Ana Carolina Alba

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8494996/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

86 papers

3,687 citations

236925 25 h-index 57 g-index

89 all docs 89 docs citations

89 times ranked 6225 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Association between routine measures of graft function and mortality in heart transplant recipients. Heart, 2022, 108, 307-311.	2.9	3
2	Predictors of Mortality in Patients Treated with Veno-Arterial ECMO for Cardiogenic Shock Complicating Acute Myocardial Infarction: a Systematic Review and Meta–Analysis. Journal of Cardiovascular Translational Research, 2022, 15, 227-238.	2.4	12
3	Prognostic value of natriuretic peptides in heart failure: systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart Failure Reviews, 2022, 27, 645-654.	3.9	19
4	Association between continuousâ€flow left ventricular assist device infections requiring longâ€term antibiotic use and postâ€heart transplant morbidity and mortality. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2022, 37, 96-104.	0.7	5
5	GRADE concept paper 2: Concepts for judging certainty on the calibration of prognostic models in a body of validation studies. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 143, 202-211.	5.0	6
6	Impact of serial measurements of tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion on mortality and morbidity after heart transplantation. Clinical Transplantation, 2022, , e14662.	1.6	0
7	Increased mortality in patients with acutely decompensated heart failure during the Covid-19 pandemic in Toronto, Canada. CJC Open, 2022, , .	1.5	O
8	Predicted heart mass for size matching in obese heart transplant donors and recipients. Clinical Transplantation, 2022, 36, .	1.6	4
9	Hemodynamic reserve predicts early right heart failure after LVAD implantation. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2022, 41, 1716-1726.	0.6	10
10	Resting Heart Rate as an Important Predictor of Mortality and Morbidity in Ambulatory Patients With Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2021, 27, 349-363.	1.7	14
11	Exercise rehabilitation in cardiac resynchronization: systematic review and a meta-analysis. Heart Failure Reviews, 2021, 26, 507-519.	3.9	4
12	Predicting Survival After VA-ECMO for Refractory Cardiogenic Shock: Validating the SAVE Score. CJC Open, 2021, 3, 71-81.	1.5	11
13	The Effect of Age on Outcomes After Destination-Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Device Implantation: An Analysis of the IMACS Registry. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2021, 37, 467-475.	1.7	6
14	Prognostic value of blood pressure in ambulatory heart failure: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Ambulatory blood pressure predicts heart failure prognosis. Heart Failure Reviews, 2021, , 1.	3.9	3
15	Mortality in patients with cardiogenic shock supported with VA ECMO: A systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the impact of etiology on 29,289 patients. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2021, 40, 260-268.	0.6	55
16	Application of the heart failure meta-score to predict prognosis in patients with cardiac resynchronization defibrillators. International Journal of Cardiology, 2021, 330, 73-79.	1.7	5
17	A 2020 Environmental Scan of Heart Failure Clinics in Ontario. CJC Open, 2021, 3, 929-935.	1.5	2
18	Incidence and impact of primary graft dysfunction in adult heart transplant recipients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2021, 40, 642-651.	0.6	25

