My von Euler-Chelpin

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8236569/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

54 papers 1,148 citations

20 h-index 30 g-index

54 all docs

54 docs citations

54 times ranked 1593 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Socioâ€demographic determinants of participation in mammography screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2008, 122, 418-423.	5.1	60
2	Variation in detection of ductal carcinoma in situ during screening mammography: A survey within the International Cancer Screening Network. European Journal of Cancer, 2014, 50, 185-192.	2.8	58
3	Determinants of participation in colorectal cancer screening with faecal occult blood testing. Journal of Public Health, 2010, 32, 395-405.	1.8	55
4	Do nonattenders in mammography screening programmes seek mammography elsewhere?. International Journal of Cancer, 2005, 113, 464-470.	5.1	52
5	Predictors of non-participation in cervical screening in Denmark. Cancer Epidemiology, 2014, 38, 174-180.	1.9	52
6	Comparing sensitivity and specificity of screening mammography in the <scp>U</scp> nited <scp>S</scp> tates and <scp>D</scp> enmark. International Journal of Cancer, 2015, 137, 2198-2207.	5.1	52
7	Sensitivity of screening mammography by density and texture: a cohort study from a population-based screening program in Denmark. Breast Cancer Research, 2019, 21, 111.	5.0	50
8	An Artificial Intelligence–based Mammography Screening Protocol for Breast Cancer: Outcome and Radiologist Workload. Radiology, 2022, 304, 41-49.	7.3	43
9	Hormone replacement therapy, mammographic density, and breast cancer risk: a cohort study. Cancer Causes and Control, 2018, 29, 495-505.	1.8	37
10	Mammographic density and structural features can individually and jointly contribute to breast cancer risk assessment in mammography screening: a case–control study. BMC Cancer, 2016, 16, 414.	2.6	34
11	Colon and rectal cancer risk after bariatric surgery in a multicountry Nordic cohort study. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 147, 728-735.	5.1	34
12	International variation in management of screen-detected ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. European Journal of Cancer, 2014, 50, 2695-2704.	2.8	32
13	Cancer Risk After Bariatric Surgery in a Cohort Study from the Five Nordic Countries. Obesity Surgery, 2020, 30, 3761-3767.	2.1	30
14	Effects of Obesity Surgery on Overall and Disease-Specific Mortality in a 5-Country Population-Based Study. Gastroenterology, 2019, 157, 119-127.e1.	1.3	29
15	Long-term exposure to air pollution and mammographic density in the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort. Environmental Health, 2015, 14, 31.	4.0	28
16	Risk of Breast Cancer After False-Positive Test Results in Screening Mammography. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2012, 104, 682-689.	6.3	27
17	Inter-observer agreement according to three methods of evaluating mammographic density and parenchymal pattern in a case control study: impact on relative risk of breast cancer. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 274.	2.6	27
18	Cigarette smoking and mammographic density in the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort. Cancer Causes and Control, 2016, 27, 271-280.	1.8	24

