Lyndal Trevena Mbbs, Mphilph

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7860307/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. The Cochrane Library, 2017, 2017, 2017, CD001431.	1.5	2,780
2	Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. , 2014, , CD001431.		991
3	A three-talk model for shared decision making: multistage consultation process. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 359, j4891.	2.4	495
4	Presenting quantitative information about decision outcomes: a risk communication primer for patient decision aid developers. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, S7.	1.5	369
5	A systematic review on communicating with patients about evidence. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2006, 12, 13-23.	0.9	282
6	Exploring patient involvement in healthcare decision making across different education and functional health literacy groups. Social Science and Medicine, 2009, 69, 1805-1812.	1.8	275
7	Shared decision making: what do clinicians need to know and why should they bother?. Medical Journal of Australia, 2014, 201, 35-39.	0.8	237
8	A decision aid to support informed choices about bowel cancer screening among adults with low education: randomised controlled trial. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2010, 341, c5370-c5370.	2.4	202
9	Three questions that patients can ask to improve the quality of information physicians give about treatment options: A cross-over trial. Patient Education and Counseling, 2011, 84, 379-385.	1.0	189
10	Evidence for underuse of effective medical services around the world. Lancet, The, 2017, 390, 169-177.	6.3	175
11	The role of primary care in early detection and follow-up of cancer. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 2014, 11, 38-48.	12.5	150
12	Use of decision aids to support informed choices about screening. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2004, 329, 507-510.	2.4	137
13	A Systematic Review of Quality Assessment Methods for Smartphone Health Apps. Telemedicine Journal and E-Health, 2015, 21, 97-104.	1.6	132
14	Depression screening via a smartphone app: cross-country user characteristics and feasibility. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2015, 22, 29-34.	2.2	115
15	Smartphone Smoking Cessation Application (SSC App) trial: a multicountry double-blind automated randomised controlled trial of a smoking cessation decision-aid â€~app'. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e017105.	0.8	93
16	Systematic review of instruments for measuring nurses' knowledge, skills and attitudes for evidenceâ€based practice. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2014, 70, 2181-2195.	1.5	92
17	Are current psychometric tools suitable for measuring outcomes of diabetes education?. Diabetic Medicine, 2009, 26, 425-436.	1.2	87
18	Effects of a web based decision aid on parental attitudes to MMR vaccination: a before and after study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2006, 332, 146-149.	2.4	82

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Who Uses Smoking Cessation Apps? A Feasibility Study Across Three Countries via Smartphones. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2014, 2, e4.	1.8	81
20	Randomized trial of a self-administered decision aid for colorectal cancer screening. Journal of Medical Screening, 2008, 15, 76-82.	1.1	80
21	Current Best Practice for Presenting Probabilities in Patient Decision Aids: Fundamental Principles. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 821-833.	1.2	80
22	Information needs and preferences of low and high literacy consumers for decisions about colorectal cancer screening: utilizing a linguistic model. Health Expectations, 2008, 11, 123-136.	1.1	75
23	Can consumers learn to ask three questions to improve shared decision making? A feasibility study of the <scp>ASK</scp> (AskShareKnow) Patient–Clinician Communication Model [®] intervention in a primary healthâ€care setting. Health Expectations, 2016, 19, 1160-1168.	1.1	75
24	Communicating about screening. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2008, 337, a1591-a1591.	2.4	73
25	What Is the Effectiveness of Patient Decision Aids for Cancer-Related Decisions? A Systematic Review Subanalysis. JCO Clinical Cancer Informatics, 2018, 2, 1-13.	1.0	66
26	Integrated decision making: definitions for a new discipline. Patient Education and Counseling, 2003, 50, 265-268.	1.0	60
27	ProCare Trial: a phase <scp>II</scp> randomized controlled trial of shared care for followâ€up of men with prostate cancer. BJU International, 2017, 119, 381-389.	1.3	60
28	Health Literacy and Shared Decision-making: Exploring the Relationship to Enable Meaningful Patient Engagement in Healthcare. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 521-524.	1.3	58
29	Who Decides: Me or We? Family Involvement in Medical Decision Making in Eastern and Western Countries. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 14-25.	1.2	56
30	Does a Mobile Phone Depression-Screening App Motivate Mobile Phone Users With High Depressive Symptoms to Seek a Health Care Professional's Help?. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2016, 18, e156.	2.1	55
