

# Brenda J Wilson

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8208050/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

37  
papers

609  
citations

623188

14  
h-index

642321

23  
g-index

38  
all docs

38  
docs citations

38  
times ranked

1076  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Implementation of an ED surge management platform: a study protocol. <i>Implementation Science Communications</i> , 2022, 3, 21.	0.8	1
2	Fall prevention interventions for older community-dwelling adults: systematic reviews on benefits, harms, and patient values and preferences. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 18.	2.5	10
3	Anticipating the primary care role in genomic medicine: expectations of genetics health professionals. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2021, 12, 559-568.	0.5	4
4	Implementation science as a leadership capability to improve patient outcomes and value in healthcare. <i>Healthcare Management Forum</i> , 2019, 32, 307-312.	0.6	9
5	What is in a Name? Parent, Professional and Policy-Maker Conceptions of Consent-Related Language in the Context of Newborn Screening. <i>Public Health Ethics</i> , 2019, 12, 158-175.	0.4	2
6	Australians'™ views and experience of personal genomic testing: survey findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 27, 711-720.	1.4	14
7	Australians'™ perspectives on support around use of personal genomic testing: Findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , 2019, 62, 290-299.	0.7	17
8	Screening for chlamydia and/or gonorrhea in primary health care: protocol for systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 248.	2.5	7
9	Screening for impaired vision in community-dwelling adults aged 65 years and older in primary care settings. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2018, 190, E588-E594.	0.9	10
10	Pregnant women's™ views on how to promote the use of a decision aid for Down syndrome prenatal screening: a theory-informed qualitative study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2018, 18, 434.	0.9	9
11	Australians'™ views on personal genomic testing: focus group findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 26, 1101-1112.	1.4	14
12	Psychosocial Factors of Health Professionals'™ Intention to Use a Decision Aid for Down Syndrome Screening: Cross-Sectional Quantitative Study. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2018, 20, e114.	2.1	11
13	Use of a patient decision aid for prenatal screening for Down syndrome: what do pregnant women say?. <i>BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth</i> , 2017, 17, 90.	0.9	24
14	Controversy and debate on clinical genomics sequencing's™ paper 3: response to "clinical genome-wide sequencing: do not throw out the baby with the bathwater". <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 92, 11-12.	2.4	0
15	Controversy and debate on clinical genomics sequencing's™ paper 1: genomics is not exceptional: rigorous evaluations are necessary for clinical applications of genomic sequencing. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 92, 4-6.	2.4	16
16	A blueprint for the next generation of ELSI research, training, and outreach in regenerative medicine. <i>Npj Regenerative Medicine</i> , 2017, 2, 21.	2.5	5
17	Attitudes to incorporating genomic risk assessments into population screening programs: the importance of purpose, context and deliberation. <i>BMC Medical Genomics</i> , 2016, 9, 25.	0.7	12
18	Health literacy in pregnant women facing prenatal screening may explain their intention to use a patient decision aid: a short report. <i>BMC Research Notes</i> , 2016, 9, 339.	0.6	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	What factors influence health professionals to use decision aids for Down syndrome prenatal screening?. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2016, 16, 262.	0.9	12
20	Experiences of caregivers of children with inherited metabolic diseases: a qualitative study. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 2016, 11, 168.	1.2	38
21	The health system impact of false positive newborn screening results for medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency: a cohort study. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 2016, 11, 12.	1.2	38
22	Using Newborn Screening Bloodspots for Research: Public Preferences for Policy Options. Pediatrics, 2016, 137, .	1.0	11
23	Consent for newborn screening: parentsâ€™ and health-care professionalsâ€™ experiences of consent in practice. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1530-1534.	1.4	29
24	Supporting genetics in primary care: investigating how theory can inform professional education. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1541-1546.	1.4	9
25	Multigene panels in prostate cancer risk assessment: a systematic review. Genetics in Medicine, 2016, 18, 535-544.	1.1	11
26	Child and family experiences with inborn errors of metabolism: a qualitative interview study with representatives of patient groups. Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease, 2016, 39, 139-147.	1.7	26
27	Role of Psychosocial Factors and Health Literacy in Pregnant Womenâ€™s Intention to Use a Decision Aid for Down Syndrome Screening: A Theory-Based Web Survey. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2016, 18, e283.	2.1	21
28	Decision aids that support decisions about prenatal testing for Down syndrome: an environmental scan. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2015, 15, 76.	1.5	29
29	Analyzing communication in genetic consultationsâ€”A systematic review. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 15-33.	1.0	42
30	Public views on participating in newborn screening using genome sequencing. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2014, 22, 1248-1254.	1.4	39
31	Benefits and burdens of newborn screening: public understanding and decision-making. Personalized Medicine, 2014, 11, 593-607.	0.8	17
32	Bringing personalized medicine to the community through public engagement. Personalized Medicine, 2013, 10, 647-659.	0.8	7
33	Cultural differences in family communication about inherited cancer: implications for cancer genetics research. Journal of Cultural Diversity, 2013, 20, 195-201.	0.6	10
34	Is genetic makeup a perceived health risk: analysis of a national survey of Canadians. Journal of Risk Research, 2009, 12, 223-237.	1.4	3
35	Systematic review: family history in risk assessment for common diseases. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2009, 151, 878-85.	2.0	67
36	Does breast cancer genetic counselling meet women's expectations? A qualitative study. Critical Public Health, 2006, 16, 281-293.	1.4	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The Challenge of Developing Evidence-Based Genetics Health Care in Practice. <i>Familial Cancer</i> , 2006, 5, 55-59.	0.9	5