## **David Moher**

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8346494/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

102 438,396 744 165 630 citations g-index h-index papers 858 858 858 270509 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Medicine, 2009, 6, e1000097.	8.4	50,671
2	Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 339, b2535-b2535.	2.3	36,486
3	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n71.	6.0	26,066
4	The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 343, d5928-d5928.	2.3	23,287
5	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2009, 151, 264.	3.9	20,458
6	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 1.	5.3	16,556
7	PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 169, 467-473.	3.9	15,209
8	The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. PLoS Medicine, 2009, 6, e1000100.	8.4	13,772
9	The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 339, b2700-b2700.	2.3	13,452
10	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 1006-1012.	5.0	9,774
11	Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. International Journal of Surgery, 2010, 8, 336-341.	2.7	8,663
12	The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, e1-e34.	5.0	8,425
13	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ, The, 2015, 349, g7647-g7647.	6.0	8,367
14	CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2010, 340, c332-c332.	2.3	5,910
15	Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g1687-g1687.	6.0	5,661
16	CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2010, 340, c869-c869.	2.3	5,319
17	CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMC Medicine, 2010, 8, 18.	5.5	4,939
18	Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 343, d4002-d4002.	2.3	4,743

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 358, j4008.	2.3	4,681
20	The PRISMA Extension Statement for Reporting of Systematic Reviews Incorporating Network Meta-analyses of Health Care Interventions: Checklist and Explanations. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2015, 162, 777-784.	3.9	4,590
21	SPIRIT 2013 Statement: Defining Standard Protocol Items for Clinical Trials. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2013, 158, 200.	3.9	4,463
22	The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2009, 151, W.	3.9	4,445
23	Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the QUOROM statement. Lancet, The, 1999, 354, 1896-1900.	13.7	4,420
24	The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomised trials. Lancet, The, 2001, 357, 1191-1194.	13.7	3,783
25	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 89.	5.3	3,624
26	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. International Journal of Surgery, 2021, 88, 105906.	2.7	3,487
27	SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, e7586-e7586.	6.0	3,435
28	PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n160.	6.0	3,413
29	Does quality of reports of randomised trials affect estimates of intervention efficacy reported in meta-analyses?. Lancet, The, 1998, 352, 609-613.	13.7	3,390
30	Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2007, 7, 10.	3.1	3,253
31	The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. PLoS Medicine, 2015, 12, e1001885.	8.4	2,892
32	CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated Guidelines for Reporting Parallel Group Randomized Trials. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2010, 152, 726.	3.9	2,752
33	The CONSORT Statement: Revised Recommendations for Improving the Quality of Reports of Parallel-Group Randomized Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2001, 285, 1987.	7.4	2,255
34	STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. BMJ, The, 2015, 351, h5527.	6.0	1,914
35	Extending the CONSORT Statement to Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatment: Explanation and Elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008, 148, 295.	3.9	1,865
36	Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 319, 388.	7.4	1,783

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Scoping reviews: time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2014, 67, 1291-1294.	5.0	1,766
38	Reprintâ€"Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Physical Therapy, 2009, 89, 873-880.	2.4	1,756
39	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): Explanation and elaboration. International Journal of Surgery, 2014, 12, 1500-1524.	2.7	1,698
40	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 231-250.	0.3	1,657
41	CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. International Journal of Surgery, 2012, 10, 28-55.	2.7	1,546
42	CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: updated guidelines forÂreporting parallel group randomised trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2010, 63, e1-e37.	5.0	1,500
43	Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARD initiative. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2003, 326, 41-44.	2.3	1,359
44	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003583.	8.4	1,340
45	Improving the reporting of pragmatic trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2008, 337, a2390-a2390.	2.3	1,327
46	Better Reporting of Harms in Randomized Trials: An Extension of the CONSORT Statement. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2004, 141, 781.	3.9	1,225
47	Increasing value and reducing waste in research design, conduct, and analysis. Lancet, The, 2014, 383, 166-175.	13.7	1,186
48	CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated Guidelines for Reporting Parallel Group Randomised Trials. PLoS Medicine, 2010, 7, e1000251.	8.4	1,135
49	CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Trials, 2010, 11, 32.	1.6	1,129
50	Assessing the quality of randomized controlled trials: An annotated bibliography of scales and checklists. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 1995, 16, 62-73.	1.9	1,089
51	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f1049-f1049.	6.0	1,082
52	Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: development of the PRISMA 2020 statement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 134, 103-112.	5.0	1,022
53	The CONSORT Statement: Revised Recommendations for Improving the Quality of Reports of Parallel-Group Randomized Trials. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2001, 134, 657.	3.9	1,003
54	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 134, 178-189.	5.0	995

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	CARE guidelines for case reports: explanation and elaboration document. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 89, 218-235.	5.0	993
56	Reducing waste from incomplete or unusable reports of biomedical research. Lancet, The, 2014, 383, 267-276.	13.7	982
57	Reporting of Patient-Reported Outcomes in Randomized Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2013, 309, 814.	7.4	981
58	PRISMA-S: an extension to the PRISMA Statement for Reporting Literature Searches in Systematic Reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 39.	5.3	962
59	The STARD Statement for Reporting Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy: Explanation and Elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2003, 138, W1.	3.9	956
60	A catalogue of reporting guidelines for health research. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2010, 40, 35-53.	3.4	953
61	The STARD Statement for Reporting Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy: Explanation and Elaboration. Clinical Chemistry, 2003, 49, 7-18.	3.2	939
62	Use of the CONSORT Statement and Quality of Reports of Randomized Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2001, 285, 1992.	7.4	911
63	Guidance for Developers of Health Research Reporting Guidelines. PLoS Medicine, 2010, 7, e1000217.	8.4	905
64	Influence of Reported Study Design Characteristics on Intervention Effect Estimates From Randomized, Controlled Trials. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2012, 157, 429.	3.9	880
65	The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel group randomized trials. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2001, 1, 2.	3.1	859
66	CONSORT Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments: A 2017 Update and a CONSORT Extension for Nonpharmacologic Trial Abstracts. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, 40.	3.9	833
67	The nuts and bolts of PROSPERO: an international prospective register of systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 2.	5.3	829
68	Towards Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy: The STARD Initiative. Clinical Chemistry, 2003, 49, 1-6.	3.2	805
69	Revised STandards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA): Extending the CONSORT Statement. PLoS Medicine, 2010, 7, e1000261.	8.4	801
70	GRIPP2 reporting checklists: tools to improve reporting of patient and public involvement in research. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 358, j3453.	2.3	790
71	Evidence summaries: the evolution of a rapid review approach. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 10.	5.3	777
72	CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2010, 63, 834-840.	5.0	769

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. International Journal of Surgery, 2011, 9, 672-677.	2.7	760
74	Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA Statement. Open Medicine, 2009, 3, e123-30.	1.5	758
75	Hypothermia Therapy after Traumatic Brain Injury in Children. New England Journal of Medicine, 2008, 358, 2447-2456.	27.0	726
76	Does the CONSORT checklist improve the quality of reports of randomised controlled trials? A systematic review. Medical Journal of Australia, 2006, 185, 263-267.	1.7	725
77	CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutics, 2010, 1, 100-107.	0.4	695
78	Epidemiology and Reporting Characteristics of Systematic Reviews. PLoS Medicine, 2007, 4, e78.	8.4	691
79	Towards Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy: The STARD Initiative. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2003, 138, 40.	3.9	665
80	A scoping review of rapid review methods. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 224.	5.5	664
81	Does the inclusion of grey literature influence estimates of intervention effectiveness reported in meta-analyses?. Lancet, The, 2000, 356, 1228-1231.	13.7	661
82	The <scp>CARE</scp> Guidelines: Consensusâ€Based Clinical Case Reporting Guideline Development. Headache, 2013, 53, 1541-1547.	3.9	628
83	Comparison of Registered and Published Primary Outcomes in Randomized Controlled Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2009, 302, 977.	7.4	620
84	How Quickly Do Systematic Reviews Go Out of Date? A Survival Analysis. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2007, 147, 224.	3.9	611
85	Reprint-preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Physical Therapy, 2009, 89, 873-80.	2.4	598
86	The CARE Guidelines: Consensus-based Clinical Case Reporting Guideline Development. Global Advances in Health and Medicine, 2013, 2, 38-43.	1.6	575
87	Effectiveness of quality improvement strategies on the management of diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet, The, 2012, 379, 2252-2261.	13.7	557
88	Influence of reported study design characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomised controlled trials: combined analysis of meta-epidemiological studies Health Technology Assessment, 2012, 16, 1-82.	2.8	542
89	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) Statement. Value in Health, 2013, 16, e1-e5.	0.3	513
90	The prevalence of celiac disease in average-risk and at-risk Western European populations: A systematic review. Gastroenterology, 2005, 128, S57-S67.	1.3	509

#	Article	IF	CITATION
91	CONSORT for Reporting Randomized Controlled Trials in Journal and Conference Abstracts: Explanation and Elaboration. PLoS Medicine, 2008, 5, e20.	8.4	504
92	Epidemiology and Reporting Characteristics of Systematic Reviews of Biomedical Research: A Cross-Sectional Study. PLoS Medicine, 2016, 13, e1002028.	8.4	497
93	PRISMA-Equity 2012 Extension: Reporting Guidelines for Systematic Reviews with a Focus on Health Equity. PLoS Medicine, 2012, 9, e1001333.	8.4	486
94	An evidence-based practice guideline for the peer review of electronic search strategies. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 944-952.	5.0	483
95	STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. Radiology, 2015, 277, 826-832.	7.3	474
96	Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guÃa actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia, 2021, 74, 790-799.	1.2	473
97	Does use of the CONSORT Statement impact the completeness of reporting of randomised controlled trials published in medical journals? A Cochrane reviewa. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 60.	5.3	468
98	Methodology and Reports of Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 1998, 280, 278.	7.4	467
99	Reporting Randomized, Controlled Trials of Herbal Interventions: An Elaborated CONSORT Statement. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2006, 144, 364.	3.9	467
100	PRISMA Statement. Epidemiology, 2011, 22, 128.	2.7	467
101	STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. Clinical Chemistry, 2015, 61, 1446-1452.	3.2	449
102	The CARE guidelines: consensus-based clinical case report guideline development. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2014, 67, 46-51.	5.0	443
103	The diagnostic accuracy of serologic tests for celiac disease: A systematic review. Gastroenterology, 2005, 128, S38-S46.	1.3	441
104	CONSORT for reporting randomised trials in journal and conference abstracts. Lancet, The, 2008, 371, 281-283.	13.7	432
105	What contributions do languages other than English make on the results of meta-analyses?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2000, 53, 964-972.	5.0	421
106	Statistical Power, Sample Size, and Their Reporting in Randomized Controlled Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 1994, 272, 122.	7.4	418
107	Methods and Processes of the CONSORT Group: Example of an Extension for Trials Assessing Nonpharmacologic Treatments. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008, 148, W-60.	3.9	415
108	STrengthening the REporting of Genetic Association Studies (STREGA)— An Extension of the STROBE Statement. PLoS Medicine, 2009, 6, e1000022.	8.4	411

