.	0.8	20
23	Behavioral innovations: The missing capital in sustainable development?. Ecological Economics, 2013, 89, 187-195.	5.7	20
24	What in the Word! The Scope for the Effect of Word Choice on Economic Behavior. Kyklos, 2018, 71, 557-580.	1.4	20
25	Harnessing the power of identity to encourage farmers to protect the environment. Environmental Science and Policy, 2019, 93, 112-117.	4.9	20
26	Using Money to Motivate Both â€~Saints' and â€~Sinners': a Field Experiment on Motivational Crowdingâ Kyklos, 2013, 66, 63-77.	€Qut.	19
27	Coopetition in innovation activities and firms' economic performance: An empirical analysis. Creativity and Innovation Management, 2020, 29, 85-98.	3.3	18
28	Do you believe that others are more positional than you? Results from an empirical survey on positional concerns in France. Journal of Socio-Economics, 2012, 41, 48-54.	1.0	17
29	Efficiency, equality, positionality: What do people maximize? Experimental vs. hypothetical evidence from Tunisia. Journal of Economic Psychology, 2015, 47, 77-84.	2.2	17
30	Impact Investing: Killing Two Birds with One Stone?. Financial Analysts Journal, 2020, 76, 40-52.	3.0	17
31	Does self-employment contribute to national happiness?. Journal of Socio-Economics, 2012, 41, 670-676.	1.0	15
32	The †make or buy†decision in private environmental transactions. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2009, 27, 79-99.	1.1	13
33	Is there a relationship between workplace atmosphere and innovation activities? An empirical analysis among French firms. Economics of Innovation and New Technology, 2013, 22, 566-580.	3.4	13
34	Compensation and Rewards for Environmental Services (CRES) and efficient design of contracts in developing countries. Behavioral insights from a natural field experiment. Ecological Economics, 2015, 113, 85-96.	5.7	13
35	How a luxury monopolist might benefit from the aspirational utility effect of counterfeiting?. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2013, 36, 169-182.	1.1	12
36	Are individuals more generous in loss contexts?. Social Choice and Welfare, 2020, 55, 845-866.	0.8	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Why companies might underâ€communicate their efforts for sustainable development and what can be done?. Business Strategy and the Environment, 2022, 31, 1938-1946.	14.3	12
38	Escaping the Zeroâ€Sum Game of Positional Races. Kyklos, 2012, 65, 464-479.	1.4	11
39	The strategy of raising counterfeiters' costs in luxury markets. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2012, 33, 645-661.	1.1	11
40	Moral self-licencing and social dilemmas: an experimental analysis from a taking game in Madagascar. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 2980-2991.	2.2	11
41	Does the Identifiable Victim Effect Matter for Plants? Results From a Quasi-experimental Survey of French Farmers. Ecological Economics, 2018, 151, 106-113.	5.7	8
42	How to turn crowding-out into crowding-in? An innovative instrument and some law-related examples. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2019, 48, 417-438.	1.1	8
43	†Let's call a spade a spade, not a gardening tool': How euphemisms shape moral judgement in corporate social responsibility domains. Journal of Business Research, 2021, 131, 254-267.	10.2	8
44	How Cognitive Biases Can Affect the Performance of Eco-Labeling Schemes. Journal of Agricultural and Food Industrial Organization, 2009, 7, .	1.3	7
45	Does activating legacy concerns make farmers more likely to support conservation programmes?. Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2021, 10, 115-129.	2.5	7
46	L'éco-étiquetage des produits est-il crédible� Proposition d'un cadre d'analyse. Revue D'economie Regionale Et Urbaine, 2004, juillet, 369-390.	0.2	7
47	Environmental management practices: good or bad news for innovations delivering environmental benefits? The moderating effect of market characteristics. Economics of Innovation and New Technology, 2015, 24, 339-359.	3.4	6
48	The Impact of Monitoring and Sanctions on Cheating: Experimental Evidence from Tunisia. Managerial and Decision Economics, 2016, 37, 461-473.	2.5	6
49	Do You Prefer Having More or More than Others in the Workplace? A Quasiâ€experimental Survey in Algeria. Managerial and Decision Economics, 2017, 38, 595-606.	2.5	6
50	Changing the world with words? Euphemisms in climate change issues. Ecological Economics, 2022, 193, 107307.	5.7	6
51	Do people contribute more to intra-temporal or inter-temporal public goods?. Research in Economics, 2016, 70, 186-195.	0.8	5
52	Positional concerns and framing effects in financial preferences. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2018, 68, 183-189.	2.7	5
53	DO WE NEED MORE TIME TO GIVE LESS? EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE FROM TUNISIA. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2018, 70, 400-409.	1.1	5
54	Proliferation and content diversity of environmental claims: an explanatory analysis applied to agro-food products. Applied Economics Letters, 2002, 9, 343-346.	1.8	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	A reference point bias in judging cheaters. Journal of Economic Psychology, 2022, 89, 102485.	2.2	5
56	Overcomplying for profit. Environmental Economics and Policy Studies, 2005, 6, 267-269.	2.0	4
57	Are individuals with entrepreneurial characteristics more likely to engage in destruction? An experimental investigation among potential 21 st century entrepreneurs in Tunisia. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2020, 72, 33-49.	1.1	4
58	An empirical analysis of the relationship between innovation activities and job satisfaction among French firms. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 2022, 133, 103689.	3.4	4
59	Scandals. Organizational Dynamics, 2021, 50, 100783.	2.6	3
60	Les «Âkilomètres alimentaires»Â: de la compréhension du concept à la complexité de la réalité. Rev D'economie Regionale Et Urbaine, 2010, décembre, 899-911.	ue 0.2	3
61	Work Recognition and Labor Productivity: Evidence from French Data. Managerial and Decision Economics, 2015, 36, 508-516.	2.5	2
62	Moral judgment of environmental harm caused by a single versus multiple wrongdoers: A survey experiment. Ecological Economics, 2020, 170, 106586.	5.7	2
63	When More Is Not Better: Three Common Mistakes in Health Messaging Interventions. Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law, 2020, 45, 143-152.	1.9	2
64	The effect of distance on the moral judgment of environmental wrongdoings. Business Strategy and the Environment, 2023, 32, 1504-1512.	14.3	2
65	Biodiversity conservation through private initiative: the case of Earth Sanctuaries Ltd. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2015, 40, 293-312.	1.1	1
66	THE INTERPLAY OF INEQUALITY AND REFERENCE DEPENDENCE WITH TRUST AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2016, 68, 117-123.	1.1	1
67	Attracting employees in developing countries through corporate social responsibility initiatives. Strategic Change, 2019, 28, 255-258.	4.1	1
68	Letting offenders choose their punishment?. Kyklos, 0, , .	1.4	1
69	Is a  Bad Individual' more Condemnable than Several  Bad Individuals'? Examining the Scope-severity Paradox. Review of Law and Economics, 2020, .	0.3	O
70	How Relative Concerns Affect Unethical Behaviors. Journal of Economic Issues, 2021, 55, 939-953.	0.8	0
71	The unexpected power of negative awards. Kyklos, 2022, 75, 385-393.	1.4	O
72	Does NGO Origin Influence Moral Judgment? A Study of the Attitudes of Algerian Participants Toward Foreign NGOs. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 2023, 52, 514-528.	1.9	0