

Carla G Van El

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8234892/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

32
papers

1,676
citations

430874

18
h-index

434195

31
g-index

33
all docs

33
docs citations

33
times ranked

2360
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Whole-genome sequencing in health care. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2013, 21, 580-584.	2.8	330
2	Non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy and beyond: challenges of responsible innovation in prenatal screening. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2015, 23, 1438-1450.	2.8	260
3	Responsible implementation of expanded carrier screening. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2016, 24, e1-e12.	2.8	240
4	The use of PROMs and shared decision-making in medical encounters with patients: An opportunity to deliver value-based health care to patients. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2020, 26, 524-540.	1.8	82
5	European recommendations integrating genetic testing into multidisciplinary management of sudden cardiac death. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 27, 1763-1773.	2.8	78
6	Opportunistic genomic screening. Recommendations of the European Society of Human Genetics. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2021, 29, 365-377.	2.8	76
7	Whole-genome sequencing in health care. Recommendations of the European Society of Human Genetics. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2013, 21 Suppl 1, S1-5.	2.8	66
8	Recontacting patients in clinical genetics services: recommendations of the European Society of Human Genetics. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 27, 169-182.	2.8	65
9	Implementing non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy in a national healthcare system: global challenges and national solutions. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2017, 17, 670.	2.2	55
10	One small edit for humans, one giant edit for humankind? Points and questions to consider for a responsible way forward for gene editing in humans. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 1-11.	2.8	55
11	Public attitudes towards preventive genomics and personal interest in genetic testing to prevent disease: a survey study. <i>European Journal of Public Health</i> , 2014, 24, 768-775.	0.3	51
12	Genetic testing and common disorders in a public health framework. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2011, 19, 377-381.	2.8	46
13	Responsible innovation in human germline gene editing: Background document to the recommendations of ESHG and ESHRE. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 450-470.	2.8	39
14	The use of polygenic risk scores in pre-implantation genetic testing: an unproven, unethical practice. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2022, 30, 493-495.	2.8	38
15	Recontacting or not recontacting? A survey of current practices in clinical genetics centres in Europe. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 946-954.	2.8	33
16	Human germline gene editing: Recommendations of ESHG and ESHRE. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 445-449.	2.8	30
17	How to Integrate Personalized Medicine into Prevention? Recommendations from the Personalized Prevention of Chronic Diseases (PRECeDI) Consortium. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , 2019, 22, 208-214.	1.0	21
18	Barriers and Facilitating Factors for Implementation of Genetic Services: A Public Health Perspective. <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , 2017, 5, 195.	2.7	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy and beyond: challenges of responsible innovation in prenatal screening. Summary and recommendations. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2015, , .	2.8	13
20	Web-based return of BRCA2 research results: one-year genetic counselling experience in Iceland. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2020, 28, 1656-1661.	2.8	12
21	Newborn screening for pompe disease? a qualitative study exploring professional views. <i>BMC Pediatrics</i> , 2014, 14, 203.	1.7	11
22	A response to the forensic genetics policy initiativeâ€™s report â€œEstablishing Best Practice for Forensic DNA Databasesâ€•. <i>Forensic Science International: Genetics</i> , 2018, 36, e19-e21.	3.1	11
23	Responsible innovation in human germline gene editing. Background document to the recommendations of ESHG and ESHREâ€™. <i>Human Reproduction Open</i> , 2018, 2018, hox024.	5.4	9
24	Systematic scoping review of the concept of â€˜genetic identityâ€™ and its relevance for germline modification. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0228263.	2.5	8
25	Risk and the politics of boundary work: preserving autonomous midwifery in the Netherlands. <i>Health, Risk and Society</i> , 2018, 20, 379-407.	1.7	6
26	Neuroimaging in the Courtroom: Normative Frameworks and Consensual Practices. <i>AJOB Neuroscience</i> , 2014, 5, 37-39.	1.1	5
27	Parental perspectives on retention and secondary use of neonatal dried bloodspots: a Dutch mixed methods study. <i>BMC Pediatrics</i> , 2019, 19, 230.	1.7	4
28	Value-based genomic screening: exploring genomic screening for chronic diseases using triple value principles. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2019, 19, 823.	2.2	4
29	Human germline gene editing. Recommendations of ESHG and ESHREâ€™. <i>Human Reproduction Open</i> , 2018, 2018, hox025.	5.4	3
30	ESHG PPPC Comments on postmortem use of genetic data for research purposes. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2020, 28, 144-146.	2.8	3
31	How Should Decision Aids Be Used During Counseling to Help Patients Who Are â€œGenetically at Riskâ€•?. <i>AMA Journal of Ethics</i> , 2019, 21, E865-872.	0.7	2
32	Reply to Bombard and Mighton. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 27, 507-508.	2.8	0