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Cardiac Sarcoidosis: A Clinical Overview. Current Problems in Cardiology, 2021, 46, 100936.	2.4	3
20	Performance of Prognostic Risk Scores in Heart Failure Patients: Do Sex Differences Exist?. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 36, 45-53.	1.7	9
21	Physician Judgement vs Model-Predicted Prognosis in Patients With Heart Failure. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 36, 84-91.	1.7	10
22	Risk prediction models for survival after heart transplantation: A systematic review. American Journal of Transplantation, 2020, 20, 1137-1151.	4.7	23
23	Predicting the Risk of Right Ventricular Failure in Patients Undergoing Left Ventricular Assist Device Implantation. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2020, 13, e006994.	3.9	83
24	Clinical presentation and outcomes in women and men with advanced heart failure. Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal, 2020, 54, 361-368.	1.2	4
25	Comparison of Heart Transplantation Outcomes: Adult Congenital Heart Disease vs Matched Cardiac Patients in a Quaternary Reference Centre. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 36, 1208-1216.	1.7	3
26	Reduced Rate of Hospital Presentations for Heart Failure During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Toronto, Canada. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 36, 1680-1684.	1.7	54
27	Redo sternotomy versus left ventricular assist device explant as risk factors for early mortality following heart transplantation. Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 31, 603-610.	1.1	6
28	Prognostic Value of Late Gadolinium Enhancement for the Prediction of Cardiovascular Outcomes in Dilated Cardiomyopathy. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2020, 13, e010105.	2.6	60
29	Postâ€transplant survival in adult congenital heart disease patients as compared to dilated and ischemic cardiomyopathy patients; an analysis of the thoracic ISHLT registry. Clinical Transplantation, 2020, 34, .	1.6	10
30	Hypercapnia During Wakefulness Attenuates Ventricular Ectopy. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2020, 13, e006837.	3.9	2
31	The Next Wave of Health Care Strain Related to COVID-19: Heart Failure Patients Coming Back in Force—We Must Not Fail Them. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 36, 993-994.	1.7	7
32	Elevated pulmonary arterial elastance and right ventricular uncoupling are associated with greater mortality in advanced heart failure. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2020, 39, 657-665.	0.6	22
33	GRADE Guidelines 28: Use of GRADE for the assessment of evidence about prognostic factors: rating certainty in identification of groups of patients with different absolute risks. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 121, 62-70.	5.0	199
34	Optimal sampling in derivation studies was associated with improved discrimination in external validation for heart failure prognostic models. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 121, 71-80.	5.0	4
35	Utility of the INTERMACS profile at the time of assessment for heart transplant. Clinical Transplantation, 2020, 34, e13796.	1.6	2
36	Evaluation of a Heart Failure Telemonitoring Program Through a Microsimulation Model: Cost-Utility Analysis. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e18917.	4.3	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Validation of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation primary graft dysfunction instrument in heart transplantation. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2019, 38, 260-266.	0.6	20
38	The evolving risk of sudden cardiac death after heart transplant. An analysis of the ISHLT Thoracic Transplant Registry. Clinical Transplantation, 2019, 33, e13490.	1.6	15
39	The effect of pre–heart transplant body mass index on posttransplant outcomes: An analysis of the ISHLT Registry Data. Clinical Transplantation, 2019, 33, e13621.	1.6	25
40	Comparative effectiveness of the different components of care provided in heart failure clinicsâ€" protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 40.	5.3	4
41	Impact of organ prioritization for immunologic sensitization and waiting times for heart transplantation. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2019, 38, 285-294.	0.6	9
42	An Appraisal of Biomarker-Based Risk-Scoring Models in Chronic Heart Failure: Which One Is Best?. Current Heart Failure Reports, 2018, 15, 24-36.	3.3	13
43	Incidence and predictors of sudden cardiac death after heart transplantation: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Clinical Transplantation, 2018, 32, e13206.	1.6	24
44	Absolute vs Additive Net Reclassification Indexâ€"Reply. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 319, 617.	7.4	1
45	Meta-analysis: mistake or milestone in medicine?. Heart, 2018, 104, 1559-1561.	2.9	10
46	Phenotype, management and predictors of outcome in a large cohort of adult congenital heart disease patients with heart failure. International Journal of Cardiology, 2018, 252, 80-87.	1.7	53
47	Predictors of 1-year mortality in heart transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart, 2018, 104, 151-160.	2.9	53
48	The prognostic significance of frailty compared to peak oxygen consumption and Bâ€type natriuretic peptide in patients with advanced heart failure. Clinical Transplantation, 2018, 32, e13158.	1.6	16
49	Predicting Survival in Patients With Heart Failure With an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator: The Heart Failure Meta-Score. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2018, 24, 735-745.	1.7	17
50	Implantable cardiac defibrillator and mortality in non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy: an updated meta-analysis. Heart, 2018, 104, 230-236.	2.9	26
51	Utility of the Seattle Heart Failure Model for palliative care referral in advanced ambulatory heart failure. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2018, , bmjspcare-2018-001626.	1.6	3
52	Neural Networks for Prognostication of Patients With Heart Failure. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2018, 11, e005193.	3.9	25
53	Impact of pretransplant recipient body mass index on postÂheart transplant mortality: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Clinical Transplantation, 2018, 32, e13348.	1.6	14
54	A local quality initiative to improve follow-up times for patients with heart failure. BMJ Open Quality, 2017, 6, e000052.	1.1	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Discrimination and Calibration of Clinical Prediction Models. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2017, 318, 1377.	7.4	920
56	Diastolic Pressure Difference to Classify Pulmonary Hypertension in the Assessment of Heart Transplant Candidates. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2017, 10 , .	3.9	32
57	In patients receiving DAPT after coronary stents, the PRECISE-DAPT score predicted bleeding moderately well. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, JC11.	3.9	3
58	Long-term use of left ventricular assist devices: a report on clinical outcomes. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2017, 60, 236-246.	1.2	11
59	Assessing the Use of Wrist-Worn Devices in Patients With Heart Failure: Feasibility Study. JMIR Cardio, 2017, 1, e8.	1.7	12
60	Perfusion Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging as a Rule-Out Test for Cardiac Allograft Vasculopathy. American Journal of Transplantation, 2016, 16, 3007-3015.	4.7	17
61	Response to Cornelis et al Regarding Article: "The Added Value of Exercise Variables in Heart Failure Prognosis― Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2016, 22, 747.	1.7	0
62	Outcomes in adult congenital heart disease patients undergoing heart transplantation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2016, 35, 1337-1347.	0.6	82
63	Sensitivity subgroup analysis based on single-center vs. multi-center trial status when interpreting meta-analyses pooled estimates: the logical way forward. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 74, 80-92.	5.0	15
64	The Added Value of Exercise Variables in Heart Failure Prognosis. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2016, 22, 492-497.	1.7	25
65	High statistical heterogeneity is more frequent in meta-analysis ofÂcontinuous than binary outcomes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 70, 129-135.	5.0	72
66	Complications after Heart Transplantation: Hope for the Best, but Prepare for the Worst. International Journal of Transplantation Research and Medicine, 2016, 2, .	0.1	29
67	C4d immunostaining is an independent predictor of cardiac allograft vasculopathy and death in heart transplant recipients. Transplant International, 2015, 28, 857-863.	1.6	8
68	Factors associated with anti-human leukocyte antigen antibodies in patients supported with continuous-flow devices and effect on probability of transplant and post-transplant outcomes. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2015, 34, 685-692.	0.6	42
69	How to Use a Subgroup Analysis. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 311, 405.	7.4	345
70	Right Ventricular Function and Prognosis in Stable Heart Failure Patients. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2014, 20, 343-349.	1.7	32
71	Predictors of Mortality in Patients With an Implantable Cardiac Defibrillator: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2013, 29, 1729-1740.	1.7	35
72	The GRADE approach is reproducible in assessing the quality of evidence of quantitative evidence syntheses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2013, 66, 736-742.e5.	5.0	287

