

Gordon Pennycook

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7824586/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

90
papers

15,845
citations

50276

46
h-index

74163

75
g-index

129
all docs

129
docs citations

129
times ranked

11792
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Does Analytic Thinking Insulate Against Pro-Kremlin Disinformation? Evidence From Ukraine. <i>Political Psychology</i> , 2023, 44, 79-94.	3.6	10
2	Beliefs About COVID-19 in Canada, the United Kingdom, and the United States: A Novel Test of Political Polarization and Motivated Reasoning. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 2022, 48, 750-765.	3.0	113
3	Field Experiments on Social Media. <i>Current Directions in Psychological Science</i> , 2022, 31, 69-75.	5.3	14
4	Nudging Social Media toward Accuracy. <i>Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science</i> , 2022, 700, 152-164.	1.6	21
5	Accuracy prompts are a replicable and generalizable approach for reducing the spread of misinformation. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2022, 13, 2333.	12.8	33
6	News from Generative Artificial Intelligence Is Believed Less. , 2022, , .		20
7	Intuition, reason, and conspiracy beliefs. <i>Current Opinion in Psychology</i> , 2022, 47, 101387.	4.9	18
8	Belief in fake news, responsiveness to cognitive conflict, and analytic reasoning engagement. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i> , 2021, 27, 510-535.	3.2	6
9	Research note: Examining false beliefs about voter fraud in the wake of the 2020 Presidential Election. , 2021, , .		38
10	Cognitive reflection correlates with behavior on Twitter. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2021, 12, 921.	12.8	67
11	Shifting attention to accuracy can reduce misinformation online. <i>Nature</i> , 2021, 592, 590-595.	27.8	366
12	Exploring Lightweight Interventions at Posting Time to Reduce the Sharing of Misinformation on Social Media. <i>Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction</i> , 2021, 5, 1-42.	3.3	42
13	Developing an accuracy-prompt toolkit to reduce COVID-19 misinformation online. , 2021, , .		22
14	The Psychology of Fake News. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i> , 2021, 25, 388-402.	7.8	403
15	Character deprecation in fake news: Is it in supply or demand?. <i>Group Processes and Intergroup Relations</i> , 2021, 24, 624-637.	3.9	6
16	Scaling up fact-checking using the wisdom of crowds. <i>Science Advances</i> , 2021, 7, eabf4393.	10.3	46
17	Lack of partisan bias in the identification of fake (versus real) news. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i> , 2021, 25, 725-726.	7.8	6
18	A Practical Guide to Doing Behavioral Research on Fake News and Misinformation. <i>Collabra: Psychology</i> , 2021, 7, .	1.8	35

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Timing matters when correcting fake news. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2021, 118, .	7.1	82
20	Rethinking the link between cognitive sophistication and politically motivated reasoning.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2021, 150, 1095-1114.	2.1	55
21	Investigating the Robustness of the Illusory Truth Effect Across Individual Differences in Cognitive Ability, Need for Cognitive Closure, and Cognitive Style. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2020, 46, 204-215.	3.0	87
22	Measuring supernatural belief implicitly using the Affect Misattribution Procedure. Religion, Brain and Behavior, 2020, 10, 393-406.	0.7	4
23	Who falls for fake news? The roles of bullshit receptivity, overclaiming, familiarity, and analytic thinking. Journal of Personality, 2020, 88, 185-200.	3.2	386
24	Who Is Susceptible to Online Health Misinformation?. American Journal of Public Health, 2020, 110, S276-S277.	2.7	44
25	Reliance on emotion promotes belief in fake news. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2020, 5, 47.	2.0	147
26	Bayesian or biased? Analytic thinking and political belief updating. Cognition, 2020, 204, 104375.	2.2	44
27	Belief Bias and Its Significance for Modern Social Science. Psychological Inquiry, 2020, 31, 57-60.	0.9	3
28	Fighting COVID-19 Misinformation on Social Media: Experimental Evidence for a Scalable Accuracy-Nudge Intervention. Psychological Science, 2020, 31, 770-780.	3.3	915
29	The Implied Truth Effect: Attaching Warnings to a Subset of Fake News Headlines Increases Perceived Accuracy of Headlines Without Warnings. Management Science, 2020, 66, 4944-4957.	4.1	249
30	Self-reported willingness to share political news articles in online surveys correlates with actual sharing on Twitter. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0228882.	2.5	79
31	Using social and behavioural science to support COVID-19 pandemic response. Nature Human Behaviour, 2020, 4, 460-471.	12.0	3,200
32	Thinking clearly about causal inferences of politically motivated reasoning: why paradigmatic study designs often undermine causal inference. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 2020, 34, 81-87.	3.9	74
33	Fake news, fast and slow: Deliberation reduces belief in false (but not true) news headlines.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2020, 149, 1608-1613.	2.1	246
34	Will the Crowd Game the Algorithm?. , 2020, , .		30
35	Emphasizing publishers does not effectively reduce susceptibility to misinformation on social media. , 2020, , .		35
36	Cognitive Reflection and the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2019, 45, 224-239.	3.0	52