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Assessing the Quality of Randomized Controlled Trials: <i>Current Issues and Future Directions</i> International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 1996, 12, 195-208.	0.5	410
110	Evaluations of the uptake and impact of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement and extensions: a scoping review. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 263.	5.3	406
111	Core Outcome Set–STAndards for Reporting: The COS-STAR Statement. PLoS Medicine, 2016, 13, e1002148.	8.4	404
112	Propofol vs Midazolam for ICU Sedation. Chest, 2001, 119, 1151-1159.	0.8	402
113	Consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) and the completeness of reporting of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) published in medical journals. The Cochrane Library, 2013, 2013, MR000030.	2.8	382
114	Transparent and accurate reporting increases reliability, utility, and impact of your research: reporting guidelines and the EQUATOR Network. BMC Medicine, 2010, 8, 24.	5.5	366
115	An international registry of systematic-review protocols. Lancet, The, 2011, 377, 108-109.	13.7	357
116	Towards Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy: The STARD Initiative. Radiology, 2003, 226, 24-28.	7.3	353
117	Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI extension. Nature Medicine, 2020, 26, 1364-1374.	30.7	353
118	PRISMA harms checklist: improving harms reporting in systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2016, 352, i157.	6.0	351
119	The CARE guidelines: consensus-based clinical case reporting guideline development. Journal of Medical Case Reports, 2013, 7, 223.	0.8	347
120	Predatory journals: no definition, no defence. Nature, 2019, 576, 210-212.	27.8	347
121	Increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research: who's listening?. Lancet, The, 2016, 387, 1573-1586.	13.7	346
122	CONSORT 2010 Statement. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2010, 115, 1063-1070.	2.4	338
123	Revised STandards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA): Extending the CONSORT Statement. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine, 2010, 3, 140-155.	1.8	335
124	Declaración PRISMA 2020: una guÃa actualizada para la publicación de revisiones sistemáticas. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia (English Ed ), 2021, 74, 790-799.	0.6	333
125	Prolonged Therapeutic Hypothermia After Traumatic Brain Injury in Adults. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2003, 289, 2992.	7.4	316
126	The Use of Aspirin for Primary Prevention of Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review Prepared for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2007, 146, 365.	3.9	294

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	The CARE guidelines: consensus-based clinical case reporting guideline development. BMJ Case Reports, 2013, 2013, bcr2013201554-bcr2013201554.	0.5	293
128	A checklist to evaluate a report of a nonpharmacological trial (CLEAR NPT) was developed using consensus. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 1233-1240.	5.0	287
129	Reporting Guidelines for Survey Research: An Analysis of Published Guidance and Reporting Practices. PLoS Medicine, 2011, 8, e1001069.	8.4	284
130	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH ECONOMIC EVALUATION REPORTING STANDARDS (CHEERS) STATEMENT. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 117-122.	0.5	281
131	Reporting Methods of Blinding in Randomized Trials Assessing Nonpharmacological Treatments. PLoS Medicine, 2007, 4, e61.	8.4	270
132	The reporting of studies conducted using observational routinely collected health data statement for pharmacoepidemiology (RECORD-PE). BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 363, k3532.	2.3	268
133	Potential predatory and legitimate biomedical journals: can you tell the difference? A cross-sectional comparison. BMC Medicine, 2017, 15, 28.	5.5	266
134	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2013, 11, 6.	1.5	264
135	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA): explanation, elaboration, and checklist. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2632.	6.0	262
136	Evaluation of the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias in randomized trials: focus groups, online survey, proposed recommendations and their implementation. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 37.	5.3	252
137	Reporting Guidelines for Health Care Simulation Research. Simulation in Healthcare, 2016, 11, 238-248.	1.2	252
138	Assessing scientists for hiring, promotion, and tenure. PLoS Biology, 2018, 16, e2004089.	5.6	244
139	A systematic review identifies a lack of standardization in methods for handling missing variance data. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2006, 59, 342-353.	5.0	239
140	Reporting guidelines for health care simulation research: extensions to the CONSORT and STROBE statements. Advances in Simulation, 2016, 1, 25.	2.3	233
141	Why prospective registration of systematic reviews makes sense. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 7.	5.3	229
142	SPIRIT 2013: new guidance for content of clinical trial protocols. Lancet, The, 2013, 381, 91-92.	13.7	226
143	A randomized trial of aspirin on the risk of embolic events in patients with infective endocarditis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2003, 42, 775-780.	2.8	222
144	The pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: A systematic review with network meta-analyses of randomised trials. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0180355.	2.5	218

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	STrengthening the REporting of Genetic Association studies (STREGA) – an extension of the STROBE statement. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2009, 39, 247-266.	3.4	216
146	CONSORT: An Evolving Tool to Help Improve the Quality of Reports of Randomized Controlled Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 1998, 279, 1489.	7.4	212
147	STrengthening the REporting of Genetic Association Studies (STREGA)—an extension of the STROBE statement. Genetic Epidemiology, 2009, 33, 581-598.	1.3	211
148	Revised Standards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (Stricta): Extending the Consort Statement. Acupuncture in Medicine, 2010, 28, 83-93.	1.0	211
149	Association of Convalescent Plasma Treatment With Clinical Outcomes in Patients With COVID-19. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2021, 325, 1185.	7.4	209
150	Endorsement of the CONSORT Statement by high impact factor medical journals: a survey of journal editors and journal 'Instructions to Authors'. Trials, 2008, 9, 20.	1.6	206
151	Metformin monotherapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. , 2005, , CD002966.		203
152	Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaâ€analyses in ecology and evolutionary biology: a <scp>PRISMA</scp> extension. Biological Reviews, 2021, 96, 1695-1722.	10.4	203
153	Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI Extension. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m3164.	6.0	201
154	The Hong Kong Principles for assessing researchers: Fostering research integrity. PLoS Biology, 2020, 18, e3000737.	5.6	200
155	EQUATOR: reporting guidelines for health research. Lancet, The, 2008, 371, 1149-1150.	13.7	194
156	Randomized trials published in some Chinese journals: how many are randomized?. Trials, 2009, 10, 46.	1.6	194
157	Mortality outcomes with hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine in COVID-19 from an international collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials. Nature Communications, 2021, 12, 2349.	12.8	194
158	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. European Journal of Health Economics, 2013, 14, 367-372.	2.8	191
159	Stop this waste of people, animals and money. Nature, 2017, 549, 23-25.	27.8	191
160	Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the QUOROM statement. British Journal of Surgery, 2002, 87, 1448-1454.	0.3	189
161	Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARD initiative. Family Practice, 2004, 21, 4-10.	1.9	189
162	Advanced Vertebral Fracture Among Newly Diagnosed Children With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: Results of the Canadian Steroid-Associated Osteoporosis in the Pediatric Population (STOPP) Research Program. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, 2009, 24, 1326-1334.	2.8	188

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
163	CONSORT Extension for Chinese Herbal Medicine Formulas 2017: Recommendations, Explanation, and Elaboration. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, 112.	3.9	187
164	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. BMC Medicine, 2013, 11, 80.	<b>5.</b> 5	185
165	Extending the PRISMA statement to equity-focused systematic reviews (PRISMA-E 2012): explanation and elaboration. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 70, 68-89.	5.0	184
166	Relation of completeness of reporting of health research to journals' endorsement of reporting guidelines: systematic review. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g3804-g3804.	6.0	182
167	Systematic review adherence to methodological or reporting quality. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 131.	5.3	180
168	Reporting randomised trials of social and psychological interventions: the CONSORT-SPI 2018 Extension. Trials, 2018, 19, 407.	1.6	180
169	CONSORT-SPI 2018 Explanation and Elaboration: guidance for reporting social and psychological intervention trials. Trials, 2018, 19, 406.	1.6	179
170	Recommendations for reporting randomized controlled trials of herbal interventions: explanation and elaboration. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2006, 59, 1134-1149.	5.0	178
171	Guidelines for Reporting Health Research: The EQUATOR Network's Survey of Guideline Authors. PLoS Medicine, 2008, 5, e139.	8.4	178
172	Patient-reported outcomes in randomized clinical trials: development of ISOQOL reporting standards. Quality of Life Research, 2013, 22, 1161-1175.	3.1	172
173	Advancing scoping study methodology: a web-based survey and consultation of perceptions on terminology, definition and methodological steps. BMC Health Services Research, 2016, 16, 305.	2.2	172
174	Reporting scoping reviews—PRISMA ScR extension. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 123, 177-179.	5.0	168
175	Guidelines for Reporting Trial Protocols and Completed Trials Modified Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and Other Extenuating Circumstances. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2021, 326, 257.	7.4	168
176	Strengthening the reporting of genetic association studies (STREGA): an extension of the STROBE Statement. Human Genetics, 2009, 125, 131-151.	3.8	167
177	Language of publication restrictions in systematic reviews gave different results depending on whether the intervention was conventional or complementary. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 769-776.e2.	5.0	166
178	Developing specific reporting guidelines for diagnostic accuracy studies assessing Al interventions: The STARD-Al Steering Group. Nature Medicine, 2020, 26, 807-808.	30.7	166
179	Acupuncture in Poststroke Rehabilitation. Stroke, 2010, 41, e171-9.	2.0	163
180	Development and validation of the guideline for reporting evidence-based practice educational interventions and teaching (GREET). BMC Medical Education, 2016, 16, 237.	2.4	159

#	Article	lF	Citations
181	All in the Family: systematic reviews, rapid reviews, scoping reviews, realist reviews, and more. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 183.	5.3	158
182	The CONSORT Statement: Revised Recommendations for Improving the Quality of Reports of Parallel-Group Randomized Trials. Journal of the American Podiatric Medical Association, 2001, 91, 437-442.	0.3	156
183	AHRQ Series Paper 4: Assessing harms when comparing medical interventions: AHRQ and the Effective Health-Care Program. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2010, 63, 502-512.	5.0	156
184	CONSORT extension for reporting N-of-1 trials (CENT) 2015 Statement. BMJ, The, 2015, 350, h1738-h1738.	6.0	155
185	The CONSORT statement: Revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials 2001. Explore: the Journal of Science and Healing, 2005, 1, 40-45.	1.0	153
186	Should meta-analysts search Embase in addition to Medline?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2003, 56, 943-955.	5.0	146
187	Data sharing and reanalysis of randomized controlled trials in leading biomedical journals with a full data sharing policy: survey of studies published in <i>The BMJ</i> and <i>PLOS Medicine</i> BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 360, k400.	2.3	146
188	The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomised trials. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2003, 7, 2-7.	3.0	144
189	The REporting of Studies Conducted Using Observational Routinely-Collected Health Data (RECORD) Statement: Methods for Arriving at Consensus and Developing Reporting Guidelines. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0125620.	2.5	144
190	Network meta-analysis for comparing treatment effects of multiple interventions: an introduction. Rheumatology International, 2014, 34, 1489-1496.	3.0	142
191	Network meta-analyses could be improved by searching more sources and by involving a librarian. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2014, 67, 1001-1007.	5.0	141
192	Investigating clinical heterogeneity in systematic reviews: a methodologic review of guidance in the literature. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2012, 12, 111.	3.1	140
193	Implementing the 27 PRISMA 2020 Statement items for systematic reviews in the sport and exercise medicine, musculoskeletal rehabilitation and sports science fields: the PERSiST (implementing Prisma) Tj ETQq1 1 Medicine, 2022, 56, 175-195.	0,784314 6.7	l FgBT /Over 140
194	Guidelines for clinical trial protocols for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the SPIRIT-AI extension. The Lancet Digital Health, 2020, 2, e549-e560.	12.3	135
195	The art and science of knowledge synthesis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 11-20.	5.0	134
196	Enhancing the usability of systematic reviews by improving the consideration and description of interventions. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 358, j2998.	2.3	134
197	Nebulized Budesonide and Oral Dexamethasone for Treatment of Croup. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 1998, 279, 1629.	7.4	133
198	A Comparison of the Quality of Cochrane Reviews and Systematic Reviews Published in Paper-Based Journals. Evaluation and the Health Professions, 2002, 25, 116-129.	1.9	133

