## Bo-Christer Björk

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7921136/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	†Predatory' open access: a longitudinal study of article volumes and market characteristics. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 230.	5.5	500
2	The Development of Open Access Journal Publishing from 1993 to 2009. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e20961.	2.5	441
3	Open Access to the Scientific Journal Literature: Situation 2009. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e11273.	2.5	300
4	Open access versus subscription journals: a comparison of scientific impact. BMC Medicine, 2012, 10, 73.	5.5	284
5	The publishing delay in scholarly peer-reviewed journals. Journal of Informetrics, 2013, 7, 914-923.	2.9	245
6	Anatomy of open access publishing: a study of longitudinal development and internal structure. BMC Medicine, 2012, 10, 124.	5.5	244
7	Building information modelling – Experts' views on standardisation and industry deployment. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 2008, 22, 271-280.	8.0	233
8	A study of open access journals using article processing charges. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 1485-1495.	2.6	204
9	Publication fees in open access publishing: Sources of funding and factors influencing choice of journal. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 98-107.	2.6	175
10	Anatomy of green open access. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2014, 65, 237-250.	2.9	113
11	The hybrid model for open access publication of scholarly articles: A failed experiment?. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 1496-1504.	2.6	106
12	A longitudinal comparison of citation rates and growth among open access journals. Journal of Informetrics, 2013, 7, 642-650.	2.9	86
13	Gold, green, and black open access. Learned Publishing, 2017, 30, 173-175.	1.7	85
14	Have the "mega-journals―reached the limits to growth?. PeerJ, 2015, 3, e981.	2.0	83
15	Article processing charges in OA journals: relationship between price and quality. Scientometrics, 2015, 103, 373-385.	3.0	78
16	Hybrid open access—A longitudinal study. Journal of Informetrics, 2016, 10, 919-932.	2.9	67
17	Delayed open access: An overlooked highâ€impact category of openly available scientific literature. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2013, 64, 1323-1329.	2.6	62
18	Article processing charges for open access publication—the situation for research intensive universities in the USA and Canada. PeerJ, 2016, 4, e2264.	2.0	59

BO-CHRISTER BJĶRK

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A conceptual model of spaces, space boundaries and enclosing structures. Automation in Construction, 1992, 1, 193-214.	9.8	57
20	Open access to scientific articles: a review of benefits and challenges. Internal and Emergency Medicine, 2017, 12, 247-253.	2.0	55
21	A Study of Innovative Features in Scholarly Open Access Journals. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2011, 13, e115.	4.3	47
22	Scholarly journal publishing in transition- from restricted to open access. Electronic Markets, 2017, 27, 101-109.	8.1	45
23	Growth of hybrid open access, 2009–2016. PeerJ, 2017, 5, e3878.	2.0	45
24	Research output availability on academic social networks: implications for stakeholders in academic publishing. Electronic Markets, 2017, 27, 125-133.	8.1	43
25	Peer review in megajournals compared with traditional scholarly journals: Does it make a difference?. Learned Publishing, 2016, 29, 9-12.	1.7	42
26	How Frequently Are Articles in Predatory Open Access Journals Cited. Publications, 2020, 8, 17.	3.8	40
27	Benchmarking scientific journals from the submitting author's viewpoint. Learned Publishing, 2006, 19, 147-155.	1.7	35
28	Pricing principles used by scholarly open access publishers. Learned Publishing, 2012, 25, 132-137.	1.7	32
29	A longitudinal study of the adoption of IT technology in the Swedish building sector. Automation in Construction, 2014, 37, 182-190.	9.8	32
30	How research funders can finance APCs in full OA and hybrid journals. Learned Publishing, 2014, 27, 93-103.	1.7	32
31	How subscriptionâ€based scholarly journals can convert to open access: A review of approaches. Learned Publishing, 2016, 29, 259-269.	1.7	31
32	The open access movement at a crossroad: Are the big publishers and academic social media taking over?. Learned Publishing, 2016, 29, 131-134.	1.7	30
33	Evolution of the scholarly mega-journal, 2006–2017. PeerJ, 2018, 6, e4357.	2.0	29
34	The open access scientific journal: an empirical study. Learned Publishing, 2004, 17, 199-209.	1.7	24
35	CAD standardisation in the construction industry — A process view. Automation in Construction, 2010, 19, 398-406.	9.8	24
36	Intelligent front-ends and product models. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 1991, 6, 46-56.	0.5	23