31	Experiences of Food Insecurity among Urban Soup Kitchen Consumers: Insights for Improving Nutrition and Well-Being. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 2006, 106, 921-924.	1.3	51
32	Getting evidence into policy: The need for deliberative strategies?. Social Science and Medicine, 2011, 72, 1039-1046.	1.8	51
33	Development of a competency framework for evidence-based practice in nursing. Nurse Education Today, 2016, 39, 189-196.	1.4	51
34	There's an App for That: A Guide for Healthcare Practitioners and Researchers on Smartphone Technology. Online Journal of Public Health Informatics, 2015, 7, e218.	0.4	50
35	Impact of privacy legislation on the number and characteristics of people who are recruited for research: a randomised controlled trial. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2006, 32, 473-477.	1.0	49
36	Current Challenges When Using Numbers in Patient Decision Aids: Advanced Concepts. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 834-847.	1.2	49

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Clinical Validity, Understandability, and Actionability of Online Cardiovascular Disease Risk Calculators: Systematic Review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2018, 20, e29.	2.1	48
38	Assisting informed decision making for labour analgesia: a randomised controlled trial of a decision aid for labour analgesia versus a pamphlet. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2010, 10, 15.	0.9	45
39	Assessing the effect of an interactive decision-aid smartphone smoking cessation application (app) on quit rates: a double-blind automated randomised control trial protocol. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005371-e005371.	0.8	45
40	When parents won't vaccinate their children: a qualitative investigation of australian primary care providers' experiences. BMC Pediatrics, 2017, 17, 19.	0.7	44
41	Online decision aids for primary cardiovascular disease prevention: systematic search, evaluation of quality and suitability for low health literacy patients. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e025173.	0.8	44
42	A targeted decision aid for the elderly to decide whether to undergo colorectal cancer screening: development and results of an uncontrolled trial. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2010, 10, 54.	1.5	42
43	Sharing knowledge about immunisation (SKAI): An exploration of parents' communication needs to inform development of a clinical communication support intervention. Vaccine, 2018, 36, 6480-6490.	1.7	41
44	Implementing cardiovascular disease prevention guidelines to translate evidence-based medicine and shared decision making into general practice: theory-based intervention development, qualitative piloting and quantitative feasibility. Implementation Science, 2019, 14, 86.	2.5	41
45	Pro-smoking apps for smartphones: the latest vehicle for the tobacco industry?. Tobacco Control, 2014, 23, e4-e4.	1.8	39
46	Factors Associated with Informed Decisions and Participation in Bowel Cancer Screening among Adults with Lower Education and Literacy. Medical Decision Making, 2014, 34, 756-772.	1.2	35
47	Health-related smartphone apps: regulations, safety, privacy and quality. BMJ Innovations, 2015, 1, 43-45.	1.0	34
48	Right choice, right time: Evaluation of an online decision aid for youth depression. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 714-723.	1.1	34
49	Cancer screening. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2002, 56, 899-902.	2.0	33
50	Development and preliminary evaluation of a bowel cancer screening decision aid for adults with lower literacy. Patient Education and Counseling, 2009, 75, 358-367.	1.0	32
51	Can adults with low literacy understand shared decision making questions? A qualitative investigation. Patient Education and Counseling, 2016, 99, 1796-1802.	1.0	28
52	Fifteen years of bowel cancer screening policy in Australia: putting evidence into practice?. Medical Journal of Australia, 2010, 193, 37-42.	0.8	27
53	Improving women's knowledge about prenatal screening in the era of non-invasive prenatal testing for Down syndrome – development and acceptability of a low literacy decision aid. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2018, 18, 499.	0.9	27
54	A theoretical framework for measuring knowledge in screening decision aid trials. Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 89, 330-336.	1.0	26

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	What Australian women want and when they want it: cervical screening testing preferences, decisionâ€making styles and information needs. Health Expectations, 2013, 16, 177-188.	1.1	25
56	Development and field testing of a consumer shared decision-making training program for adults with low literacy. Patient Education and Counseling, 2015, 98, 1180-1188.	1.0	25
57	Shared decision making implementation: a case study analysis to increase uptake in New South Wales. Australian Health Review, 2019, 43, 492-499.	0.5	25
58	Impact of personal genomic risk information on melanoma prevention behaviors and psychological outcomes: a randomized controlled trial. Genetics in Medicine, 2021, 23, 2394-2403.	1.1	22