#	Article	IF	Citations
199	The Good Clinical Practice guideline: a bronze standard for clinical research. Lancet, The, 2005, 366, 172-174.	13.7	133
200	CONSORT 2010 changes and testing blindness in RCTs. Lancet, The, 2010, 375, 1144-1146.	13.7	133
201	Describing reporting guidelines for health research: a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 718-742.	5.0	131
202	Helping editors, peer reviewers and authors improve the clarity, completeness and transparency of reporting health research. BMC Medicine, 2008, 6, 13.	5.5	130
203	Establishing a new journal for systematic review products. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 1.	5.3	130
204	A new classification of spin in systematic reviews and meta-analyses was developed and ranked according to the severity. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 75, 56-65.	5.0	129
205	Carotid endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid stenosis: a meta-analysis. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1998, 317, 1477-1480.	2.3	126
206	Guides for Reading and Interpreting Systematic Reviews. JAMA Pediatrics, 1998, 152, 700.	3.0	126
207	The Reporting of Randomized Clinical Trials Using a Surgical Intervention Is in Need of Immediate Improvement. Annals of Surgery, 2006, 244, 677-683.	4.2	125
208	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) Statement. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 361-367.	3.3	124
209	Incident vertebral fractures among children with rheumatic disorders 12 months after glucocorticoid initiation: A national observational study. Arthritis Care and Research, 2012, 64, 122-131.	3.4	121
210	The effectiveness of glucocorticoids in treating croup: meta-analysis. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1999, 319, 595-600.	2.3	119
211	Academic criteria for promotion and tenure in biomedical sciences faculties: cross sectional analysis of international sample of universities. BMJ, The, 2020, 369, m2081.	6.0	119
212	Implementing PRISMA-P: recommendations for prospective authors. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 15.	5.3	118
213	Interprofessional collaboration within Canadian integrative healthcare clinics: Key components. Social Science and Medicine, 2009, 69, 707-715.	3.8	115
214	Evolution of poor reporting and inadequate methods over time in 20 920 randomised controlled trials included in Cochrane reviews: research on research study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 357, j2490.	2.3	114
215	SPIRIT 2013 Statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Revista Panamericana De Salud Publica/Pan American Journal of Public Health, 2015, 38, 506-14.	1.1	114
216	Guides for Reading and Interpreting Systematic Reviews. JAMA Pediatrics, 1998, 152, 812-7.	3.0	113

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
217	Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Therapy in Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Preclinical Studies. Stem Cells Translational Medicine, 2017, 6, 2079-2093.	3.3	113
218	CONSORT extension for reporting N-of-1 trials (CENT) 2015: Explanation and elaboration. BMJ, The, 2015, 350, h1793-h1793.	6.0	112
219	Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI extension. The Lancet Digital Health, 2020, 2, e537-e548.	12.3	112
220	Revised STandards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA): Extending the CONSORT Statement. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 2010, 16, ST-1-ST-14.	2.1	111
221	PROSPERO at one year: an evaluation of its utility. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 4.	5.3	111
222	Update on the endorsement of CONSORT by high impact factor journals: a survey of journal "Instructions to Authors―in 2014. Trials, 2016, 17, 301.	1.6	111
223	The Medical Review Article Revisited: Has the Science Improved?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 1999, 131, 947.	3.9	110
224	Efficacy of Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Therapy for Acute Lung Injury in Preclinical Animal Models: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0147170.	2.5	108
225	Reporting Guidelines for Clinical Pharmacokinetic Studies: The ClinPK Statement. Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 2015, 54, 783-795.	3.5	107
226	Recommendations for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy: a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 194.	5.3	107
227	Development quality criteria to evaluate nontherapeutic studies of incidence, prevalence, or risk factors of chronic diseases: pilot study of new checklists. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 637-657.	5.0	106
228	What is a predatory journal? A scoping review. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 1001.	1.6	104
229	Towards Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy: The STARD Initiative. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 2003, 41, 68-73.	2.3	102
230	Developing a reporting guideline for artificial intelligence-centred diagnostic test accuracy studies: the STARD-AI protocol. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e047709.	1.9	102
231	Strengthening the reporting of genetic association studies (STREGA)â€"an extension of the strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 597-608.e4.	5.0	98
232	Improving the reporting of randomised trials: the CONSORT Statement and beyond. Statistics in Medicine, 2012, 31, 2985-2997.	1.6	96
233	The Devil Is in the Details: Incomplete Reporting in Preclinical Animal Research. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0166733.	2.5	96
234	Protocol for CONSORT-SPI: an extension for social and psychological interventions. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 99.	6.9	95

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
235	A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled noninferiority trial of amoxicillin for clinically diagnosed acute otitis media in children 6 months to 5 years of age. Cmaj, 2005, 172, 335-341.	2.0	94
236	Toward Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2003, 119, 18-22.	0.7	93
237	An alternative to the hand searching gold standard: validating methodological search filters using relative recall. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2006, 6, 33.	3.1	93
238	How to reduce unnecessary duplication: use <scp>PROSPERO</scp> . BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2014, 121, 784-786.	2.3	93
239	You are invited to submit…. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 180.	5.5	93
240	Shorter courses of parenteral antibiotic therapy do not appear to influence response rates for children with acute hematogenous osteomyelitis: a systematic review. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2002, 2, 16.	2.9	91
241	The STARD statement for reporting studies of diagnostic accuracy: explanation and elaboration. The Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy Group. Croatian Medical Journal, 2003, 44, 639-50.	0.7	91
242	Funding source, trial outcome and reporting quality: are they related? Results of a pilot study. BMC Health Services Research, 2002, 2, 18.	2.2	88
243	When and how to update systematic reviews. The Cochrane Library, 2010, 2010, MR000023.	2.8	88
244	Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use by Pediatric Specialty Outpatients. Pediatrics, 2013, 131, 225-232.	2.1	88
245	Quality of conduct and reporting in rapid reviews: an exploration of compliance with PRISMA and AMSTAR guidelines. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 79.	5.3	88
246	Systematic reviews: when is an update an update?. Lancet, The, 2006, 367, 881-883.	13.7	87
247	Bisphosphonate therapy for children and adolescents with secondary osteoporosis. The Cochrane Library, 2010, 2010, CD005324.	2.8	87
248	The CARE Guidelines. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International, 2013, 110, 603-8.	0.9	86
249	Scoping review on interventions to improve adherence to reporting guidelines in health research. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e026589.	1.9	86
250	PRISMA-S: an extension to the PRISMA statement for reporting literature searches in systematic reviews. Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA, 2021, 109, 174-200.	1.7	86
251	The Long-term Gastrointestinal Functional Outcomes Following Curative Anterior Resection in Adults With Rectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2011, 54, 1589-1597.	1.3	84
252	Safety, effectiveness, and cost effectiveness of long acting versus intermediate acting insulin for patients with type 1 diabetes: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g5459-g5459.	6.0	84

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
253	Mapping of reporting guidance for systematic reviews and meta-analyses generated a comprehensive item bank for future reporting guidelines. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 118, 60-68.	5.0	84
254	Benefits and Harms Associated With the Practice of Bed Sharing. JAMA Pediatrics, 2007, 161, 237.	3.0	83
255	Grading Quality of Evidence and Strength of Recommendations: A Perspective. PLoS Medicine, 2009, 6, e1000151.	8.4	83
256	The Quality of Reporting Methods and Results in Network Meta-Analyses: An Overview of Reviews and Suggestions for Improvement. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e92508.	2.5	82
257	An international survey and modified Delphi approach revealed numerous rapid review methods. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 70, 61-67.	5.0	82
258	Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 1995, 274, 1962.	7.4	81
259	Consensus-based recommendations for investigating clinical heterogeneity in systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2013, 13, 106.	3.1	80
260	Systematic review of the benefits and harms of calcitriol and alfacalcidol for fractures and falls. Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism, 2008, 26, 531-542.	2.7	79
261	Non-Cochrane vs. Cochrane reviews were twice as likely to have positive conclusion statements: cross-sectional study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 380-386.e1.	5.0	79
262	Registering systematic reviews. Cmaj, 2010, 182, 13-14.	2.0	79
263	Extending the PRISMA statement to equity-focused systematic reviews (PRISMA-E 2012): explanation and elaboration. International Journal for Equity in Health, 2015, 14, 92.	3.5	79
264	Reproducible research practices are underused in systematic reviews of biomedical interventions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 94, 8-18.	5.0	79
265	Bone Morbidity and Recovery in Children With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: Results of a Six-Year Prospective Cohort Study. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, 2018, 33, 1435-1443.	2.8	79
266	Assisted Reproductive Technology and the Incidence of Ovarian Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2004, 103, 785-794.	2.4	77
267	Knowledge and motivations of researchers publishing in presumed predatory journals: a survey. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e026516.	1.9	77
268	A quality assessment tool for artificial intelligence-centered diagnostic test accuracy studies: QUADAS-AI. Nature Medicine, 2021, 27, 1663-1665.	30.7	76
269	CONSORT extension for reporting N-of-1 trials (CENT) 2015 Statement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 76, 9-17.	5.0	75
270	CONSORT Extension for Chinese Herbal Medicine Formulas 2017: Recommendations, Explanation, and Elaboration (Traditional Chinese Version). Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, W7.	3.9	75

#	Article	IF	Citations
271	How predatory journals leak into PubMed. Cmaj, 2018, 190, E1042-E1045.	2.0	75
272	Surveillance search techniques identified the need to update systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 755-762.	5.0	74
273	Active implementation strategy of CONSORT adherence by a dental specialty journal improved randomized clinical trial reporting. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2014, 67, 1044-1048.	5.0	74
274	Pharmacological Management of Intermittent Claudication. Drugs, 2000, 59, 1057-1070.	10.9	73
275	Evaluating mesenchymal stem cell therapy for sepsis with preclinical meta-analyses prior to initiating a first-in-human trial. ELife, 2016, 5, .	6.0	73
276	Efficacy and completion rates of rifapentine and isoniazid (3HP) compared to other treatment regimens for latent tuberculosis infection: a systematic review with network meta-analyses. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2017, 17, 265.	2.9	73
277	CONSORT extension for reporting N-of-1 trials (CENT) 2015: explanation and elaboration. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 76, 18-46.	5.0	72
278	Systematic Review: Comparative Effectiveness and Harms of Combination Therapy and Monotherapy for Dyslipidemia. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2009, 151, 622.	3.9	71
279	Introduction to PRISMA 2020 and implications for research synthesis methodologists. Research Synthesis Methods, 2022, 13, 156-163.	8.7	71
280	A systematic review identified few methods and strategies describing when and how to update systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2007, 60, 1095.e1-1095.e11.	5.0	70
281	Declaration of transparency for each research article. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f4796-f4796.	6.0	70
282	Surveying Physicians To Determine the Minimal Important Difference. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 1999, 52, 717-723.	5.0	68
283	Systematic reviews can be produced and published faster. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 531-536.	5.0	67
284	Forest plots in reports of systematic reviews: a cross-sectional study reviewing current practice. International Journal of Epidemiology, 2010, 39, 421-429.	1.9	67
285	From QUOROM to PRISMA: A Survey of High-Impact Medical Journals' Instructions to Authors and a Review of Systematic Reviews in Anesthesia Literature. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e27611.	2.5	67
286	Use of guidelines to improve the quality and transparency of reporting oral health research. Journal of Dentistry, 2015, 43, 397-404.	4.1	65
287	CONSORT extension for the reporting of randomised controlled trials conducted using cohorts and routinely collected data (CONSORT-ROUTINE): checklist with explanation and elaboration. BMJ, The, 2021, 373, n857.	6.0	65
288	Opportunities and challenges for improving the quality of reporting clinical research: CONSORT and beyond. Cmaj, 2004, 171, 349-350.	2.0	64