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Circulating Proangiogenic Progenitor Cells Independently Predict Functional Capacity in Heart Failure Patients. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2013, 29, 664-671.	1.7	6
74	Changes in Circulating Progenitor Cells Are Associated With Outcome in Heart Failure Patients: A Longitudinal Study. Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2013, 29, 1657-1664.	1.7	11
75	Risk Prediction Models for Mortality in Ambulatory Patients With Heart Failure. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2013, 6, 881-889.	3.9	158
76	Cost-Effectiveness of Ventricular Assist Device Therapy as a Bridge to Transplantation Compared With Nonbridged Cardiac Recipients. Circulation, 2013, 127, 2424-2435.	1.6	41
77	Clinical Differences Between Continuous Flow Ventricular Assist Devices: A Comparison Between HeartMate II and HeartWare HVAD. Journal of Cardiac Surgery, 2013, 28, 604-610.	0.7	98
78	Lost in Translation. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2012, 5, 660-666.	3.9	13
79	Are endothelial progenitor cells a prognostic factor in patients with heart failure?. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2012, 10, 167-175.	1.5	11
80	The effect of ventricular assist devices on long-term post-transplant outcomes: a systematic review of observational studies. European Journal of Heart Failure, 2011, 13, 785-795.	7.1	38
81	Patent foramen ovale does not have a negative impact on early outcomes in patients undergoing liver transplantation. Clinical Transplantation, 2011, 25, 151-155.	1.6	20
82	Impact of fixed pulmonary hypertension on post–heart transplant outcomes in bridge-to-transplant patients. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2010, 29, 1253-1258.	0.6	80
83	Optimal medical treatment of cardiovascular risk factors: can we prevent the development of heart failure?. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2009, 7, 147-157.	1.5	8
84	The future is here: ventricular assist devices for the failing heart. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2009, 7, 1067-1077.	1.5	16
85	Predictors of Acute Renal Dysfunction After Ventricular Assist Device Placement. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2009, 15, 874-881.	1.7	49
86	Usefulness of the INTERMACS Scale to Predict Outcomes After Mechanical Assist Device Implantation. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2009, 28, 827-833.	0.6	137