Colleen K Mcilvennan

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8201592/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

69 papers 2,069 citations

361413 20 h-index 243625 44 g-index

70 all docs

70 docs citations

times ranked

70

3045 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program. Circulation, 2015, 131, 1796-1803.	1.6	475
2	Clinical Outcomes After Continuous-Flow Left Ventricular Assist Device. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2014, 7, 1003-1013.	3.9	140
3	Effectiveness of an Intervention Supporting Shared Decision Making for Destination Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Device. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2018, 178, 520.	5.1	132
4	Palliative care in patients with heart failure. BMJ, The, 2016, 353, i1010.	6.0	124
5	Family Caregiving for Individuals With Heart Failure: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation, 2020, 141, e864-e878.	1.6	112
6	An Electronically Delivered Patient-Activation Tool for Intensification of Medications for Chronic Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. Circulation, 2021, 143, 427-437.	1.6	88
7	Decision Making for Destination Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Devices. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2014, 7, 374-380.	2.2	74
8	Bereaved Caregiver Perspectives on the End-of-Life Experience of Patients With a Left Ventricular Assist Device. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2016, 176, 534.	5.1	72
9	Use of Risk Models to Predict Death in the Next Year Among Individual Ambulatory Patients With Heart Failure. JAMA Cardiology, 2017, 2, 435.	6.1	70
10	Ambulatory Inotrope Infusions in Advanced Heart Failure. JACC: Heart Failure, 2018, 6, 757-767.	4.1	59
11	Development of a Decision Aid for PatientsÂWith Advanced Heart Failure Considering a Destination Therapy LeftÂVentricular Assist Device. JACC: Heart Failure, 2015, 3, 965-976.	4.1	57
12	A Multicenter Trial of a Shared Decision Support Intervention for Patients and Their Caregivers Offered Destination Therapy for Advanced Heart Failure: DECIDE-LVAD. Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2016, 31, E8-E20.	1.1	54
13	Deactivation of Left Ventricular Assist Devices: Differing Perspectives of Cardiology and Hospice/Palliative Medicine Clinicians. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2017, 23, 708-712.	1.7	49
14	The Perceptions of Important Elements of Caregiving for a Left Ventricular Assist Device Patient. Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2016, 31, 215-225.	1.1	45
15	Decision-Making for Destination Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Devices. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2015, 8, 172-178.	2.2	42
16	Comparative effectiveness of direct oral anticoagulants and warfarin for the treatment of left ventricular thrombus. Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis, 2021, 52, 517-522.	2.1	36
17	Educational Resources for Patients Considering a Left Ventricular Assist Device. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2014, 7, 905-911.	2.2	33
18	Caregivers of Patients Considering a Destination Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Device and a Shared Decision-Making Intervention. JACC: Heart Failure, 2018, 6, 904-913.	4.1	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	End of life for patients with left ventricular assist devices: Insights from INTERMACS. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2019, 38, 374-381.	0.6	27
20	Sex differences and in-hospital outcomes in patients undergoing mechanical circulatory support implantation. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2017, 36, 82-90.	0.6	24
21	Can Braden Score Predict Outcomes for Hospitalized Heart Failure Patients?. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2017, 65, 1328-1332.	2.6	22
22	Perspectives from mechanical circulatory support coordinators on the pre-implantation decision process for destination therapy left ventricular assist devices. Heart and Lung: Journal of Acute and Critical Care, 2015, 44, 219-224.	1.6	20
23	Changes in Care Delivery for Patients With Heart Failure During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results of a Multicenter Survey. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2020, 26, 635-636.	1.7	19
24	Concomitant surgical cryoablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia and left ventricular assist device placement: a dual remedy but a recipe for thrombosis?. Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery, 2016, 11, 53.	1.1	18
25	Patient Perspectives on Communication of Individualized Survival Estimates in Heart Failure. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2017, 23, 272-277.	1.7	18
26	Palliative Care for Patients on Mechanical Circulatory Support. AMA Journal of Ethics, 2019, 21, E435-442.	0.7	18
27	Qualitative Methodology in Cardiovascular Outcomes Research. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2019, 12, e005828.	2.2	17
28	Complex Care Options for Patients With Advanced Heart Failure Approaching End of Life. Current Heart Failure Reports, 2016, 13, 20-29.	3.3	16
29	Chronic Norovirus Infections in Cardiac Transplant Patients. Progress in Transplantation, 2017, 27, 69-72.	0.7	12
30	National survey of physicians' perspectives on pharmacogenetic testing in solid organ transplantation. Clinical Transplantation, 2020, 34, e14037.	1.6	11
31	Activated partial thromboplastin time overestimates anti-coagulation in left ventricular assist device patients. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2014, 33, 1312-1314.	0.6	10
32	Decision Aid Implementation among Left Ventricular Assist Device Programs Participating in the DECIDE-LVAD Stepped-Wedge Trial. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 289-301.	2.4	10
33	Comorbidities and the decision to undergo or forego destination therapy left ventricular assist device implantation: An analysis from the Trial of a Shared Decision Support Intervention for Patients and their Caregivers Offered Destination Therapy for End-Stage Heart Failure (DECIDE-LVAD) study. American Heart Journal. 2019, 213, 91-96.	2.7	9