#	Article	IF	Citations
289	The problem of duplicate systematic reviews. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f5040-f5040.	6.0	64
290	Effectiveness of brief interventions as part of the Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) model for reducing the nonmedical use of psychoactive substances: a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 50.	5.3	64
291	The EQUATOR Network and reporting guidelines: Helping to achieve high standards in reporting health research studies. Maturitas, 2009, 63, 4-6.	2.4	63
292	Is Staging Beneficial for Fowler-Stephens Orchiopexy? A Systematic Review. Journal of Urology, 2010, 183, 2012-2019.	0.4	63
293	What is a predatory journal? A scoping review. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 1001.	1.6	63
294	Sign Language and Spoken Language for Children With Hearing Loss: A Systematic Review. Pediatrics, $2016, 137, \ldots$	2.1	62
295	Assessing the quality of reports of systematic reviews in pediatric complementary and alternative medicine. BMC Pediatrics, 2002, 2, 3.	1.7	61
296	Checklists to detect potential predatory biomedical journals: a systematic review. BMC Medicine, 2020, 18, 104.	5.5	61
297	Toward Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy. Academic Radiology, 2003, 10, 664-669.	2.5	60
298	Four Proposals to Help Improve the Medical Research Literature. PLoS Medicine, 2015, 12, e1001864.	8.4	60
299	Diagnostic Accuracy of Serum, Synovial, and Tissue Testing for Chronic Periprosthetic Joint Infection After Hip and Knee Replacements. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A, 2019, 101, 635-649.	3.0	60
300	The CARE Guidelines: Consensus-based Clinical Case Report Guideline Development. Journal of Dietary Supplements, 2013, 10, 381-390.	2.6	59
301	Same family, different species: methodological conduct and quality varies according to purpose for five types of knowledge synthesis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 96, 133-142.	5.0	59
302	Drug induced pancreatitis: A systematic review of case reports to determine potential drug associations. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0231883.	2.5	59
303	Establishing a Minimum Dataset for Prospective Registration of Systematic Reviews: An International Consultation. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e27319.	2.5	59
304	Towards Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy: The STARD Initiative. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2003, 181, 51-55.	2.2	58
305	Compliance of clinical trial registries with the World Health Organization minimum data set: a survey. Trials, 2009, 10, 56.	1.6	58
306	Reporting guidelines: doing better for readers. BMC Medicine, 2018, 16, 233.	5.5	58

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
307	When to replicate systematic reviews of interventions: consensus checklist. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2864.	6.0	58
308	Instruments to assess the perception of physicians in the decision-making process of specific clinical encounters: a systematic review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2007, 7, 30.	3.0	57
309	Few systematic reviews exist documenting the extent of bias: a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 422-434.	5.0	57
310	Methotrimeprazine versus meperidine and dimenhydrinate in the treatment of severe migraine: A randomized, controlled trial. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 1991, 20, 1201-1205.	0.6	56
311	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors for unipolar depression: a systematic review of classic long-term randomized controlled trials. Cmaj, 2008, 178, 1293-1301.	2.0	56
312	Skeletal findings in children recently initiating glucocorticoids for the treatment of nephrotic syndrome. Osteoporosis International, 2012, 23, 751-760.	3.1	54
313	Mass Production of Systematic Reviews and Metaâ€analyses: An Exercise in Megaâ€silliness?. Milbank Quarterly, 2016, 94, 515-519.	4.4	54
314	Incidence, causes, and consequences of preventable adverse drug reactions occurring in inpatients: A systematic review of systematic reviews. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0205426.	2.5	54
315	Systematic examination of preprint platforms for use in the medical and biomedical sciences setting. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e041849.	1.9	54
316	No consensus exists on search reporting methods for systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 748-754.	5.0	53
317	Guidelines for randomized clinical trial protocol content: a systematic review. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 43.	5.3	53
318	How to conduct systematic reviews more expeditiously?. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 160.	5.3	53
319	Stop Predatory Publishers Now: Act Collaboratively. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 164, 616.	3.9	53
320	Performance of Post-retained Single Crowns: A Systematic Review of Related Risk Factors. Journal of Endodontics, 2017, 43, 175-183.	3.1	53
321	Issues related to the conduct of systematic reviews: a focus on the nutrition field. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2008, 88, 1191-9.	4.7	53
322	Guides for Reading and Interpreting Systematic Reviews. JAMA Pediatrics, 1998, 152, 915.	3.0	52
323	Présenter les résultats de recherche: Une obligation morale pour tous les chercheurs. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2007, 54, 331-335.	1.6	52
324	An international survey indicated that unpublished systematic reviews exist. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 617-623.e5.	5.0	52

#	Article	IF	Citations
325	Developing a guideline for clinical trial protocol content: Delphi consensus survey. Trials, 2012, 13, 176.	1.6	52
326	A third of systematic reviews changed or did not specify the primary outcome: a PROSPERO register study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 79, 46-54.	5.0	52
327	Reporting guidelines of health research studies are frequently used inappropriately. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 122, 87-94.	5.0	52
328	The methodological quality of 176,620 randomized controlled trials published between 1966 and 2018 reveals a positive trend but also an urgent need for improvement. PLoS Biology, 2021, 19, e3001162.	5.6	52
329	Updating Systematic Reviews: An International Survey. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e9914.	2.5	51
330	Effectiveness and Safety of Short-stay Units in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review. Academic Emergency Medicine, 2015, 22, 893-907.	1.8	51
331	Promote scientific integrity via journal peer review data. Science, 2017, 357, 256-257.	12.6	51
332	Determining the reporting quality of RCTs in clinical pharmacology. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2004, 58, 61-65.	2.4	50
333	For randomized controlled trials, the quality of reports of complementary and alternative medicine was as good as reports of conventional medicine. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 763-768.	5.0	50
334	The evolution of assessing bias in Cochrane systematic reviews of interventions: celebrating methodological contributions of the Cochrane Collaboration. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 79.	5.3	50
335	STARD for Abstracts: essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies in journal or conference abstracts. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 358, j3751.	2.3	50
336	Pravila PRISMA 2020 Medicina Fluminensis, 2021, 57, 444-465.	0.3	50
337	Quality of Reports on Randomized Controlled Trials Conducted in Japan: Evaluation of Adherence to the CONSORT Statement. Internal Medicine, 2009, 48, 307-313.	0.7	49
338	Consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) for traditional Chinese medicine: current situation and future development. Frontiers of Medicine, 2011, 5, 171-177.	3.4	48
339	Updating standards for reporting diagnostic accuracy: the development of STARD 2015. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2016, $1$ , $7$ .	<b>5.</b> 2	48
340	Flaws in the application and interpretation of statistical analyses inÂsystematic reviews of therapeutic interventions were common: aÂcross-sectional analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 95, 7-18.	5.0	48
341	A scoping review on the roles and tasks of peer reviewers in the manuscript review process in biomedical journals. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 118.	5.5	48
342	CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. Open Medicine, 2010, 4, e60-8.	1.5	48

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
343	Assessing the quality of reports of randomized trials in pediatric complementary and alternative medicine. BMC Pediatrics, 2002, 2, 2.	1.7	47
344	Effectiveness of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers Caring for Patients with Filovirus Disease: A Rapid Review. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0140290.	2.5	47
345	A systematic review highlights a knowledge gap regarding the effectiveness of health-related training programs in journalology. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 257-265.	5.0	46
346	Developing WHO rapid advice guidelines in the setting of a public health emergency. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 82, 47-60.	5.0	46
347	Association between convalescent plasma treatment and mortality in COVID-19: a collaborative systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2021, 21, 1170.	2.9	46
348	Is There a "Best―Way to Detect and Minimize Publication Bias?. Evaluation and the Health Professions, 2001, 24, 109-125.	1.9	45
349	Intravesical Oxybutynin for Children With Poorly Compliant Neurogenic Bladder: A Systematic Review. Journal of Urology, 2008, 180, 1091-1097.	0.4	45
350	Skeletal findings in the first 12Âmonths following initiation of glucocorticoid therapy for pediatric nephrotic syndrome. Osteoporosis International, 2014, 25, 627-637.	3.1	45
351	Folic acid and folinic acid for reducing side effects in patients receiving methotrexate for rheumatoid arthritis., 1999,, CD000951.		44
352	Randomized controlled trials in pediatric complementary and alternative medicine: Where can they be found? BMC Pediatrics, 2003, 3, $1$ .	1.7	44
353	Reporting quality of life in clinical trials: a CONSORT extension. Lancet, The, 2011, 378, 1684-1685.	13.7	44
354	Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARD initiative. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry, 2003, 40, 357-363.	1.6	43
355	An evaluation of the completeness of safety reporting in reports of complementary and alternative medicine trials. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2011, 11, 67.	3.7	43
356	Core competencies for scientific editors of biomedical journals: consensus statement. BMC Medicine, 2017, 15, 167.	5.5	43
357	Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARD initiative. Clinical Biochemistry, 2003, 36, 1-7.	1.9	42
358	Enhancing the uptake of systematic reviews of effects: what is the best format for health care managers and policy-makers? A mixed-methods study. Implementation Science, 2018, 13, 84.	6.9	42
359	Defining predatory journals and responding to the threat they pose: a modified Delphi consensus process. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035561.	1.9	42
360	Characteristics of Randomized Clinical Trials in Surgery From 2008 to 2020. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2114494.	5.9	42

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
361	Improving the quality of randomized controlled trials in Chinese herbal medicine, Part â: clinical trial design and methodology. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2006, 4, 120-129.	0.7	42
362	Strengthening the reporting of genetic association studies (STREGA): an extension of the STROBE statement. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2009, 24, 37-55.	5.7	41
363	Issues relating to selective reporting when including nonâ€randomized studies in systematic reviews on the effects of healthcare interventions. Research Synthesis Methods, 2013, 4, 36-47.	8.7	41
364	Setting the RECORD straight: developing a guideline for the REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely collected Data. Clinical Epidemiology, 2013, 5, 29.	3.0	41
365	Impact of a web-based tool (WebCONSORT) to improve the reporting of randomised trials: results of a randomised controlled trial. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 199.	5.5	41
366	Identifying approaches for assessing methodological and reporting quality of systematic reviews: a descriptive study. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 117.	<b>5.</b> 3	41
367	A systematic review and network meta-analysis of existing pharmacologic therapies in patients with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0221713.	2,5	41
368	Adult CSF total protein upper reference limits should be age-partitioned and significantly higher than 0.45Âg/L: a systematic review. Journal of Neurology, 2019, 266, 616-624.	3.6	41
369	The worldwide clinical trial research response to the COVID-19 pandemic - the first 100 days. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 1193.	1.6	41
370	Effectiveness of brief interventions as part of the screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment (SBIRT) model for reducing the non-medical use of psychoactive substances: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 22.	<b>5.</b> 3	40
371	Developing a reporting guideline for social and psychological intervention trials. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2013, 203, 250-254.	2.8	40
372	Improving the completeness and transparency of reports of randomized trials in oral health: the CONSORT statement. American Journal of Dentistry, 2008, 21, 7-12.	0.1	40
373	Toward Complete and Accurate Reporting of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2003, 119, 18-22.	0.7	39
374	A collaborative effort to apply the evidence-based review process to the field of nutrition: challenges, benefits, and lessons learned. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2007, 85, 1448-1456.	4.7	39
375	Interprofessional collaboration within integrative healthcare clinics through the lens of the relationship-centered care model. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 2011, 25, 124-130.	1.7	39
376	Comparative safety of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in patients undergoing surgery: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 142.	5.5	39
377	Systematic reviews involving complementary and alternative medicine interventions had higher quality of reporting than conventional medicine reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 777-784.	5.0	38
378	Oral Sildenafil Citrate (Viagra) for Erectile Dysfunction: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Harms. Urology, 2009, 74, 831-836.e8.	1.0	38