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Women's Patterns of Participation in Mammography Screening in Denmark. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2006, 21, 203-209.	5.7	23
20	Screening mammography: benefit of double reading by breast density. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 171, 767-776.	2.5	23
21	Participation behaviour following a false positive test in the Copenhagen mammography screening programme. Acta Oncológica, 2008, 47, 550-555.	1.8	21
22	Does educational level determine screening participation?. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2008, 17, 273-278.	1.3	20
23	Risk of breast cancer after falseâ€positive results in mammographic screening. Cancer Medicine, 2016, 5, 1298-1306.	2.8	20
24	Long-term risk of screen-detected and interval breast cancer after false-positive results at mammography screening: joint analysis of three national cohorts. British Journal of Cancer, 2019, 120, 269-275.	6.4	19
25	Breast cancer incidence and use of hormone therapy in Denmark 1978–2007. Cancer Causes and Control, 2011, 22, 181-187.	1.8	18
26	Outdoor light at night and breast cancer incidence in the Danish Nurse Cohort. Environmental Research, 2021, 194, 110631.	7.5	18
27	Body mass index and participation in organized mammographic screening: a prospective cohort study. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 294.	2.6	17
28	Outcome of breast cancer screening in Denmark. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 897.	2.6	16
29	Risk of Esophageal Adenocarcinoma After Antireflux Surgery in Patients With Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease in the Nordic Countries. JAMA Oncology, 2018, 4, 1576.	7.1	16
30	Mammographic Density and Screening Sensitivity, Breast Cancer Incidence and Associated Risk Factors in Danish Breast Cancer Screening. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 2021.	2.4	16
31	Increased risk of breast cancer in women with false-positive test: The role of misclassification. Cancer Epidemiology, 2014, 38, 619-622.	1.9	14
32	Comparison of cumulative false-positive risk of screening mammography in the United States and Denmark. Cancer Epidemiology, 2015, 39, 656-663.	1.9	14
33	Cohort profile: the Nordic Antireflux Surgery Cohort (NordASCo). BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016505.	1.9	14
34	Risk Factors for Suicide After Bariatric Surgery in a Population-based Nationwide Study in Five Nordic Countries. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 275, e410-e414.	4.2	14
35	Body weight and sensitivity of screening mammography. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 60, 93-100.	2.8	13
36	Alcohol consumption and mammographic density in the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort. Cancer Causes and Control, 2017, 28, 1429-1439.	1.8	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Esophageal Adenocarcinoma After Antireflux Surgery in a Cohort Study From the 5 Nordic Countries. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e535-e540.	4.2	12
38	Diabetes, diabetes treatment, and mammographic density in Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health cohort. Cancer Causes and Control, 2017, 28, 13-21.	1.8	11
39	Register-based studies of cancer screening effects. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 2011, 39, 158-164.	2.3	10
40	Comparison of Danish dichotomous and BI-RADS classifications of mammographic density. Acta Radiologica Short Reports, 2014, 3, 204798161453655.	0.7	9
41	Risk stratification of women with false-positive test results in mammography screening based on mammographic morphology and density: A case control study. Cancer Epidemiology, 2017, 49, 53-60.	1.9	9
42	Multivitamin use and risk of preeclampsia in a high-income population: A cohort study. Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare, 2020, 24, 100500.	1.2	9
43	Breast cancer mortality and overdiagnosis after implementation of population-based screening in Denmark. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 184, 891-899.	2.5	7
44	Mortality, Reoperation, and Hospital Stay Within 90 Days of Primary and Secondary Antireflux Surgery in a Population-Based Multinational Study. Gastroenterology, 2021, 160, 2283-2290.	1.3	7
45	Data Resource Profile: The Nordic Obesity Surgery Cohort (NordOSCo). International Journal of Epidemiology, 2017, 46, 1367-1367g.	1.9	6
46	Aspiration pneumonia after antireflux surgery among neurologically impaired children with GERD. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 2020, 55, 2408-2412.	1.6	6
47	Hospital Volume of Antireflux Surgery in Relation to Endoscopic and Surgical Re-interventions. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e1138-e1143.	4.2	6
48	Residential traffic noise and mammographic breast density in the Diet, Cancer, and Health cohort. Cancer Causes and Control, 2018, 29, 399-404.	1.8	5
49	Regular physical activity and mammographic density: a cohort study. Cancer Causes and Control, 2018, 29, 1015-1025.	1.8	5
50	Antireflux surgery and risk of lung cancer by histological type in a multinational cohort study. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 138, 80-88.	2.8	5
51	Laryngeal and Pharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma After Antireflux Surgery in the 5 Nordic Countries. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 276, e79-e85.	4.2	5
52	Measuring the burden of interval cancers in long-standing screening mammography programmes. Journal of Medical Screening, 2015, 22, 83-92.	2.3	2
53	Consequences of a false-positive mammography result: drug consumption before and after screening. Acta Oncol $ ilde{A}^3$ gica, 2016, 55, 572-576.	1.8	1
54	Lead-Time Bias in the Analyses of Overall Mortality of Breast Cancer in Men vs Women. JAMA Oncology, 2020, 6, 441.	7.1	0