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Logic, Fast and Slow: Advances in Dual-Process Theorizing. <i>Current Directions in Psychological Science</i> , 2019, 28, 503-509.	5.3	117
38	Beyond Reasonable Doubt. , 2019, , 115-129.		0
39	Repetition increases perceived truth equally for plausible and implausible statements. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2019, 26, 1705-1710.	2.8	89
40	Fighting misinformation on social media using crowdsourced judgments of news source quality. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2019, 116, 2521-2526.	7.1	409
41	Dual-process theory, conflict processing, and delusional belief. <i>Clinical Psychology Review</i> , 2019, 72, 101748.	11.4	27
42	Belief in Fake News is Associated with Delusionality, Dogmatism, Religious Fundamentalism, and Reduced Analytic Thinking. <i>Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition</i> , 2019, 8, 108-117.	1.1	199
43	Lazy, not biased: Susceptibility to partisan fake news is better explained by lack of reasoning than by motivated reasoning. <i>Cognition</i> , 2019, 188, 39-50.	2.2	892
44	Belief in fake news is associated with delusionality, dogmatism, religious fundamentalism, and reduced analytic thinking.. <i>Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition</i> , 2019, 8, 108-117.	1.1	105
45	The science of fake news. <i>Science</i> , 2018, 359, 1094-1096.	12.6	2,198
46	The cognitive reflection test is robust to multiple exposures. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> , 2018, 50, 1953-1959.	4.0	89
47	The Mythical Dual-Process Typology. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i> , 2018, 22, 667-668.	7.8	49
48	Characterizing belief bias in syllogistic reasoning: A hierarchical Bayesian meta-analysis of ROC data. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2018, 25, 2141-2174.	2.8	13
49	Cognitive Reflection and the 2016 US Presidential Election. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2018, , .	0.4	3
50	Is the Illusory Truth Effect Robust to Individual Differences in Cognitive Ability, Need for Cognitive Closure, and Cognitive Style?. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2018, , .	0.4	0
51	Reduced Analytic and Actively Open-Minded Thinking Help to Explain the Link between Belief in Fake News and Delusionality, Dogmatism, and Religious Fundamentalism. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2018, , .	0.4	3
52	An analysis of the Canadian cognitive psychology job market (2006â€“2016).. <i>Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology</i> , 2018, 72, 71-80.	0.8	12
53	Prior exposure increases perceived accuracy of fake news.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: General</i> , 2018, 147, 1865-1880.	2.1	602
54	Dunningâ€“Kruger effects in reasoning: Theoretical implications of the failure to recognize incompetence. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2017, 24, 1774-1784.	2.8	127