#	Article	IF	Citations
379	Updating comparative effectiveness reviews: Current efforts in AHRQ's Effective Health Care Program. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 1208-1215.	5.0	38
380	Journal editors' perspectives on the roles and tasks of peer reviewers in biomedical journals: a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e033421.	1.9	38
381	The worldwide clinical trial research response to the COVID-19 pandemic - the first 100 days. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 1193.	1.6	38
382	Operational definition of complementary, alternative, and integrative medicine derived from a systematic search. BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies, 2022, 22, 104.	2.7	38
383	Identifying null meta-analyses that are ripe for updating. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2003, 3, 13.	3.1	37
384	Two methods provide similar signals for the need to update systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2012, 65, 660-668.	5.0	37
385	The pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 19.	5.3	37
386	Developing a reporting guideline for social and psychological intervention trials. Journal of Experimental Criminology, 2013, 9, 355-367.	2.9	36
387	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2013, 120, 765-770.	2.3	36
388	DEFINING RAPID REVIEWS: A MODIFIED DELPHI CONSENSUS APPROACH. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2016, 32, 265-275.	0.5	36
389	Rating of Included Trials on the Efficacy–Effectiveness Spectrum: development of a new tool for systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, 84, 95-104.	5.0	36
390	Developing a reporting guideline for social and psychological intervention trials. Trials, 2013, 14, 242.	1.6	35
391	Bibliometric and content analysis of the Cochrane Complementary Medicine Field specialized register of controlled trials. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 51.	5.3	35
392	Reaching consensus on reporting patient and public involvement (PPI) in research: methods and lessons learned from the development of reporting guidelines. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016948.	1.9	35
393	Reporting guidelines for clinical trials of artificial intelligence interventions: the SPIRIT-AI and CONSORT-AI guidelines. Trials, 2021, 22, 11.	1.6	35
394	Turning a blind eye:Testing the success of blinding and the CONSORT statement. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2004, 328, 1135.2.	2.3	35
395	lonescu-Shiley valve failure I: Experience with 125 standard-profile explants. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 1992, 54, 111-116.	1.3	34
396	Following 411 Cochrane Protocols to Completion: A Retrospective Cohort Study. PLoS ONE, 2008, 3, e3684.	2.5	34

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
397	Anticipatory nausea and/or vomiting. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 1984, 11, 257-264.	7.7	33
398	Decreased coronary sinus oxygen content: A predictor of adverse prognosis in patients with severe congestive heart failure. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 1991, 18, 1631-1637.	2.8	33
399	A Delphi survey to determine how educational interventions for evidence-based practice should be reported: Stage 2 of the development of a reporting guideline. BMC Medical Education, 2014, 14, 159.	2.4	33
400	The National Institutes of Health and guidance for reporting preclinical research. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 34.	5.5	33
401	The PRISMA statement extension for systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analysis: PRISMA-NMA. Medicina ClÃnica (English Edition), 2016, 147, 262-266.	0.2	33
402	How stakeholders can respond to the rise of predatory journals. Nature Human Behaviour, 2017, 1, 852-855.	12.0	33
403	Completeness of Reporting of Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Based on the PRISMA-DTA Reporting Guideline. Clinical Chemistry, 2019, 65, 291-301.	3.2	33
404	Allocation Concealment in Clinical Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2002, 288, 2406.	7.4	32
405	Reporting genetic association studies: the STREGA statement. Lancet, The, 2009, 374, 98-100.	13.7	32
406	CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated Guidelines for Reporting Parallel Group Randomized Trials. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011, 154, 291.	3.9	32
407	Efficacy and safety of mesenchymal stromal cells in preclinical models of acute lung injury: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 48.	5.3	32
408	Protocol for the development of a CONSORT-equity guideline to improve reporting of health equity in randomized trials. Implementation Science, 2015, 10, 146.	6.9	32
409	Poor Reporting of Scientific Leadership Information in Clinical Trial Registers. PLoS ONE, 2008, 3, e1610.	2.5	32
410	A survey of biomedical journals to detect editorial bias and nepotistic behavior. PLoS Biology, 2021, 19, e3001133.	5.6	32
411	Consequences of testing for celiac disease. Gastroenterology, 2005, 128, S109-S120.	1.3	31
412	Randomized controlled trials of herbal interventions underreport important details of the intervention. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2011, 64, 760-769.	5.0	31
413	Safety and effectiveness of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors versus intermediate-acting insulin or placebo for patients with type 2 diabetes failing two oral antihyperglycaemic agents: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005752.	1.9	31
414	A scoping review of competencies for scientific editors of biomedical journals. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 16.	5.5	31

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
415	CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials (Chinese version). Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2010, , 701-741.	0.7	31
416	Global collaborative networks on meta-analyses of randomized trials published in high impact factor medical journals: a social network analysis. BMC Medicine, 2014, 12, 15.	5.5	30
417	Screening for depression in women during pregnancy or the first year postpartum and in the general adult population: a protocol for two systematic reviews to update a guideline of the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 27.	5.3	30
418	Systematic reviews in dentistry: Current status, epidemiological and reporting characteristics. Journal of Dentistry, 2019, 82, 71-84.	4.1	30
419	Preferred reporting items for journal and conference abstracts of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts): checklist, explanation, and elaboration. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n265.	6.0	30
420	Time to improve the reporting of harms in randomized controlled trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 136, 216-220.	5.0	30
421	A Comparison of Statistical Methods for Identifying Out-of-Date Systematic Reviews. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e48894.	2.5	30
422	Improving the quality of randomized controlled trials in Chinese herbal medicine, Part â£: applying a revised CONSORT checklist to measure reporting quality. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2006, 4, 233-242.	0.7	30
423	How effective is tetracaine 4% gel, before a venipuncture, in reducing procedural pain in infants: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial. BMC Pediatrics, 2007, 7, 7.	1.7	29
424	CONSORT 2010. Lancet, The, 2010, 376, 1222-1223.	13.7	29
425	Chronic Care Model Decision Support and Clinical Information Systems Interventions for People Living with HIV: A Systematic Review. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2013, 28, 127-135.	2.6	29
426	Reporting Random Controlled Trials of Herbal Medicines. Explore: the Journal of Science and Healing, 2006, 2, 143-149.	1.0	28
427	A surveillance system to assess the need for updating systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 104.	5.3	28
428	Survey of the Reporting Characteristics of Systematic Reviews in Rehabilitation. Physical Therapy, 2013, 93, 1456-1466.	2.4	28
429	Testing the PRISMA-Equity 2012 Reporting Guideline: the Perspectives of Systematic Review Authors. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e75122.	2.5	28
430	Comparative efficacy of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in patients undergoing surgery: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 136.	5.5	28
431	Therapies for bruxism: a systematic review and network meta-analysis (protocol). Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 4.	5.3	28
432	Transient elastography for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis: a systematic review of economic evaluations. Liver International, 2017, 37, 851-861.	3.9	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
433	Protocol for the development of a CONSORT extension for RCTs using cohorts and routinely collected health data. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2018, 3, 9.	5.2	28
434	Improving outcome reporting in clinical trial reports and protocols: study protocol for the Instrument for reporting Planned Endpoints in Clinical Trials (InsPECT). Trials, 2019, 20, 161.	1.6	28
435	A Critical Analysis of the â€~UGC-Approved List of Journals'. Current Science, 2018, 114, 1299.	0.8	28
436	Sex and gender considerations in reporting guidelines for health research: a systematic review. Biology of Sex Differences, 2021, 12, 62.	4.1	28
437	Protocol for development of the guideline for reporting evidence based practice educational interventions and teaching (GREET) statement. BMC Medical Education, 2013, 13, 9.	2.4	27
438	A systematic review of how studies describe educational interventions for evidence-based practice: stage 1 of the development of a reporting guideline. BMC Medical Education, 2014, 14, 152.	2.4	27
439	A protocol for a systematic review of the diagnostic accuracy of blood markers, synovial fluid, and tissue testing in periprosthetic joint infections (PJI). Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 148.	5.3	27
440	Accuracy in detecting inadequate research reporting by early career peer reviewers using an online CONSORT-based peer-review tool (COBPeer) versus the usual peer-review process: a cross-sectional diagnostic study. BMC Medicine, 2019, 17, 205.	5.5	27
441	Inclusion of predatory journals in Scopus is inflating scholars' metrics and advancing careers. International Journal of Public Health, 2020, 65, 3-4.	2.3	27
442	Reproducible research practices, openness and transparency in health economic evaluations: study protocol for a cross-sectional comparative analysis. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034463.	1.9	27
443	Funders' data-sharing policies in therapeutic research: A surveyÂof commercial and non-commercial funders. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0237464.	2.5	27
444	Data-sharing recommendations in biomedical journals and randomised controlled trials: an audit of journals following the ICMJE recommendations. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e038887.	1.9	27
445	CONSORT endorsement improves the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials in dentistry. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 122, 20-26.	5.0	27
446	Endorsement of the CONSORT Statement by High-Impact Medical Journals in China: A Survey of Instructions for Authors and Published Papers. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e30683.	2.5	27
447	Effect of point of care information on inpatient management of bronchiolitis. BMC Pediatrics, 2007, 7, 4.	1.7	26
448	Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of olanzapine as an adjunctive treatment for anorexia nervosa in adolescent females: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. BMC Pediatrics, 2008, 8, 4.	1.7	26
449	Investigator experiences with financial conflicts of interest in clinical trials. Trials, 2011, 12, 9.	1.6	26
450	Standard 2: Containing Risk of Bias. Pediatrics, 2012, 129, S124-S131.	2.1	26

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
451	Impact of the CONSORT Statement endorsement in the completeness of reporting of randomized clinical trials in restorative dentistry. Journal of Dentistry, 2017, 58, 54-59.	4.1	26
452	Facilitating Prospective Registration of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies: A STARD Initiative. Clinical Chemistry, 2017, 63, 1331-1341.	3.2	26
453	Editors-in-chief perceptions of patients as (co) authors on publications and the acceptability of ICMJE authorship criteria: a cross-sectional survey. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2021, 7, 39.	2.9	26
454	Improving the quality of randomized controlled trials in Chinese herbal medicine, Part â;: control group design. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2006, 4, 130-136.	0.7	26
455	lonescu-Shiley valve failure II: Experience with 25 low-profile expiants. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 1992, 54, 117-122.	1.3	25
456	A review of interventions triggered by hepatitis A infected food-handlers in Canada. BMC Health Services Research, 2006, 6, 157.	2.2	25
457	How effective is tetracaine 4% gel, before a peripherally inserted central catheter, in reducing procedural pain in infants: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial [ISRCTN75884221]. BMC Medicine, 2006, 4, 11.	5.5	25
458	The influence of CONSORT on the quality of reporting of randomised controlled trials: an updated review. Trials, 2011, 12, .	1.6	25
459	Revised Standards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA): Extending the CONSORT statement. Acupuncture and Related Therapies, 2015, 3, 35-46.	0.3	25
460	The PRISMA Extension Statement. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2015, 163, 566-567.	3.9	25
461	Reporting guidance considerations from a statistical perspective: overview of tools to enhance the rigour of reporting of randomised trials and systematic reviews. Evidence-Based Mental Health, 2017, 20, 46-52.	4.5	25
462	CONSORT Extension for Chinese Herbal Medicine Formulas 2017: Recommendations, Explanation, and Elaboration (Simplified Chinese Version). Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, W21.	3.9	25
463	A scoping review protocol on the roles and tasks of peer reviewers in the manuscript review process in biomedical journals. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017468.	1.9	25
464	Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARD initiative. Veterinary Clinical Pathology, 2007, 36, 8-12.	0.7	24
465	Practitioners' validation of framework of team-oriented practice models in integrative health care: a mixed methods study. BMC Health Services Research, 2010, 10, 289.	2.2	24
466	Harms of outcome switching in reports of randomised trials: CONSORT perspective. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 356, j396.	2.3	24
467	An Evaluation of Epidemiological and Reporting Characteristics of Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) Systematic Reviews (SRs). PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e53536.	2.5	24
468	Evaluating comparative effectiveness of psychosocial interventions adjunctive to opioid agonist therapy for opioid use disorder: A systematic review with network meta-analyses. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0244401.	2.5	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
469	Data and code availability statements in systematic reviews of interventions were often missing or inaccurate: a content analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 147, 1-10.	5.0	24
470	Epidemiology of abdominal aortic aneurysm: The effect of differing definitions. European Journal of Vascular Surgery, 1992, 6, 647-650.	0.9	23
471	Developing Integrative Primary Healthcare Delivery: Adding a Chiropractor to the Team. Explore: the Journal of Science and Healing, 2008, 4, 18-24.	1.0	23
472	Neglected external validity in reports of randomized trials: The example of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis and Rheumatism, 2009, 61, 361-369.	6.7	23
473	Assessing Risk of Bias in Randomised Clinical Trials Included in Cochrane Reviews: The why is Easy, the how is a Challenge. , 2013, , ED000058.		23
474	Seeing the forests and the treesâ€"innovative approaches to exploring heterogeneity in systematic reviews of complex interventions to enhance health system decision-making: a protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 88.	5.3	23
475	Interventions to decrease the risk of adverse cardiac events for patients receiving chemotherapy and serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists: a systematic review. BMC Pharmacology & Experimental Systematics (2015, 16, 1.	2.4	23
476	Outcome reporting recommendations for clinical trial protocols and reports: a scoping review. Trials, 2020, 21, 620.	1.6	23
477	CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Epidemiology and Health, 2014, 36, e2014029.	1.9	23
478	A descriptive analysis of child-relevant systematic reviews in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. BMC Pediatrics, 2010, 10, 34.	1.7	22
479	Citation impact was highly variable for reporting guidelines of health research: a citation analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 127, 96-104.	5.0	22
480	The REPRISE project: protocol for an evaluation of REProducibility and Replicability In Syntheses of Evidence. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 112.	5.3	22
481	Low molecular weight heparins versus unfractionated heparin for acute coronary syndromes. The Cochrane Library, 2003, , CD002132.	2.8	21
482	Does journal endorsement of reporting guidelines influence the completeness of reporting of health research? A systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 24.	5.3	21
483	Academic criteria for appointment, promotion and rewards in medical research: where's the evidence?. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2016, 46, 383-385.	3.4	21
484	Impact of a short version of the CONSORT checklist for peer reviewers to improve the reporting of randomised controlled trials published in biomedical journals: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035114.	1.9	21
485	Reporting of health equity considerations in cluster and individually randomized trials. Trials, 2020, 21, 308.	1.6	21
486	Status, use and impact of sharing individual participant data from clinical trials: a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049228.	1.9	21

