

# Sue E Brennan

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8038001/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

56  
papers

43,051  
citations

212478

28  
h-index

198040

52  
g-index

61  
all docs

61  
docs citations

61  
times ranked

26541  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021, 372, n71.	3.0	26,066
2	The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 89.	2.5	3,624
3	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 88, 105906.	1.1	3,487
4	PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021, 372, n160.	3.0	3,413
5	Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 368, l6890.	3.0	1,464
6	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2021, 18, e1003583.	3.9	1,340
7	The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 134, 178-189.	2.4	995
8	Declaraci3n PRISMA 2020: una gu3a actualizada para la publicaci3n de revisiones sistem3ticas. <i>Revista Espanola De Cardiologia</i> , 2021, 74, 790-799.	0.6	473
9	Declaraci3n PRISMA 2020: una gu3a actualizada para la publicaci3n de revisiones sistem3ticas. <i>Revista Espanola De Cardiologia (English Ed )</i> , 2021, 74, 790-799.	0.4	333
10	Development and validation of SEER (Seeking, Engaging with and Evaluating Research): a measure of policymakersâ€™ capacity to engage with and use research. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2017, 15, 1.	1.1	109
11	Toward a comprehensive evidence map of overview of systematic review methods: paper 1â€™purpose, eligibility, search and data extraction. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 231.	2.5	103
12	Strengthening systematic reviews in public health: guidance in the <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>, 2nd edition. <i>Journal of Public Health</i> , 2022, 44, e588-e592.	1.0	90
13	Interventions to improve physical function for children and young people with cerebral palsy: international clinical practice guideline. <i>Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology</i> , 2022, 64, 536-549.	1.1	89
14	Toward a comprehensive evidence map of overview of systematic review methods: paper 2â€™risk of bias assessment; synthesis, presentation and summary of the findings; and assessment of the certainty of the evidence. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 159.	2.5	88
15	Overviews of systematic reviews: great promise, greater challenge. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 185.	2.5	87
16	The SPIRIT Action Framework: A structured approach to selecting and testing strategies to increase the use of research in policy. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2015, 136-137, 147-155.	1.8	65
17	Parenting after a history of childhood maltreatment: A scoping review and map of evidence in the perinatal period. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0213460.	1.1	65
18	Measuring team factors thought to influence the success of quality improvement in primary care: a systematic review of instruments. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2013, 8, 20.	2.5	54