BO-CHRISTER BJĶRK

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A longitudinal study of independent scholar-published open access journals. PeerJ, 2016, 4, e1990.	2.0	23
38	ADOPTION PROCESSES FOR EDM, EDI AND BIM TECHNOLOGIES IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 2014, 19, S172-S187.	3.5	22
39	Attitudes of North American Academics toward Open Access Scholarly Journals. Portal, 2020, 20, 73-100.	0.5	21
40	Emerging new methods of peer review in scholarly journals. Learned Publishing, 2015, 28, 85-91.	1.7	20
41	Publishing speed and acceptance rates of open access megajournals. Online Information Review, 2021, 45, 270-277.	3.2	19
42	A Method for Comparing Scholarly Journals as Service Providers to Authors. Serials Review, 2009, 35, 62-69.	0.9	18
43	Open Access—Are the Barriers to Change Receding?. Publications, 2013, 1, 5-15.	3.8	18
44	New web services that help authors choose journals. Learned Publishing, 2017, 30, 281-287.	1.7	18
45	Use of standards for CAD layers in building. Automation in Construction, 2007, 16, 290-297.	9.8	16
46	Open access subject repositories: An overview. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2014, 65, 698-706.	2.9	16
47	Adoption of the Open Access Business Model in Scientific Journal Publishing: A Cross-disciplinary Study. College and Research Libraries, 2020, 81, .	0.4	16
48	Open access journal publishing in the Nordic countries. Learned Publishing, 2019, 32, 227-236.	1.7	15
49	Why Is Access to the Scholarly Journal Literature So Expensive?. Portal, 2021, 21, 177-192.	0.5	15
50	A lifecycle model of the scientific communication process. Learned Publishing, 2005, 18, 165-176.	1.7	14
51	Open access to the scientific journal literature – status and challenges for the information systems community. Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science, 2012, 38, 39-44.	0.2	11
52	Journal portals – an important infrastructure for non-commercial scholarly open access publishing. Online Information Review, 2017, 41, 643-654.	3.2	8
53	A Method for Comparing Scholarly Journals as Service Providers to Authors. Serials Review, 2009, 35, 62-69.	0.9	7
54	Open access journal publishing in the business disciplines: A closer look at the low uptake and discipline-specific considerations. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 0, , 096100062110067.	2.4	5

BO-CHRISTER BJĶRK

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	A comparison of subscription and open access journals in construction management and related fields. Construction Economics and Building, 2012, 12, 42.	0.9	3
56	Re-engineering the scientific knowledge management process: the SciX project. Automation in Construction, 2003, 12, 677-687.	9.8	2
57	Genealogy, GEDCOM, and popularity implications. Informaatiotutkimus, 2018, 37, .	0.1	2
58	Open Access—Maximizing Research Impact in the Internet Age. Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 2006, 20, 225-226.	4.7	1
59	Mega Journals, Scientifically Sound Peer Review and Medical Organizations. American Journal of the Medical Sciences, 2019, 357, 3-4.	1.1	1
60	Open access to Construction IT research articles – developments over the past 25 years. Journal of Information Technology in Construction, 2021, 26, 23-27.	2.1	1
61	The Effects of the Internet on Scientific Publishing – The Case of Construction IT Research. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2006, , 83-91.	1.3	0
62	Rinnakkaistallentamisen edistäninen organisaatiotasolla – kokemuksia ja pätelmiäHankenin NopSA-hankkeesta. Informaatiotutkimus, 2017, 36, .	0.1	0
63	Is Open Access the future of scholarly journal publishing?. Southwest Respiratory and Critical Care Chronicles, 2018, 6, 3-4.	0.2	0