#	Article	IF	Citations
487	Improving the quality of randomized controlled trials in Chinese herbal medicine, Part â¢: quality control of Chinese herbal medicine used in randomize. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2006, 4, 225-232.	0.7	21
488	Appropriately selecting and concisely reporting the outcome measures of randomized controlled trials of traditional Chinese medicine. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2008, 6, 771-775.	0.7	21
489	Medical journal requirements for clinical trial data sharing: Ripe for improvement. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003844.	8.4	21
490	Study protocol for developing, piloting and disseminating the PRISMA-COSMIN guideline: a new reporting guideline for systematic reviews of outcome measurement instruments. Systematic Reviews, 2022, 11, .	5.3	21
491	How can we improve the interpretation of systematic reviews?. BMC Medicine, 2011, 9, 31.	5.5	20
492	An empirical study using permutation-based resampling in meta-regression. Systematic Reviews, 2012, 1, 18.	5.3	20
493	Developing a Reporting Guideline for Social and Psychological Intervention Trials. Research on Social Work Practice, 2013, 23, 595-602.	1.9	20
494	Reporting transparency: making the ethical mandate explicit. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 44.	5.5	20
495	Is This Conference for Real? Navigating Presumed Predatory Conference Invitations. Journal of Oncology Practice, 2017, 13, 410-413.	2.5	20
496	Adult CSF total protein: Higher upper reference limits should be considered worldwide. A web-based survey. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 2019, 396, 48-51.	0.6	20
497	Completeness of reporting of case reports in highâ€impact medical journals. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2020, 50, e13215.	3.4	20
498	Effect of an editorial intervention to improve the completeness of reporting of randomised trials: a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e036799.	1.9	20
499	An international survey and modified Delphi process revealed editors' perceptions, training needs, and ratings of competency-related statements for the development of core competencies for scientific editors of biomedical journals. F1000Research, 2017, 6, 1634.	1.6	20
500	Diagnostic accuracy of three ultrasonography strategies for deep vein thrombosis of the lower extremity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0228788.	2.5	20
501	Reporting guidelines for health care simulation research: Extensions to the CONSORT and STROBE statements. BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning, 2016, 2, 51-60.	0.7	19
502	Steps toward more complete reporting of systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Diagnostic Test Accuracy (PRISMA-DTA). Systematic Reviews, 2019, 8, 166.	5.3	19
503	Global mapping of randomised trials related articles published in high-impact-factor medical journals: a cross-sectional analysis. Trials, 2020, 21, 34.	1.6	19
504	Chiropractic Care of Musculoskeletal Disorders in a Unique Population Within Canadian Community Health Centers. Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics, 2007, 30, 165-170.	0.9	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
505	Time to Update and Quantitative Changes in the Results of Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Reviews. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e11553.	2.5	18
506	Searching for Controlled Trials of Complementary and Alternative Medicine: A Comparison of 15 Databases. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2011, 2011, 1-9.	1.2	18
507	Measurement Properties of Questionnaires Assessing Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use in Pediatrics: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e39611.	2.5	18
508	Quality improvement needed in quality improvement randomised trials: systematic review of interventions to improve care in diabetes. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e002727.	1.9	18
509	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. Journal of Medical Economics, 2013, 16, 713-719.	2.1	18
510	Research Reporting Guidelines in Dentistry: A Survey of Editors. Brazilian Dental Journal, 2017, 28, 3-8.	1.1	18
511	Transparently reporting adverse effects of traditional Chinese medicine interventions in randomized controlled trials. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2008, 6, 881-886.	0.7	18
512	Development of a combined database for metaâ€epidemiological research. Research Synthesis Methods, 2010, 1, 212-225.	8.7	17
513	Blinded versus unblinded assessments of risk of bias in studies included in a systematic review. The Cochrane Library, 2011, 2011, MR000025.	2.8	17
514	Measuring the Performance of the Cochrane Library. , 2012, 12, ED000048.		17
515	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) Statement. Clinical Therapeutics, 2013, 35, 356-363.	2.5	17
515 516		2.5	17
	Therapeutics, 2013, 35, 356-363.		
516	Therapeutics, 2013, 35, 356-363.  Putting patients at the heart of health-care research. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 1073-1074.  PRISMA-Children (C) and PRISMA-Protocol for Children (P-C) Extensions: a study protocol for the development of guidelines for the conduct and reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of	13.7	17
516 517	Therapeutics, 2013, 35, 356-363.  Putting patients at the heart of health-care research. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 1073-1074.  PRISMA-Children (C) and PRISMA-Protocol for Children (P-C) Extensions: a study protocol for the development of guidelines for the conduct and reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of newborn and child health research. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010270.	13.7	17
516 517 518	Therapeutics, 2013, 35, 356-363.  Putting patients at the heart of health-care research. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 1073-1074.  PRISMA-Children (C) and PRISMA-Protocol for Children (P-C) Extensions: a study protocol for the development of guidelines for the conduct and reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of newborn and child health research. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010270.  Predatory journals enter biomedical databases through public funding. BMJ, The, 2020, 371, m4265.  Journal impact factor, trial effect size, and methodological quality appear scantly related: a	13.7 1.9 6.0	17 17 17
516 517 518	Putting patients at the heart of health-care research. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 1073-1074.  PRISMA-Children (C) and PRISMA-Protocol for Children (P-C) Extensions: a study protocol for the development of guidelines for the conduct and reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of newborn and child health research. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010270.  Predatory journals enter biomedical databases through public funding. BMJ, The, 2020, 371, m4265.  Journal impact factor, trial effect size, and methodological quality appear scantly related: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 53.  What difference might retractions make? An estimate of the potential epistemic cost of retractions on	13.7 1.9 6.0 5.3	17 17 17