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	The evolution of analytic thought?. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2017, 40, e215.	0.7	0
56	Atheists and Agnostics Are More Reflective than Religious Believers: Four Empirical Studies and a Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0153039.	2.5	167
57	Commentary: Cognitive reflection vs. calculation in decision making. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 9.	2.1	59
58	Commentary: Rethinking fast and slow based on a critique of reaction-time reverse inference. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 1174.	2.1	7
59	Analytic cognitive style, not delusional ideation, predicts data gathering in a large beads task study. Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 2016, 21, 300-314.	1.3	27
60	Is the cognitive reflection test a measure of both reflection and intuition?. Behavior Research Methods, 2016, 48, 341-348.	4.0	148
61	Going against the Herd: Psychological and Cultural Factors Underlying the "Vaccination Confidence Gap". PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132562.	2.5	169
62	Everyday Consequences of Analytic Thinking. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2015, , .	0.4	5
63	Domain generality in religious cognition. Religion, Brain and Behavior, 2015, 5, 247-250.	0.7	0
64	Everyday Consequences of Analytic Thinking. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2015, 24, 425-432.	5.3	213
65	Reasoned connections: A dual-process perspective on creative thought. Thinking and Reasoning, 2015, 21, 61-75.	3.2	77
66	The brain in your pocket: Evidence that Smartphones are used to supplant thinking. Computers in Human Behavior, 2015, 48, 473-480.	8.5	190
67	What makes us think? A three-stage dual-process model of analytic engagement. Cognitive Psychology, 2015, 80, 34-72.	2.2	301
68	Better but still biased: Analytic cognitive style and belief bias. Thinking and Reasoning, 2015, 21, 431-445.	3.2	35
69	Disfluent fonts don't help people solve math problems.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2015, 144, e16-e30.	2.1	67
70	The language of denial: text analysis reveals differences in language use between climate change proponents and skeptics. Climatic Change, 2015, 133, 597-605.	3.6	47
71	Reflective minds and open hearts: Cognitive style and personality predict religiosity and spiritual thinking in a community sample. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2014, 44, 736-742.	2.4	51
72	Base rates: Both neglected and intuitive.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2014, 40, 544-554.	0.9	77

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Cognitive style and religiosity: The role of conflict detection. <i>Memory and Cognition</i> , 2014, 42, 1-10.	1.6	196
74	Evidence that analytic cognitive style influences religious belief: Comment on Razmyar and Reeve (2013). <i>Intelligence</i> , 2014, 43, 21-26.	3.0	47
75	The role of analytic thinking in moral judgements and values. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i> , 2014, 20, 188-214.	3.2	172
76	Sleep Paralysis Postepisode Distress. <i>Clinical Psychological Science</i> , 2013, 1, 135-148.	4.0	54
77	The role of answer fluency and perceptual fluency in the monitoring and control of reasoning: Reply to. <i>Cognition</i> , 2013, 128, 256-258.	2.2	7
78	The role of answer fluency and perceptual fluency as metacognitive cues for initiating analytic thinking. <i>Cognition</i> , 2013, 128, 237-251.	2.2	173
79	Belief bias during reasoning among religious believers and skeptics. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2013, 20, 806-811.	2.8	102
80	Analytic cognitive style predicts religious and paranormal belief. <i>Cognition</i> , 2012, 123, 335-346.	2.2	425
81	Are we good at detecting conflict during reasoning?. <i>Cognition</i> , 2012, 124, 101-106.	2.2	69
82	Reasoning with base rates is routine, relatively effortless, and context dependent. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2012, 19, 528-534.	2.8	53
83	Intuition, reason, and metacognition. <i>Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2011, 63, 107-140.	2.2	433
84	Construction of an Aboriginal Theory of Mind and Mental Health1. <i>Anthropology of Consciousness</i> , 2009, 20, 85-100.	1.1	7
85	Who Falls for Fake News? The Roles of Analytic Thinking, Motivated Reasoning, Political Ideology, and Bullshit Receptivity. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	44
86	Prior Exposure Increases Perceived Accuracy of Fake News. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	41
87	Crowdsourcing Judgments of News Source Quality. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	11
88	Susceptibility to Partisan Fake News Is Explained More by a Lack of Deliberation Than by Willful Ignorance. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	28
89	Cognitive Reflection is a Stable Trait. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	10
90	News from Artificial Intelligence is Believed Less. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	2