

Maziar Mohaddes

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8066387/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

39
papers

648
citations

516710

16
h-index

610901

24
g-index

39
all docs

39
docs citations

39
times ranked

721
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Head size in primary total hip arthroplasty. EFORT Open Reviews, 2018, 3, 225-231.	4.1	74
2	Use of dual-mobility cup in revision hip arthroplasty reduces the risk for further dislocation: analysis of seven hundred and ninety one first-time revisions performed due to dislocation, reported to the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. International Orthopaedics, 2017, 41, 583-588.	1.9	52
3	Validation of inertial measurement units with optical tracking system in patients operated with Total hip arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2019, 20, 52.	1.9	43
4	High Early Migration of the Revised Acetabular Component is a Predictor of Late cup Loosening: 312 cup Revisions followed with Radiostereometric Analysis for 2-20 Years. HIP International, 2015, 25, 471-476.	1.7	36
5	Uncemented or cemented revision stems? Analysis of 2,296 first-time hip revision arthroplasties performed due to aseptic loosening, reported to the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 421-426.	3.3	30
6	Long-lived plasma cells in human bone marrow can be either CD19+ or CD19 ⁺ . Blood Advances, 2017, 1, 835-838.	5.2	29
7	Does surgeon experience affect patient-reported outcomes 1 year after primary total hip arthroplasty?. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2018, 89, 265-271.	3.3	28
8	No Increase in Survival for 36-mm versus 32-mm Femoral Heads in Metal-on-polyethylene THA: A Registry Study. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2018, 476, 2367-2378.	1.5	28
9	Trabecular metal acetabular components in primary total hip arthroplasty. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2018, 89, 259-264.	3.3	25
10	Similar Risk of Re-Revision in Patients after One- or Two-Stage Surgical Revision of Infected Total Hip Arthroplasty: An Analysis of Revisions in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register 1979-2015. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 485.	2.4	25
11	Can patient-reported outcomes predict re-operations after total hip replacement?. International Orthopaedics, 2018, 42, 273-279.	1.9	23
12	Short-term survival of the trabecular metal cup is similar to that of standard cups used in acetabular revision surgery. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2015, 86, 26-31.	3.3	22
13	Does the Risk of Rerevision Vary Between Porous Tantalum Cups and Other Cementless Designs After Revision Hip Arthroplasty?. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 2017, 475, 3015-3022.	1.5	22
14	Contemporary posterior surgical approach in total hip replacement: still more reoperations due to dislocation compared with direct lateral approach? An observational study of the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register including 156,979 hips. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 411-416.	3.3	18
15	Reflecting on and managing the emotional impact of prosthetic joint infections on orthopaedic surgeons—a qualitative study. Bone and Joint Journal, 2020, 102-B, 736-743.	4.4	18
16	Implant survival and patient-reported outcome following total hip arthroplasty in patients 30 years or younger: a matched cohort study of 1,008 patients in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 249-252.	3.3	17
17	Association between patient survival following reoperation after total hip replacement and the reason for reoperation: an analysis of 9,926 patients in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2019, 90, 226-230.	3.3	14
18	Changes in health-related quality of life are associated with patient satisfaction following total hip replacement: an analysis of 69,083 patients in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2020, 91, 48-52.	3.3	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Is Parkinson's Disease Associated with Increased Mortality, Poorer Outcomes Scores, and Revision Risk After THA? Findings from the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. <i>Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research</i> , 2019, 477, 1347-1355.	1.5	13
20	Method of Fixation Does Not Influence the Overall Risk of Rerevision in First-time Cup Revisions. <i>Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research</i> , 2013, 471, 3922-3931.	1.5	12
21	A review of the efficacy of intraarticular hip injection for patients with hip osteoarthritis: To inject or not to inject in hip osteoarthritis?. <i>Joint Diseases and Related Surgery</i> , 2022, 33, 255-262.	1.0	12
22	High Proximal Migration in Cemented Acetabular Revisions Operated with Bone Impaction Grafting; 47 Revision Cups Followed with RSA for 17 Years. <i>HIP International</i> , 2017, 27, 251-258.	1.7	11
23	Does the use of the largest possible metal head increase the wear of vitamin E-doped cross-linked polyethylene? Two-year results from a randomized controlled trial. <i>Bone and Joint Journal</i> , 2021, 103-B, 1206-1214.	4.4	11
24	Exchange of Modular Components Improves Success of Debridement, Antibiotics, and Implant Retention. <i>JBJS Open Access</i> , 2020, 5, e20.00110-e20.00110.	1.5	10
25	Uncemented or cemented stems in first-time revision total hip replacement? An observational study of 867 patients including assessment of femoral bone defect size. <i>Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters</i> , 2021, 92, 143-150.	3.3	9
26	Precision of low-dose CT-based micromotion analysis technique for the assessment of early acetabular cup migration compared with gold standard RSA: a prospective study of 30 patients up to 1 year. <i>Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters</i> , 2022, 93, 459-465.	3.3	8
27	Postoperative Thromboprophylaxis With New Oral Anticoagulants is Superior to LMWH in Hip Arthroplasty Surgery: Findings from the Swedish Registry. <i>Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research</i> , 2019, 477, 1335-1343.	1.5	7
28	General Assembly, Research Caveats: Proceedings of International Consensus on Orthopedic Infections. <i>Journal of Arthroplasty</i> , 2019, 34, S245-S253.e1.	3.1	7
29	The Effect of Body Mass Index Class on Patient-Reported Health-Related Quality of Life Before and After Total Hip Arthroplasty for Osteoarthritis. <i>JBJS Open Access</i> , 2020, 5, e20.00100.	1.5	6
30	No increased risk of early revision during the implementation phase of new cup designs. <i>Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters</i> , 2016, 87, 31-36.	3.3	5
31	Is Preoperative Patient-Reported Health Status Associated with Mortality after Total Hip Replacement?. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2017, 14, 899.	2.6	4
32	No difference in whole-blood metal ions between 32-mm and 36- to 44-mm femoral heads in metal-on-polyethylene total hip arthroplasty: a 2-year report from a randomised control trial. <i>HIP International</i> , 2023, 33, 184-192.	1.7	4
33	The Use of Porous Titanium Coating and the Largest Possible Head Do Not Affect Early Cup Fixation. <i>JBJS Open Access</i> , 2020, 5, e20.00107-e20.00107.	1.5	3
34	Prior hip arthroscopy does not affect 1-year patient-reported outcomes following total hip arthroplasty: a register-based matched case-control study of 675 patients. <i>Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters</i> , 2021, 92, 408-412.	3.3	3
35	Iranian Joint Registry (Iranian National Hip and Knee Arthroplasty Registry). <i>Archives of Bone and Joint Surgery</i> , 2016, 4, 192-6.	0.2	2
36	EPOS trial: the effect of air filtration through a plasma chamber on the incidence of surgical site infection in orthopaedic surgery: a study protocol of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e047500.	1.9	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Does cup position differ between trabecular metal and titanium cups? A radiographic propensity score matched study of 300 hips. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2020, 91, 682-686.	3.3	1
38	Influence of implant variations on survival of the Lubinus SP II stem: evaluation of 76,530 hips in the Swedish Arthroplasty Register, 2000â€“2018. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2021, , 1-6.	3.3	0
39	Similar outcome with a new anteverted or a straight standard stem: a randomized study of 72 total hip arthroplasties evaluated with clinical variables, radiostereometry, and DXA up to 2 years. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2021, , 1-7.	3.3	0