#	Article	IF	Citations
523	Developing a Reporting Guideline for Social and Psychological Intervention Trials. American Journal of Public Health, 2013, 103, 1741-1746.	2.7	16
524	Comparison of physical interventions, behavioral interventions, natural health products, and pharmacologics to manage hot flashes in patients with breast or prostate cancer: protocol for a systematic review incorporating network meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 114.	<b>5.</b> 3	16
525	Side effects are incompletely reported among systematic reviews in gastroenterology. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 144-153.	5.0	16
526	Why Cochrane should prioritise sharing data. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 362, k3229.	2.3	16
527	Following Cochrane review protocols to completion 10Âyears later: a retrospective cohort study and author survey. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 41-48.	5.0	16
528	Citations and metrics of journalsÂdiscontinued from Scopus for publication concerns: the GhoS(t)copus Project. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 415.	1.6	16
529	<p>Retraction of COVID-19 Pharmacoepidemiology Research Could Have Been Avoided by Effective Use of Reporting Guidelines</p> . Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, Volume 12, 1403-1420.	3.0	15
530	Financial Conflicts of Interest Checklist 2010 for clinical research studies. Open Medicine, 2010, 4, e69-91.	1.5	15
531	Resources for authors of reports of randomized trials: harnessing the wisdom of authors, editors, and readers. Trials, 2011, 12, 98.	1.6	14
532	Comparative effectiveness of monotherapies and combination therapies for patients with hypertension: protocol for a systematic review with network meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 44.	<b>5.</b> 3	14
533	Curtailing the Use of <i>Preregistration</i> : A Misused Term. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2019, 14, 1105-1108.	9.0	14
534	Summary of Glaucoma Diagnostic Testing Accuracy: An Evidence-Based Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Medicine Research, 2016, 8, 641-649.	1.2	14
535	Expediting evidence synthesis for healthcare decision-making: exploring attitudes and perceptions towards rapid reviews using Q methodology. PeerJ, 2016, 4, e2522.	2.0	14
536	EQUATOR: reporting guidelines for health research. Open Medicine, 2008, 2, e49-50.	1.5	14
537	Declaración de transparencia: un paso hacia la presentación completa de artÃculos de investigación. Revista De PsiquiatrÃa Y Salud Mental, 2016, 9, 63-64.	1.8	13
538	Reporting Guidelines for Health Care Simulation Research. Clinical Simulation in Nursing, 2016, 12, iii-xiii.	3.0	13
539	Reporting studies on time to diagnosis: proposal of a guideline by an international panel (REST). BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 146.	5.5	13
540	Interventions to improve adherence to reporting guidelines in health research: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017551.	1.9	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
541	Who and why do researchers opt to publish in post-publication peer review platforms? - findings from a review and survey of F1000 Research. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 920.	1.6	13
542	Guidelines for reporting health care research: advancing the clarity and transparency of scientific reporting. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2009, 56, 96-101.	1.6	12
543	2011 Canadian Journal of Anesthesia Guide for Authors. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2011, 58, 668-696.	1.6	12
544	Do health care institutions value research? A mixed methods study of barriers and facilitators to methodological rigor in pediatric randomized trials. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2012, 12, 158.	3.1	12
545	Do sugar-sweetened beverages cause adverse health outcomes in children? A systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 96.	5.3	12
546	Optimal strategies to consider when peer reviewing a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 274.	5.5	12
547	Improving transparency of scientific reporting to increase value and reduce waste in mental health research. Revista De PsiquiatrÃa Y Salud Mental, 2016, 9, 1-3.	1.8	12
548	Contacting authors by telephone increased response proportions compared with emailing: results of a randomized study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 115, 150-159.	5.0	12
549	Transparency in clinical trials: Adding value to paediatric dental research. International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry, 2020, 31, 4-13.	1.8	12
550	Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of preclinical systematic reviews. PLoS Biology, 2021, 19, e3001177.	5.6	12
551	The impact of gender on scientific writing: An observational study of grant proposals. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 136, 37-43.	5.0	12
552	Education in Health Research Methodology: Use of a Wiki for Knowledge Translation. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e64922.	2.5	12
553	Allocation concealment in clinical trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2002, 288, 2406-7; author reply 2408-9.	7.4	12
554	Can electronic search engines optimize screening of search results in systematic reviews: an empirical study. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2006, 6, 7.	3.1	11
555	National Evaluation of Policies on Individual Financial Conflicts of Interest in Canadian Academic Health Science Centers. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2008, 23, 1896-1903.	2.6	11
556	La propiedad transitiva en los ensayos clÃnicos controlados: si B es mejor que A y C es mejor que B, ¿C serÃ; mejor que A?. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia, 2014, 67, 597-602.	1.2	11
557	Do systematic reviews on pediatric topics need special methodological considerations?. BMC Pediatrics, 2017, 17, 57.	1.7	11
558	Impact of Vertebral Fractures and Glucocorticoid Exposure on Height Deficits in Children During Treatment of Leukemia. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 2019, 104, 213-222.	3.6	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
559	Essential items for reporting of scaling studies of health interventions (SUCCEED): protocol for a systematic review and Delphi process. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 11.	5.3	11
560	Replication Research Series-Paper 1 : A concept analysis and meta-narrative review established a comprehensive theoretical definition of replication research to improve its use. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 129, 176-187.	5.0	11
561	Screening for depression in children and adolescents: a protocol for a systematic review update. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 24.	5.3	11
	Screening for esophageal adenocarcinoma and precancerous conditions (dysplasia and Barrett's) Tj ETQq0 0	0 rgBT /Ον	erlock 10 Tf
562	factors: two systematic reviews and one overview of reviews to inform a guideline of the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC). Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 20.	5.3	11
563	Registering CIHR-funded randomized controlled trials: a global public good. Cmaj, 2004, 171, 750-751.	2.0	10
564	The Influence of Display and Statistical Factors on the Interpretation of Metaanalysis Results by Physicians. Medical Care, 2005, 43, 1242-1249.	2.4	10
565	A review of five cardiology journals found that observer variability of measured variables was infrequently reported. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 394-401.	5.0	10
566	Heparin versus placebo for acute coronary syndromes. , 2008, , CD003462.		10
567	Comparative Effectiveness Research Paradigm: Implications for Systematic Reviews and Clinical Practice Guidelines. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012, 30, 4202-4207.	1.6	10
568	Systematic review of the effectiveness of training programs in writing for scholarly publication, journal editing, and manuscript peer review (protocol). Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 41.	<b>5.</b> 3	10
569	Comparative safety of anti-epileptic drugs among infants and children exposed in utero or during breastfeeding: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 68.	5.3	10
570	Protocol for a scoping review to support development of a CONSORT extension for randomised controlled trials using cohorts and routinely collected health data. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e025266.	1.9	10
571	Reporting guidelines for health research: protocol for a cross-sectional analysis of the EQUATOR Network Library. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e022769.	1.9	10
572	Systematic reviews in restorative dentistry: discussing relevant aspects. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry, 2019, 31, 222-232.	3.8	10
573	Taking an integrated knowledge translation approach in research to develop the CONSORT-Equity 2017 reporting guideline: an observational study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e026866.	1.9	10
574	Changing research culture toward more use of replication research: a narrative review of barriers and strategies. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 129, 21-30.	5.0	10
575	Benefits and obstacles to cell therapy in neonates: The INCuBAToR (Innovative Neonatal Cellular) Tj ETQq1 1 0.78 Translational Medicine, 2021, 10, 968-975.	34314 rgBT 3.3	「Overlock」 10
576	Introducing the Strategy for Patient Oriented Research (SPOR) Evidence Alliance: a partnership between researchers, patients and health system decision-makers to support rapid-learning and responsive health systems in Canada and beyond. Facets, 2022, 7, 639-653.	2.4	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
577	Pharmaceutical company trials and the integrity of medical research. Lancet, The, 1996, 347, 1628-1629.	13.7	9
578	Development and Evaluation of a Pedagogical Tool to Improve Understanding of a Quality Checklist: A Randomised Controlled Trial. PLOS Clinical Trials, 2007, 2, e22.	3 <b>.</b> 5	9
579	Safety of serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists in patients undergoing surgery and chemotherapy: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 46.	<b>5.</b> 3	9
580	Comparison of sedation strategies for critically ill patients: a protocol for a systematic review incorporating network meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 157.	<b>5.</b> 3	9
581	Extending the PRISMA statement to equity-focused systematic reviews (PRISMA-E 2012): explanation and elaboration. Journal of Development Effectiveness, 2016, 8, 287-324.	0.8	9
582	A protocol of a cross-sectional study evaluating an online tool for early career peer reviewers assessing reports of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017462.	1.9	9
583	Academic criteria for promotion and tenure in faculties of medicine: a cross-sectional study of the Canadian U15 universities. Facets, 2021, 6, 58-70.	2.4	9
584	Publication by association: how the COVID-19 pandemic has shown relationships between authors and editorial board members in the field of infectious diseases. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2022, 27, 133-136.	3.5	9
585	Methods and results used in the development of a consensus-driven extension to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement for trials conducted using cohorts and routinely collected data (CONSORT-ROUTINE). BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049093.	1.9	9
586	Commentary: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2020 Statement: What Neurosurgeons Should Know. Neurosurgery, 2021, 89, E267-E268.	1.1	9
587	A Cross-sectional literature survey showed the reporting quality of multicenter randomized controlled trials should be improved. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 250-261.	5.0	9
588	Critical appraisal of clinical studies in Chinese herbal medicine. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2006, 4, 455-466.	0.7	9
589	Precise reporting of traditional Chinese medicine interventions in randomized controlled trials. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2008, 6, 661-667.	0.7	9
590	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. European Journal of Health Economics, 2022, 23, 1309-1317.	2.8	9
591	Characteristics of â€~mega' peer-reviewers. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2022, 7, 1.	5.2	9
592	Ethics methods are required as part of reporting guidelines for artificial intelligence in healthcare. Nature Machine Intelligence, 2022, 4, 316-317.	16.0	9
593	Data-sharing and re-analysis for main studies assessed by the European Medicines Agency—a cross-sectional study on European Public Assessment Reports. BMC Medicine, 2022, 20, 177.	5.5	9
594	Revised STandards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA): Extending the CONSORT Statement. Medical Acupuncture, 2010, 22, 167-180.	0.6	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
595	Do sugar-sweetened beverages cause adverse health outcomes in adults? A systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 108.	5.3	8
596	Incidence, causes, and consequences of preventable adverse drug events: protocol for an overview of reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 209.	5.3	8
597	Comparison of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions to prevent delirium in critically ill patients: a protocol for a systematic review incorporating network meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 153.	5.3	8
598	Assessment of safety and efficacy of mesenchymal stromal cell therapy in preclinical models of acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 226.	5.3	8
599	Completeness of reporting of randomised controlled trials including people with transient ischaemic attack or stroke: A systematic review. European Stroke Journal, 2018, 3, 337-346.	5.5	8
600	Guidance for reporting outcomes in clinical trials: scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e023001.	1.9	8
601	Assessing how information is packaged in rapid reviews for policy-makers and other stakeholders: a cross-sectional study. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2020, 18, 112.	2.8	8
602	Clinical relevance assessment of animal preclinical research (RAA) tool: development and explanation. Peerl, 2021, 9, e10673.	2.0	8
603	Do overly complex reporting guidelines remove the focus from good clinical trials?. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n1793.	6.0	8
604	Reporting transparency and completeness in Trials: Paper 2 - reporting of randomised trials using registries was often inadequate and hindered the interpretation of results. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 141, 175-186.	5.0	8
605	COVID-19 and the research scholarship ecosystem: help!. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 133-136.	5.0	8
606	Reporting and data sharing level for COVID-19 vaccine trials: A cross-sectional study. EBioMedicine, 2022, 78, 103962.	6.1	8
607	There is room for improvement in the use of scoping reviews in dentistry. Journal of Dentistry, 2022, 122, 104161.	4.1	8
608	Morphologic studies of lymphocyte nuclei in follicular and diffuse mixed small- and large-cell (lymphocytic-histiocytic) lymphoma. Human Pathology, 1988, 19, 889-901.	2.0	7
609	Ultrastructural Morphometric Study of Follicular Center Lymphocytes: I. Nuclear Characteristics and the Lukes-Collins' Concept. Ultrastructural Pathology, 1989, 13, 373-391.	0.9	7
610	Complementary and alternative medicine in Canadian children: A call for action. Paediatrics and Child Health, 2005, 10, 154-156.	0.6	7
611	Review conclusions by Ernst and Canter regarding spinal manipulation refuted. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies, 2006, 14, 14.	1.6	7
612	The effects of sign language on spoken language acquisition in children with hearing loss: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 108.	5.3	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
613	Along with the privilege of authorship come important responsibilities. BMC Medicine, 2014, 12, 214.	5.5	7
614	Reply to Roberts et al.: CHEERS is Sufficient for Reporting Cost-Benefit Analysis, but May Require Further Elaboration. Pharmacoeconomics, 2015, 33, 535-536.	3.3	7
615	Significant discrepancies were found in pooled estimates of searching with Chinese indexes versus searching with English indexes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 70, 246-253.	5.0	7
616	Anesthesia interventions that alter perioperative mortality: a scoping review. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 218.	5.3	7
617	Effects of medical and non-medical cannabis use in older adults: protocol for a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034301.	1.9	7
618	Reporting transparency and completeness in trials: Paper 4 - reporting of randomised controlled trials conducted using routinely collected electronic records $\hat{a} \in \text{``foom for improvement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 141, 198-209.}$	5.0	7
619	The impact of gender on researchers' assessment: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 138, 95-101.	5.0	7
620	Identifying stroke therapeutics from preclinical models: A protocol for a novel application of network meta-analysis. F1000Research, 2019, 8, 11.	1.6	7
621	Reporting transparency and completeness in trials: Paper 3 – trials conducted using administrative databases do not adequately report elements related to use of databases. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 141, 187-197.	5.0	7