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	What can we learn from interventions that aim to increase policy-makers'™ capacity to use research? A realist scoping review. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2018, 16, 31.	1.1	52
20	Pravila PRISMA 2020.. <i>Medicina Fluminensis</i> , 2021, 57, 444-465.	0.1	50
21	Figuring out fidelity: a worked example of the methods used to identify, critique and revise the essential elements of a contextualised intervention in health policy agencies. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 23.	2.5	45
22	Developing a targeted, theory-informed implementation intervention using two theoretical frameworks to address health professional and organisational factors: a case study to improve the management of mild traumatic brain injury in the emergency department. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 10, 74.	2.5	42
23	Measuring organizational and individual factors thought to influence the success of quality improvement in primary care: a systematic review of instruments. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2012, 7, 121.	2.5	41
24	Evidence map of studies evaluating methods for conducting, interpreting and reporting overviews of systematic reviews of interventions: rationale and design. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2016, 5, 4.	2.5	40
25	Overviews of reviews incompletely report methods for handling overlapping, discordant, and problematic data. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 118, 69-85.	2.4	40
26	Healing the Past by Nurturing the Future" co-designing perinatal strategies for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander parents experiencing complex trauma: framework and protocol for a community-based participatory action research study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e028397.	0.8	39
27	Continuous quality improvement: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	1.5	38
28	Evidence for a comprehensive approach to Aboriginal tobacco control to maintain the decline in smoking: an overview of reviews among Indigenous peoples. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 135.	2.5	36
29	The development of SAGE: A tool to evaluate how policymakers'™ engage with and use research in health policymaking. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2016, 25, 315-328.	1.3	30
30	Protocol for the process evaluation of a complex intervention designed to increase the use of research in health policy and program organisations (the SPIRIT study). <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2014, 9, 113.	2.5	28
31	Healing The Past By Nurturing The Future: A qualitative systematic review and meta-synthesis of pregnancy, birth and early postpartum experiences and views of parents with a history of childhood maltreatment. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0225441.	1.1	28
32	The development of ORACLE: a measure of an organisation's™ capacity to engage in evidence-informed health policy. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2015, 14, 4.	1.1	27
33	Long-term effects of alcohol consumption on cognitive function: a systematic review and dose-response analysis of evidence published between 2007 and 2018. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 9, 33.	2.5	25
34	The REPRISE project: protocol for an evaluation of REProducibility and Replicability In Syntheses of Evidence. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2021, 10, 112.	2.5	22
35	Implementing evidence-based recommended practices for the management of patients with mild traumatic brain injuries in Australian emergency care departments: study protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 281.	0.7	21
36	Evaluation of a targeted, theory-informed implementation intervention designed to increase uptake of emergency management recommendations regarding adult patients with mild traumatic brain injury: results of the NET cluster randomised trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2019, 14, 4.	2.5	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Australian guidelines for the prevention and treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder: Updates in the third edition. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 2022, 56, 230-247.	1.3	17
38	PRISMA 2020: a reporting guideline for the next generation of systematic reviews. JBI Evidence Synthesis, 2021, 19, 906-908.	0.6	16
39	The use of "PICO for synthesis"™ and methods for synthesis without meta-analysis: protocol for a survey of current practice in systematic reviews of health interventions. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 678.	0.8	14
40	Polymakers'™ experience of a capacity-building intervention designed to increase their use of research: a realist process evaluation. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2017, 15, 99.	1.1	11
41	Current practice in systematic reviews including the "PICO for each synthesis"™ and methods other than meta-analysis: protocol for a cross-sectional study. F1000Research, 2020, 9, 678.	0.8	11
42	Guidelines rarely used GRADE and applied methods inconsistently: A methodological study of Australian guidelines. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 130, 125-134.	2.4	10
43	The Many Organisational Factors Relevant to Planning Change in Emergency Care Departments: A Qualitative Study to Inform a Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial Aiming to Improve the Management of Patients with Mild Traumatic Brain Injuries. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0148091.	1.1	10
44	Design and formative evaluation of the Policy Liaison Initiative: a long-term knowledge translation strategy to encourage and support the use of Cochrane systematic reviews for informing health policy. Evidence and Policy, 2016, 12, 25-52.	0.5	9
45	Update on the JCE GRADE series and other GRADE article types. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 140, 163-164.	2.4	8
46	The effect of long-term radiofrequency exposure on cognition in human observational studies: A protocol for a systematic review. Environment International, 2022, 159, 106972.	4.8	6
47	Interventions during pregnancy or up to two years after birth for parents who are experiencing complex trauma or have experienced maltreatment in their childhood (or both) to improve parenting capacity or socio-emotional well-being. The Cochrane Library, 2021, 2021, .	1.5	5
48	The pivotal position of 'liaison people': facilitating a research utilisation intervention in policy agencies. Evidence and Policy, 2018, 14, 7-34.	0.5	3
49	Australian recommendations on tapering of biologic and targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in inflammatory arthritis. Internal Medicine Journal, 2022, , .	0.5	3
50	Glutathione transferases and glutathione-binding proteins of termites: Purification and characterisation. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 1996, 26, 617-625.	1.2	2
51	Best evidence for improving function in children with cerebral palsy: Success is within reach. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology, 2022, 64, 664-665.	1.1	2
52	Use of GRADE in Australian clinical practice guidelines: a methodological review protocol. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2018, 16, 2092-2096.	1.7	1
53	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225441.		0
54	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225441.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225441.		0
56	Title is missing!. , 2019, 14, e0225441.		0