

Marlene Ågerstrand

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8472463/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

40
papers

1,891
citations

257450

24
h-index

265206

42
g-index

49
all docs

49
docs citations

49
times ranked

2886
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Towards the review of the European Union Water Framework Directive: Recommendations for more efficient assessment and management of chemical contamination in European surface water resources. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2017, 576, 720-737.	8.0	255
2	CRED: Criteria for reporting and evaluating ecotoxicity data. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i> , 2016, 35, 1297-1309.	4.3	216
3	On the issue of transparency and reproducibility in nanomedicine. <i>Nature Nanotechnology</i> , 2019, 14, 629-635.	31.5	149
4	Improving Environmental Risk Assessment of Human Pharmaceuticals. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , 2015, 49, 5336-5345.	10.0	141
5	The Role of Behavioral Ecotoxicology in Environmental Protection. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , 2021, 55, 5620-5628.	10.0	101
6	A proposed framework for the systematic review and integrated assessment (SYRINA) of endocrine disrupting chemicals. <i>Environmental Health</i> , 2016, 15, 74.	4.0	92
7	Implementing systematic review techniques in chemical risk assessment: Challenges, opportunities and recommendations. <i>Environment International</i> , 2016, 92-93, 556-564.	10.0	67
8	How we can make ecotoxicology more valuable to environmental protection. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2017, 578, 228-235.	8.0	60
9	Emerging investigator series: use of behavioural endpoints in the regulation of chemicals. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2020, 22, 49-65.	3.5	52
10	Evaluation of the accuracy and consistency of the Swedish Environmental Classification and Information System for pharmaceuticals. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2010, 408, 2327-2339.	8.0	51
11	Comparison of four different methods for reliability evaluation of ecotoxicity data: a case study of non-standard test data used in environmental risk assessments of pharmaceutical substances. <i>Environmental Sciences Europe</i> , 2011, 23, 17.	11.0	48
12	Assessing the relevance of ecotoxicological studies for regulatory decision making. <i>Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management</i> , 2017, 13, 652-663.	2.9	47
13	The NORMAN Association and the European Partnership for Chemicals Risk Assessment (PARC): let's cooperate!. <i>Environmental Sciences Europe</i> , 2020, 32, .	5.5	46
14	NanoCRED: A transparent framework to assess the regulatory adequacy of ecotoxicity data for nanomaterials – Relevance and reliability revisited. <i>NanoImpact</i> , 2017, 6, 81-89.	4.5	45
15	WikiPharma – A freely available, easily accessible, interactive and comprehensive database for environmental effect data for pharmaceuticals. <i>Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology</i> , 2009, 55, 367-371.	2.7	44
16	Reporting and evaluation criteria as means towards a transparent use of ecotoxicity data for environmental risk assessment of pharmaceuticals. <i>Environmental Pollution</i> , 2011, 159, 2487-2492.	7.5	43
17	Criteria for Reporting and Evaluating ecotoxicity Data (CRED): comparison and perception of the Klimisch and CRED methods for evaluating reliability and relevance of ecotoxicity studies. <i>Environmental Sciences Europe</i> , 2016, 28, 7.	5.5	42
18	Uppsala Consensus Statement on Environmental Contaminants and the Global Obesity Epidemic. <i>Environmental Health Perspectives</i> , 2016, 124, A81-3.	6.0	39

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Bad Reporting or Bad Science? Systematic Data Evaluation as a Means to Improve the Use of Peer-Reviewed Studies in Risk Assessments of Chemicals. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2014, 20, 1427-1445.	3.4	37
20	Weight of evidence evaluation and systematic review in EU chemical risk assessment: Foundation is laid but guidance is needed. <i>Environment International</i> , 2016, 92-93, 590-596.	10.0	36
21	Science in Risk Assessment and Policy (SciRAP): An Online Resource for Evaluating and Reporting In Vivo (Eco)Toxicity Studies. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2015, 21, 753-762.	3.4	33
22	Making the most of expert judgment in hazard and risk assessment of chemicals. <i>Toxicology Research</i> , 2017, 6, 571-577.	2.1	29
23	The Essential Elements of a Risk Governance Framework for Current and Future Nanotechnologies. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2018, 38, 1321-1331.	2.7	27
24	Study sensitivity: Evaluating the ability to detect effects in systematic reviews of chemical exposures. <i>Environment International</i> , 2016, 92-93, 605-610.	10.0	24
25	Improving environmental risk assessments of chemicals: Steps towards evidence-based ecotoxicology. <i>Environment International</i> , 2019, 128, 210-217.	10.0	24
26	The Swedish Environmental Classification and Information System for Pharmaceuticals – An empirical investigation of the motivations, intentions and expectations underlying its development and implementation. <i>Environment International</i> , 2009, 35, 778-786.	10.0	19
27	Transparency of chemical risk assessment data under REACH. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2016, 18, 1508-1518.	3.5	18
28	An academic researcher's guide to increased impact on regulatory assessment of chemicals. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2017, 19, 644-655.	3.5	18
29	Reliability and relevance evaluations of REACH data. <i>Toxicology Research</i> , 2019, 8, 46-56.	2.1	17
30	A call for action: Improve reporting of research studies to increase the scientific basis for regulatory decision-making. <i>Journal of Applied Toxicology</i> , 2018, 38, 783-785.	2.8	15
31	Improving structure and transparency in reliability evaluations of data under REACH: suggestions for a systematic method. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2020, 26, 212-241.	3.4	10
32	Pharmaceuticals and Environment: a web-based decision support for considering environmental aspects of medicines in use. <i>European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology</i> , 2020, 76, 1151-1160.	1.9	10
33	Combining web-based tools for transparent evaluation of data for risk assessment: developmental effects of bisphenol A on the mammary gland as a case study. <i>Journal of Applied Toxicology</i> , 2017, 37, 319-330.	2.8	9
34	Refining tools to bridge the gap between academia and chemical regulation: perspectives for WikiREACH. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2017, 19, 1466-1473.	3.5	5
35	Toxicity studies used in registration, evaluation, authorisation and restriction of chemicals (REACH): How accurately are they reported?. <i>Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management</i> , 2019, 15, 458-469.	2.9	5
36	In Response : Reporting recommendations to ensure reliability and reproducibility of ecotoxicity studies – A tripartite initiative. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i> , 2016, 35, 1072-1073.	4.3	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Reporting and Evaluating Ecotoxicity Data for Environmental Risk Assessment. Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry, 2013, , 685-704.	1.3	1
38	A characterization of doseâ€“response relationships for developmental effects of bisphenol A (BPA) in the low dose range. Toxicology Letters, 2015, 238, S128.	0.8	0
39	A proposal for systematic review and assessment of endocrine disruption. Toxicology Letters, 2015, 238, S42.	0.8	0
40	Better reporting of science to improve regulatory decision-making. Elni Review, 2020, , 12-15.	0.1	0