59	Implementation of the Australian HPV vaccination program for adult women: Qualitative key informant interviews. Vaccine, 2009, 27, 5505-5512.	1.7	21
60	National survey of general practitioners' experience of delivering the National Human Papillomavirus Vaccination Program. Sexual Health, 2010, 7, 291.	0.4	21
61	General practitioners' knowledge of whiplash guidelines improved with online education. Australian Health Review, 2013, 37, 688.	0.5	20
62	Shared decision making in Australia in 2017. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2017, 123-124, 17-20.	0.7	20
63	Protocol for a randomised controlled trial of a decision aid for the management of pain in labour and childbirth [ISRCTN52287533]. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2004, 4, 24.	0.9	19
64	Development of an appraisal tool to evaluate strength of an instrument or outcome measure. Nurse Researcher, 2012, 20, 13-19.	0.3	19
65	Heuristics and biases in cardiovascular disease prevention: How can we improve communication about risk, benefits and harms?. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 843-853.	1.0	19
66	The melanoma genomics managing your risk study: A protocol for a randomized controlled trial evaluating the impact of personal genomic risk information on skin cancer prevention behaviors. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2018, 70, 106-116.	0.8	19
67	Pharmacists and patients sharing decisions about medicines: Development and feasibility of a conversation guide. Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, 2019, 15, 682-690.	1.5	19
68	Investigation of factors influencing the implementation of two shared decision-making interventions in contraceptive care: a qualitative interview study among clinical and administrative staff. Implementation Science, 2019, 14, 95.	2.5	19
69	Comparing inductive and deductive analysis techniques to understand health service implementation problems: a case study of childhood vaccination barriers. Implementation Science Communications, 2021, 2, 100.	0.8	19
70	Self-directed learning in population health. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2002, 22, 59-65.	1.6	17
71	Apps Promoting Illicit Drugs—A Need for Tighter Regulation?. Journal of Consumer Health on the Internet, 2014, 18, 31-43.	0.2	17
72	Pro-smoking apps: where, how and who are most at risk: TableÂ1. Tobacco Control, 2015, 24, 159-161.	1.8	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	COVID-19 challenges faced by general practitioners in Australia: a survey study conducted in March 2021. Australian Journal of Primary Health, 2021, 27, 357-363.	0.4	17
74	Assessing, communicating, and managing risk in general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 2014, 64, 166-167.	0.7	16
75	Informed choice in bowel cancer screening: a qualitative study to explore how adults with lower education use decision aids. Health Expectations, 2014, 17, 511-522.	1.1	16
76	Acid suppressants for managing gastro-oesophageal reflux and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in infants: a national survey. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2018, 103, archdischild-2017-314161.	1.0	16
77	Population Health Integration Within a Medical Curriculum. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2005, 29, 234-239.	1.6	15
78	Protocol for the ProCare Trial: a phase II randomised controlled trial of shared care for follow-up of men with prostate cancer. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004972.	0.8	15
79	GP attitudes to and expectations for providing personal genomic risk information to the public: a qualitative study. BJGP Open, 2019, 3, bjgpopen18X101633.	0.9	15
80	Do audioâ€guided decision aids improve outcomes? A randomized controlled trial of an audioâ€guided decision aid compared with a booklet decision aid for Australian women considering labour analgesia. Health Expectations, 2009, 12, 407-416.	1.1	14
81	Perceptions of Indonesian general practitioners in maintaining standards of medical practice at a time of health reform. Family Practice, 2015, 32, 584-590.	0.8	14
82	Favourable understandability, but poor actionability: An evaluation of online type 2 diabetes risk calculators. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 467-473.	1.0	14
83	Underpinning of the sharing knowledge about immunisation (SKAI) communication approach: A qualitative study using recorded observations. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 1118-1124.	1.0	14
84	Communication Experiences in Primary Healthcare with Refugees and Asylum Seekers: A Literature Review and Narrative Synthesis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 1469.	1.2	14
85	Interventions Using Heart Age for Cardiovascular Disease Risk Communication: Systematic Review of Psychological, Behavioral, and Clinical Effects. JMIR Cardio, 2021, 5, e31056.	0.7	14
86	Skills for Shared Decision-Making: Evaluation of a Health Literacy Program for Consumers with Lower Literacy Levels. Health Literacy Research and Practice, 2019, 3, S58-S74.	0.5	14
87	Translating Evidence into Practice in Low Resource Settings: Cervical Cancer Screening Tests are Only Part of the Solution in Rural India. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2012, 13, 4169-4172.	0.5	14
