

# Peter Littlejohns Mbbs,, Frcp

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8379935/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

28  
papers

1,176  
citations

516710

16  
h-index

501196

28  
g-index

29  
all docs

29  
docs citations

29  
times ranked

2028  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Patient and public involvement in priority setting decisions in England's <i>Transforming</i> NHS: An interview study with Clinical Commissioning Groups in South London sustainability transformation partnerships. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2019, 22, 1223-1230.	2.6	12
2	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, social values and healthcare priority setting. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2019, 112, 173-179.	2.0	19
3	Creating sustainable health care systems. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2019, 33, 18-34.	1.3	22
4	Developing guidelines is expensive. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2018, 360, k900.	2.3	4
5	Levers for addressing medical underuse and overuse: achieving high-value health care. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2017, 390, 191-202.	13.7	207
6	Setting standards and monitoring quality in the NHS 1999-2013: a classic case of goal conflict. <i>International Journal of Health Planning and Management</i> , 2017, 32, e185-e205.	1.7	3
7	Universal health coverage, priority setting, and the human right to health. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2017, 390, 712-714.	13.7	56
8	Costs and Outcomes of Increasing Access to Bariatric Surgery: Cohort Study and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Using Electronic Health Records. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2017, 20, 85-92.	0.3	80
9	Cost effective but unaffordable: an emerging challenge for health systems. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 356, j1402.	2.3	23
10	The NICE alcohol misuse standard "evaluating its impact. <i>International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance</i> , 2017, 30, 260-273.	0.9	4
11	Reaching consensus on reporting patient and public involvement (PPI) in research: methods and lessons learned from the development of reporting guidelines. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e016948.	1.9	35
12	What are the essential features of a successful surgical registry? a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e017373.	1.9	53
13	Public Reasoning and Health-Care Priority Setting: The Case of NICE. <i>Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal</i> , 2017, 27, 107-134.	0.5	26
14	Public participation in decision-making on the coverage of new antivirals for hepatitis C. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2016, 30, 769-785.	1.3	20
15	Introduction: priority setting, equitable access and public involvement in health care. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2016, 30, 736-750.	1.3	36
16	Public involvement in health priority setting: future challenges for policy, research and society. <i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i> , 2016, 30, 796-808.	1.3	25
17	Challenges for the new Cancer Drugs Fund. <i>Lancet Oncology, The</i> , 2016, 17, 416-418.	10.7	7
18	Accounting for Technical, Ethical, and Political Factors in Priority Setting. <i>Health Systems and Reform</i> , 2016, 2, 51-60.	1.2	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Costs and outcomes of increasing access to bariatric surgery for obesity: cohort study and cost-effectiveness analysis using electronic health records. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2016, 4, 1-120.	1.4	16
20	Fildes et al. Respond. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> , 2015, 105, e3-e4.	2.7	0
21	What drives junior doctors to use clinical practice guidelines? A national cross-sectional survey of foundation doctors in England & Wales. <i>BMC Medical Education</i> , 2015, 15, 227.	2.4	13
22	Probability of an Obese Person Attaining Normal Body Weight: Cohort Study Using Electronic Health Records. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> , 2015, 105, e54-e59.	2.7	277
23	Does accountability for reasonableness work? A protocol for a mixed methods study using an audit tool to evaluate the decision-making of clinical commissioning groups in England: Table A1. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e007908.	1.9	15
24	Prioritising patients for bariatric surgery: building public preferences from a discrete choice experiment into public policy. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e008919.	1.9	12
25	The importance of being NICE. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2015, 108, 385-389.	2.0	10
26	Basing safe staffing levels on evidence. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2015, 351, h4556.	6.0	2
27	Social values and health priority setting in Australia: An analysis applied to the context of health technology assessment. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2015, 119, 127-136.	3.0	44
28	Guidance on priority setting in health care (GPS-Health): the inclusion of equity criteria not captured by cost-effectiveness analysis. <i>Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation</i> , 2014, 12, 18.	1.5	125