34	Exploring cognitive bias in destination therapy left ventricular assist device decision making: A retrospective qualitative framework analysis. American Heart Journal, 2016, 180, 64-73.	2.7	8
35	Patient Roadmaps for Chronic Illness: Introducing a New Approach for Fostering Patient-Centered Care. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832110199.	0.9	8
36	Defining Optimal Outcomes in Patients with Left Ventricular Assist Devices. ASAIO Journal, 2021, 67, 397-404.	1.6	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Outcomes in Acute Heart Failure: 30-Day Readmission Versus Death. Current Heart Failure Reports, 2014, 11, 445-452.	3.3	7
38	The influence of expected risks on decision making for destination therapy left ventricular assist device: An MTurk survey. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2015, 34, 988-990.	0.6	7
39	Left Ventricular Assist Device Withdrawal: Ethical, Psychological, and Logistical Challenges. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2020, 23, 456-458.	1.1	7
40	Heart Failure Site-Based Research inÂthe United States. JACC: Heart Failure, 2019, 7, 431-438.	4.1	6
41	Heart Failure Clinical Trial Operations During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2020, 13, e007456.	3.9	6
42	Social Determinants of Health and Rates of Implantation for Patients Considering Destination Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Device. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2021, 27, 497-500.	1.7	6
43	To DT or Not to DT, That Is the Question. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2014, 7, 13-14.	2.2	5
44	Foundations of Pharmacotherapy for Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2016, 31, 545-554.	1.1	5
45	Anchoring in Destination-Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Device Decision Making: A Mechanical Turk Survey. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2016, 22, 908-912.	1.7	5
46	Organic Dissemination and Real-World Implementation of Patient Decision Aids for Left Ventricular Assist Device. MDM Policy and Practice, 2018, 3, 238146831876765.	0.9	5
47	An Electronically delivered, Patient-activation tool for Intensification of medications for Chronic Heart Failure with reduced ejection fraction: Rationale and design of the EPIC-HF trial. American Heart Journal, 2020, 229, 144-155.	2.7	5
48	Perceived Stress and Depressive Symptoms as Predictors of Decisional Conflict in Dyads Considering a Left Ventricular Assist Device. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2020, 13, e006155.	2.2	5
49	Changes over Time in Patient Stated Values and Treatment Preferences Regarding Aggressive Therapies: Insights from the DECIDE-LVAD Trial. Medical Decision Making, 2022, 42, 404-414.	2.4	5
50	Lack of Agreement With What We Think Is Right Does Not Necessarily Equal an Ethical Problem: Respecting Patients' Goals of Care. American Journal of Bioethics, 2016, 16, 13-15.	0.9	4
51	Amphetamine-positive urine drug screens in the setting of mexiletine use: A case series. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2016, 35, 1045-1048.	0.6	4
52	The Effect of Total Cost Information on Consumer Treatment Decisions: An Experimental Survey. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 584-592.	2.4	4
53	Stress and Coping Among Family Caregivers of Patients With a Destination Therapy Left Ventricular Assist Device: A Multicenter Mixed Methods Study. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2021, 14, e008243.	3.9	4
54	Shared Decision-Making for Left Ventricular Assist Devices. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2021, 14, e007256.	2.2	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Caregiver Perspectives on End-of-Life Experiences of Patients With Left Ventricular Assist Devices—Reply. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2016, 176, 1231.	5.1	2
56	Looking on the BRIGHT side of health literacy in patients with cardiac transplantation: Where are we and where do we need to go?. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2017, 36, 253-255.	0.6	2
57	Improving Decision Making for Advanced Heart Failure Patients and Caregivers. Journal of Nursing Administration, 2017, 47, 190-191.	1.4	2
58	Quality of Life After Left Ventricular Assist Device Implantation: In a World Full of Data, #Missingness. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2016, 22, 338-339.	1.7	1
59	Palliative Care in Heart Failure: Architects Needed. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2017, 23, 201-203.	1.7	1
60	Educate, Engage, Empower. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2019, 12, e006107.	2.2	1
61	Exploring differences between patients who accept, decline, and are deemed ineligible for left ventricular assist device implantation as destination therapy. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2020, 39, 721-724.	0.6	1
62	Health Literacy in Patients Considering a Left Ventricular Assist Device: Findings from the DECIDE-LVAD Trial. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2022, , .	1.7	1
63	Predicting in-hospital worsening heart failure at time of admission, but do we really need another heart failure risk model?. American Heart Journal, 2016, 178, 188-189.	2.7	0
64	Factors Affecting Health Care Engagement of Patients With End-Stage Heart Failure: An Exploratory Survey Study. MDM Policy and Practice, 2019, 4, 238146831986551.	0.9	0
65	"Have You Ever Seen That Movie Misery…―Time to Think Outside the Box to Help Caregivers of Patients With Left Ventricular Assist Devices. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2019, 12, e005454.	2.2	0
66	Evaluation and Management of BK Polyomavirus Viremia in Patients With a Heart Transplant. Progress in Transplantation, 2019, 29, 367-370.	0.7	0
67	Mis-GUIDEDâ€"The Importance of Negative Trials of Health Care Delivery and Implementation Science. JAMA Cardiology, 2021, 6, 135.	6.1	0
68	Reorienting Ourselves to Delirium: Caring for Older Patients Hospitalized With Heart Failure. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2021, 27, 460-463.	1.7	0
69	From the Other Side of the Exam Room: Using the New Universal Definition and Classification of Heart Failure to Engage Patients and Caregivers. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2022, , .	1.7	0