88	Implementing what works: a case study of integrated primary health care revitalisation in Timor-Leste. Asia Pacific Family Medicine, 2014, 13, 5.	0.4	13
89	Qualitative insights into the experience of teaching shared decision making within adult education health literacy programmes for lowerâ€literacy learners. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 1393-1400.	1.1	13
90	The use of a risk assessment and decision support tool (CRISP) compared with usual care in general practice to increase risk-stratified colorectal cancer screening: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 2018, 19, 397.	0.7	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	WikiProject Medicine. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d3387-d3387.	2.4	12
92	Shared decision making in Australia in 2011. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 234-239.	0.7	11
93	The Impact of Health Literacy–Sensitive Design and Heart Age in a Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Decision Aid: Randomized Controlled Trial and End-User Testing. JMIR Cardio, 2022, 6, e34142.	0.7	11
94	Physician's intention to initiate health check-up discussions with men: a qualitative study. Family Practice, 2011, 28, 307-316.	0.8	10
95	Implementation of a lung cancer multidisciplinary team standardised template for reporting to general practitioners: a mixed-method study. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e018629.	0.8	10
96	Content validation of the evidence-based nursing practice assessment tool. Nurse Researcher, 2018, 26, 33-40.	0.3	10
97	Interventions to improve participation in healthâ€care decisions in nonâ€Western countries: A systematic review and narrative synthesis. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 894-906.	1.1	10
98	Online Decision Aids for Knee Osteoarthritis and Low Back Pain: An Environmental Scan and Evaluation. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 328-335.	1.2	10
99	Right For Me: protocol for a cluster randomised trial of two interventions for facilitating shared decision-making about contraceptive methods. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017830.	0.8	9
100	Soup kitchen consumer perspectives on the quality and frequency of health service interactions. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2003, 15, 495-500.	0.9	8
101	Feasibility of a GP delivered skin cancer prevention intervention in Australia. BMC Family Practice, 2014, 15, 137.	2.9	8
102	When good evidence is not enough: the role of context in bowel cancer screening policy in New Zealand. Evidence and Policy, 2011, 7, 307-326.	0.5	7
103	Malaysian primary care doctors' views on men's health: an unresolved jigsaw puzzle. BMC Family Practice, 2011, 12, 29.	2.9	7
104	A comparative case study of bowel cancer screening in the UK and Australia: Evidence lost in translation?. Journal of Medical Screening, 2011, 18, 193-203.	1.1	7
105	†Every medicine is part poison': a qualitative inquiry into the perceptions and experiences of choosing contraceptive methods of migrant Chinese women living in Australia. BMC Women's Health, 2021, 21, 100.	0.8	7
106	Demystifying decision aids. , 2016, , 51-56.		7
107	Shared decision-making in Australia. Zeitschrift Für ätliche Fortbildung Und Qualitässicherung, 2007, 101, 205-211.	0.2	6
108	A Systematic Review of Training to Improve Melanoma Diagnostic Skills in General Practitioners. Journal of Cancer Education, 2016, 31, 730-735.	0.6	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	αâ€Blockers for uncomplicated ureteric stones: a clinical practice guideline. BJU International, 2018, 122, 924-931.	1.3	6
110	Culture, Self, and Medical Decision Making in Australia and China: A Structural Model Analysis. MDM Policy and Practice, 2019, 4, 238146831987101.	0.5	6
111	A cluster randomized controlled trial of an online psychoeducational intervention for people with a family history of depression. BMC Psychiatry, 2019, 19, 29.	1.1	6
112	What patients want to ask their doctors: Data analysis from Question Builder, an online question prompt list tool. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 937-943.	1.0	6
113	An RCT of a decision aid to support informed choices about taking aspirin to prevent colorectal cancer and other chronic diseases: a study protocol for the SITA (Should I Take Aspirin?) trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 452.	0.7	6
114	Exploring the Vast Choice of Question Prompt Lists Available to Health Consumers via Google: Environmental Scan. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e17002.	2.1	6
115	Cancer screening - pros, cons, choice, and the patient. Australian Family Physician, 2009, 38, 188-92.	0.5	6
116	Question prompt lists and endorsement of questionâ€asking support patients to get the information they seek—A longitudinal qualitative study. Health Expectations, 2022, 25, 1652-1663.	1.1	6
117	Cluster randomized controlled trial of a psycho-educational intervention for people with a family history of depression for use in general practice. BMC Psychiatry, 2013, 13, 325.	1.1	5
118	Incorporating evidence and politics in health policy: can institutionalising evidence review make a difference?. Evidence and Policy, 2014, 10, 439-455.	0.5	5