622	Blinding is better than masking. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2007, 334, 918.1-918.	2.3	6
623	Registering Results From Clinical Trials. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2010, 303, 2138.	7.4	6
624	Improving the reporting and usability of research studies. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia, 2013, 60, 337-344.	1.6	6
625	Safety, effectiveness, and cost of long-acting versus intermediate-acting insulin for type 1 diabetes: Protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 73.	5.3	6
626	New guidelines are needed to improve the reporting of trials in addiction sciences. Addiction, 2013, 108, 1687-1688.	3.3	6
627	The Transitive Property Across Randomized Controlled Trials: If B Is Better Than A, and C Is Better Than B, Will C Be Better Than A?. Revista Espanola De Cardiologia (English Ed ), 2014, 67, 597-602.	0.6	6
628	Report on a pilot project to introduce a publications officer. Cmaj, 2016, 188, E279-E280.	2.0	6
629	Methods for the early detection of drug-induced pancreatitis: a systematic review of the literature. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e027451.	1.9	6
630	Tranexamic acid for prevention of bleeding in cesarean delivery: An overview of systematic reviews. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica, 2022, 66, 3-16.	1.6	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
631	Inflated citations and metrics of journalsÂdiscontinued from Scopus for publication concerns: the GhoS(t)copus Project. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 415.	1.6	6
632	Meta-Analysis: An Adolescent in Need of Evidence and a Watchful Eye. Annals of Medicine, 1999, 31, 153-155.	3.8	5
633	Assessment of systematic effects of methodological characteristics on candidate genetic associations. Human Genetics, 2013, 132, 167-178.	3.8	5
634	Developing a Reporting Guideline for Social and Psychological Intervention Trials. British Journal of Social Work, 2013, 43, 1024-1038.	1.4	5
635	Safety and effectiveness of antiretroviral therapies for HIV-infected women and their infants and children: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 51.	5.3	5
636	Evaluating comparative effectiveness of psychosocial interventions for persons receiving opioid agonist therapy for opioid use disorder: protocol for a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e023902.	1.9	5
637	Training researchers in publication science: why, what, and how. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 117, 165-167.	5.0	5
638	Recommendations for including or reviewing patient reported outcome endpoints in grant applications. BMJ, The, 2021, 373, n1367.	6.0	5
639	Counting publications and citations is not just irrelevant: it is an incentive that subverts the impact of clinical research. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2021, 55, 647-648.	6.7	5
640	The effectiveness and safety of emergency department short stay units: a rapid review. Open Medicine, 2012, 6, e10-6.	1.5	5
641	An inclusive and diverse governance structure of the strategy for patient-oriented research (SPOR) Evidence Alliance. Facets, 2022, 7, 435-447.	2.4	5
642	Assessing the impact of predatory journals on policy and guidance documents: a cross-sectional study protocol. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e059445.	1.9	5
643	Ultrastructural Morphometric Study of Follicular Center Lymphocytes: II. Analyses of Cleaved-Cell Populations Do Not Support the Lukes-Collins' Concept. Ultrastructural Pathology, 1989, 13, 393-404.	0.9	4
644	Checking whether there is an increased risk of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder and other cancers with specific modern immunosuppression regimens in renal transplantation: Protocol for a network meta-analysis of randomized and observational studies. Systematic Reviews, 2014, 3, 16.	5.3	4
645	Stop Predatory Publishers Now. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2016, 165, 826.	3.9	4
646	A protocol for a network meta-analysis of interventions to treat patients with sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 74.	5.3	4
647	The Changing Landscape of Journalology in Medicine. Seminars in Nuclear Medicine, 2019, 49, 105-114.	4.6	4
648	Mandatory disclosure of financial interests of journals and editors. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2872.	6.0	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
649	PROTOCOL: When and how to replicate systematic reviews. Campbell Systematic Reviews, 2020, 16, e1087.	3.0	4
650	When and how to replicate systematic reviews. The Cochrane Library, $0, , .$	2.8	4
651	Stress testing journals: a quasi-experimental study of rejection rates of a previously published paper. BMC Medicine, 2020, 18, 88.	5.5	4
652	Current Issues in Conduct and Reporting of Noninferiority Randomized Controlled Trials in Surgical Management of Cancer Patients. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 39-47.	1.5	4
653	Top health research funders' guidance on selecting journals for funded research. F1000Research, 2021, 10, 100.	1.6	4
654	A study protocol for the development of a SPIRIT extension for trials conducted using cohorts and routinely collected data (SPIRIT-ROUTINE). HRB Open Research, 2021, 4, 82.	0.6	4
655	Intent to share Annals of Internal Medicine's trial data was not associated with data re-use. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 241-249.	5.0	4
656	Predatory Journals: Do Not Enter. University of Ottawa Journal of Medicine, 0, , .	0.0	4
657	Reports of randomized trials: ensure they are transparent, accurate, and complete. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao, 2010, 8, 601-603.	0.7	4
658	Turning the tables: A university league-table based on quality not quantity. F1000Research, 2019, 8, 583.	1.6	4
659	Identifying and understanding factors that affect the translation of therapies from the laboratory to patients: a study protocol. F1000Research, 0, 9, 485.	1.6	4
660	Factors predicting completion and time to publication of Cochrane reviews. Open Medicine, 2009, 3, e210-4.	1.5	4
661	Open Medicine endorses PROSPERO. Open Medicine, 2011, 5, e65-6.	1.5	4
662	Mitral Valve Clip for Treatment of Mitral Regurgitation: An Evidence-Based Analysis. Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series, 2015, 15, 1-104.	1.8	4
663	Open science failed to penetrate academic hiring practices: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 144, 136-143.	5.0	4
664	CARE guidelines for case reports: explanation and elaboration document. Translation into Russian. Digital Diagnostics, 2022, 3, 16-42.	0.6	4
665	Letters. Spine, 2006, 31, 253.	2.0	3
666	Protocols, probity, and publication. Lancet, The, 2009, 373, 1524.	13.7	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
667	Safety, effectiveness, and cost of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors versus intermediate acting insulin for type 2 diabetes: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 47.	5.3	3
668	Systematic reviews deserve more credit than they get. Nature Medicine, 2013, 19, 395-396.	30.7	3
669	Endorsing and implementing reporting guidelines in journals. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2015, 52, 1404-1405.	5.6	3
670	Increasing the evidence base in journalology: creating an international best practice journal research network. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 154.	5.5	3
671	Ottawa Panel Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines for Foot Care in the Management of Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2016, 97, 1163-1181.e14.	0.9	3
672	Canadian funders and institutions are lagging on reporting results of clinical trials. Cmaj, 2017, 189, E1302-E1303.	2.0	3
673	Letter to the editor - round table unites to tackle culture change in an effort to improve animal research reporting. BMC Veterinary Research, 2017, 13, 314.	1.9	3
674	Journal editors' perspectives on the communication practices in biomedical journals: a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035600.	1.9	3
675	Reporting guidelines for journal and conference abstracts. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 124, 186-192.	5.0	3
676	Ensuring the success of data sharing in Canada. Facets, 2021, 6, 1534-1538.	2.4	3
677	Incorporating equity, diversity, and inclusiveness into the Hong Kong Principles. PLoS Biology, 2021, 19, e3001140.	5.6	3
678	How health equity is reported and analyzed in randomized trials. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	3
679	Turning the tables: A university league-table based on quality not quantity. F1000Research, 2019, 8, 583.	1.6	3
680	Doug Altman's legacy to Cochrane and evidence synthesis. The Cochrane Library, 2018, 8, ED000127.	2.8	3
681	Reporting of methodological studies in health research: a protocol for the development of the Methodological STudy reporting Checklist (MISTIC). BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e040478.	1.9	3
682	Interventions to decrease the risk of adverse cardiac events for post-surgery or chemotherapy patients taking serotonin (5-HT3) receptor antagonists: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, 45.	5.3	2
683	Metformin monotherapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. The Cochrane Library, 2015, , CD002966.	2.8	2
684	Comparative efficacy and safety of insulin analogs in hospitalized adults. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 2015, 72, 525-535.	1.0	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
685	Comparative safety of bowel cleansers: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e021892.	1.9	2
686	Reporting Guidelines: A Framework for Clarity and Transparency. , 2018, , 165-184.		2
687	Publishing in 2020: A checklist to support a shift in behaviour to achieve best practice. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2020, 50, e13186.	3.4	2
688	Assessing the format and content of journal published and non-journal published rapid review reports: A comparative study. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0238025.	2.5	2
689	Imputing intracluster correlation coefficients from a posterior predictive distribution is a feasible method of dealing with unit of analysis errors in a meta-analysis of cluster RCTs. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 139, 307-318.	5.0	2
690	STrengthening the REporting of Genetic Association studies (STREGA)—an extension of the STROBE statement. , 2009, , 188-214.		2
691	Declaración de trasparencia: promoviendo una publicación más completa, honesta y adecuada de los artÃɛulos cientÃŧificos. Revista Espanola De Salud Publica, 2014, 88, 181-186.	0.3	2
692	Assessing the utility of an institutional publications officer: a pilot assessment. PeerJ, 2017, 5, e3294.	2.0	2
693	Identifying and understanding factors that affect the translation of therapies from the laboratory to patients: a study protocol. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 485.	1.6	2
694	Reporting Policies in Neurosurgical Journals: A Meta-Science Study of the Current State and Case for Standardization. World Neurosurgery, 2021, 158, 11-23.	1.3	2
695	CONSORT and Its Extensions for Reporting Clinical Trials. , 2020, , 1-15.		2
696	Improving peer review of systematic reviews by involving librarians and information specialists: protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 791.	1.6	2
697	Assessing patient satisfaction in randomized clinical trials: Some design measurement issues. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 1992, 13, 397.	1.9	1
698	Helping Consumers of Healthcare Knowledge: Improving the Quality of Reports of Randomized Controlled Trials. Explore: the Journal of Science and Healing, 2006, 2, 141-142.	1.0	1
699	Impact of Study Design on the Results of Continuation Studies of Antidepressants. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 2008, 28, 467-468.	1.4	1
700	Response to Letter by Ernst and Lee. Stroke, 2010, 41, .	2.0	1
701	Reply to letter to the editor by C. Faggion: reproducibility and reporting guidelines. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 100, 131-132.	5.0	1
702	The authors respond to "Rigorous policies ensure integrity of NLM literature databases― Cmaj, 2019, 191, E290-E290.	2.0	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
703	Characteristics, results, and reporting of contemporary surgical trials: A systematic review and analysis. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2020, 21, 1-4.	1.1	1
704	Social media attention and citations of published outputs from re-use of clinical trial data: a matched comparison with articles published in the same journals. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 119.	3.1	1
705	Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. , 0, .		1
706	Reflections on Medical Journals. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2002, 137, 1011.	3.9	1
707	CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated Guidelines for Reporting ParallelGroup Randomized Trials. Journal of Stomatology, 2015, 67, 733-748.	0.2	1
708	Potential solutions to the problem of conducting systematic reviews of new health technologies. Cmaj, 2002, 166, 1674-5.	2.0	1
709	Implementing Incentives and Rewards to Improve the Research Ecosystem. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2138622.	5.9	1
710	Association of Accuracy, Conclusions, and Reporting Completeness With Acceptance by Radiology Conferences and Journals. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022, , .	3.4	1
711	Rigour and reproducibility in Canadian research: call for a coordinated approach. Facets, 2022, 7, 18-24.	2.4	1
712	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231883.		1
713	$\hat{A}$ -P-32 Issues in the quality of clinical trial protocols. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 1990, 11, 290.	1.9	O
714	The Canadian abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) treatment trial. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 1991, 12, 698.	1.9	0
715	A computer generated patient tracking and accrual mechanism for clinical trials. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 1991, 12, 717.	1.9	O
716	19A Assessing the quality of reports of randomized controlled trials: Current issues and future directions. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 1994, 15, 44-45.	1.9	0
717	Should we consider Embase in Latin America?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2004, 57, 867-868.	5.0	O
718	Meta-analysts search Embase and Medline. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 321.	5.0	0
719	Reporting Randomized Trials. Annals of Surgery, 2009, 250, 176.	4.2	0
720	Knowledge Creation., 0,, 11-55.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
721	The cochrane library oversight committee. , 2011, , ED000021.		O
722	CONSORT 2010: progress and challenges. Clinical Investigation, 2011, 1, 1069-1073.	0.0	0
723	Happy birthday: we are one year old. Systematic Reviews, 2013, 2, .	5.3	0
724	Improving transparency of scientific reporting to increase value and reduce waste in mental health research. Revista De PsiquiatrÃa Y Salud Mental (English Edition), 2016, 9, 1-3.	0.3	0
725	Declaration of transparency: A step towards complete reporting of research articles. Revista De PsiquiatrÃa Y Salud Mental (English Edition), 2016, 9, 63-64.	0.3	0
726	SPIRIT promotes protocol sharing. Lancet Psychiatry,the, 2018, 5, 390-391.	7.4	0
727	Reflections and aspirations: the journal after 5Âyears. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 87.	5.3	0
728	ASO Author Reflections: Current Issues in Conduct and Reporting of Noninferiority Randomized Controlled Trials in the Surgical Management of Cancer Patients. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 751-752.	1.5	0
729	Top health research funders' guidance on selecting journals for funded research. F1000Research, 2021, 10, 100.	1.6	0
730	Commentary: Reporting Guidelines for Studies on Artificial Intelligence: What Neurosurgeons Should Know. Neurosurgery, 2021, 89, E316-E317.	1.1	0
731	Is staging beneficial for Fowler-Stephens orchiopexy?: a systematic review. International Braz J Urol: Official Journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology, 2010, 36, 779-779.	1.5	0
732	Developing a CONSORT Extension for Interventions in Criminology, Education, Psychology, Public Health, and Social Work. Research on Social Work Practice, 0, , 104973151247254.	1.9	0
733	Evaluating the Impact of Peer Review on the Completeness of Reporting in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Research. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022, 56, 680-690.	3.4	0
734	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231883.		0
735	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231883.		0
736	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0231883.		0
737	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0244401.		0
738	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0244401.		0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
739	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0244401.		0
740	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0244401.		0
741	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0237464.		0
742	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0237464.		0
743	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0237464.		0
744	Providing valid evidence for decision-making: the Drug Safety and Effectiveness Network Methods and Applications Group in Indirect Comparisons (DSEN MAGIC). Facets, 2022, 7, 809-821.	2.4	0