119	A Psychoâ€Educational Intervention for People with a Family History of Depression: Pilot Results. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2017, 26, 312-321.	0.9	5
120	Observing the use of knee arthroplasty appropriateness tools in clinical practice: do appropriateness criteria tools predict surgeon decision-making?. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2021, 29, 1275-1281.	0.6	5
121	GPs want tailored, user friendly evidence summaries–a cross sectional study in New South Wales. Australian Family Physician, 2007, 36, 1065-9.	0.5	5
122	Colorectal cancer screening: Associations between information provision, attitudes and intended participation. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 546-550.	1.0	4
123	Content analysis of clinical questions from Australian general practice which are prioritised for answering: identifying common question types and perceived knowledge gaps. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2020, 25, 15-21.	1.7	4
124	Online Decision Aids for Knee Arthroplasty. JBJS Reviews, 2021, 9, .	0.8	4
125	What Medical Students Value in a Population Health Tutor: Characteristics for Consideration in Staff Recruitment and Development. Education for Health: Change in Learning and Practice, 2003, 16, 51-58.	0.1	4
126	The role of Primary Health Networks in cardiovascular disease prevention: A qualitative interview study. Health Promotion Journal of Australia, 2022, 33, 926-936.	0.6	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	A Brief Shared Decision-Making Intervention for Acute Respiratory Infections on Antibiotic Dispensing Rates in Primary Care: A Cluster Randomized Trial. Annals of Family Medicine, 2022, 20, 35-41.	0.9	4
128	Impediments to Higher Degree Training for Australian Clinical Researchers in General Practice. Education for Health: Change in Learning and Practice, 2005, 18, 157-165.	0.1	3
129	PatientsLikeMe and the tale of three brothers. Medical Journal of Australia, 2011, 195, 258-259.	0.8	3
130	Administrative encounters in general practice: low value or hidden value care?. Medical Journal of Australia, 2018, 208, 114-118.	0.8	3
131	Doctors' Attitudes to Patient Question Asking, Patient-Generated Question Lists, and Question Prompt Lists: A Qualitative Study. Medical Decision Making, 2021, , 0272989X2110295.	1.2	3
132	Implementing work-related Mental health guidelines in general PRacticE (IMPRovE): a protocol for a hybrid III parallel cluster randomised controlled trial. Implementation Science, 2021, 16, 77.	2.5	3
133	Healthcare providers' perceptions of the challenges and opportunities to engage Chinese migrant women in contraceptive counselling: a qualitative interview study. Sexual Health, 2020, 17, 405.	0.4	3
134	Problem-based learning in public health workforce training: a discussion of educational principles and evidence. NSW Public Health Bulletin, 2007, 18, 4-8.	0.3	3
135	Traumatic brain injury - long term care of patients in general practice. Australian Family Physician, 2011, 40, 956-61.	0.5	3
136	Exploring the expectations, experiences and tensions of refugee patients and general practitioners in the quality of care in general practice. Health Expectations, 2022, 25, 639-647.	1.1	3
137	Australian recommendations on tapering of biologic and targeted synthetic diseaseâ€modifying antiâ€rheumatic drugs in inflammatory arthritis. Internal Medicine Journal, 2022, , .	0.5	3
138	Commentary on History of IPDAS. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 734-735.	1.2	2
139	Determinants of doctors' decisions to inquire about sexual dysfunction in Malaysian primary care settings. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2013, 2, 281-90.	0.6	1
140	To freeze or not to freeze? Answering a question about the treatment of cutaneous warts. Australian Family Physician, 2003, 32, 738.	0.5	1
141	Acceptability and perceived feasibility of adapted encounter decision aids on contraceptive methods: An interview study with healthcare providers and Chinese migrant women. PEC Innovation, 2022, 1, 100031.	0.3	1
142	Is home screening for bowel cancer a good idea?. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2003, 27, 265-266.	0.8	0
143	66â€Preventing overtreatment in older age by prioritising medicines. , 2018, , .		0
144	Validation of the SYdney Shared and Evidence-based decision-Making test (SYStEM) among healthcare professionals. Health Professions Education, 2019, 5, 352-364.	1.4	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	What you need to know as a clinician about risk communication. , 2016, , 112-122.		0
146	A Design to Reduce Patients' Cognitive Load for Cancer Risk Calculator. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2017, , 38-41.	1.0	0
147	Implementation of a novel stratified PAthway of CarE for common musculoskeletal (MSK) conditions in primary care: protocol for a multicentre pragmatic randomised controlled trial (the PACE MSK) Tj ETQq1 1 0.78	84 01 84 rgB1	「 <i>I</i> @verlock!
148	Cost-effectiveness of targeted genomic risk provision to prevent skin cancer: Results of a randomized trial Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2022, 40, 10536-10536.	0.8	0