Sergi FÃ bregues

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7727543/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 285-291.	0.5	1,312
2	Improving the content validity of the mixed methods appraisal tool: aÂmodified e-Delphi study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 49-59.e1.	5.0	441
3	Addressing quality in mixed methods research: a review and recommendations for a future agenda. Quality and Quantity, 2017, 51, 2847-2863.	3.7	56
4	Gender Stereotypes and Attitudes Towards Information and Communication Technology Professionals in a Sample of Spanish Secondary Students. Sex Roles, 2016, 74, 154-168.	2.4	37
5	A Methodological Review of Mixed Methods Research in Palliative and End-of-Life Care (2014–2019). International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17, 3853.	2.6	31
6	Internet in teachers' professional practice outside the classroom: Examining supportive and management uses in primary and secondary schools. Computers and Education, 2012, 59, 915-924.	8.3	29
7	Fundamentals of case study research in family medicine and community health. Family Medicine and Community Health, 2019, 7, e000074.	1.6	27
8	Visuals in joint displays to represent integration in mixed methods research: A methodological review. Methods in Psychology, 2021, 5, 100080.	2.2	26
9	Operationalizing and Conceptualizing Quality in Mixed Methods Research: A Multiple Case Study of the Disciplines of Education, Nursing, Psychology, and Sociology. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 2019, 13, 424-445.	2.6	24
10	Virtual Special Issue on "Quality in Mixed Methods Research― Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 2021, 15, 146-151.	2.6	21
11	Factors Affecting School Teachers' Perceptions of the Instructional Benefits of Digital Technology. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2014, 141, 357-362.	0.5	19
12	Family Meals, Conviviality, and the Mediterranean Diet among Families with Adolescents. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 2499.	2.6	17
13	Providing English and native language quotes in qualitative research: A call to action. Nursing Open, 2022, 9, 168-174.	2.4	15
14	Young Spanish People's Gendered Representations of People Working in STEM. A Qualitative Study. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 996.	2.1	12
15	Taking a critical stance towards mixed methods research: A cross-disciplinary qualitative secondary analysis of researchers' views. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0252014.	2.5	11
16	The Introduction of ICT in Spanish Education (2000-2010): A Comparative Analysis of Regional Policies from a Multi-level Approach. Estudios Sobre Educacion, 0, 27, 63-90.	0.2	10
17	Gendered Motivations to Pursue Male-Dominated STEM Careers Among Spanish Young People: A Qualitative Study. Journal of Career Development, 2020, 47, 408-423.	2.8	9
18	Appraising the quality of mixed methods research in nursing: A qualitative case study of nurse researchers' views. Nursing Inquiry, 2018, 25, e12247.	2.1	9

Sergi FÃbregues

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Using Sensors in Organizational Research—Clarifying Rationales and Validation Challenges for Mixed Methods. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 1188.	2.1	8
20	Parent and Teacher Depictions of Gender Gaps in Secondary Student Appraisals of Their Academic Competences. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 573752.	2.1	8
21	Exploring the gender gap in the Spanish Wikipedia: Differences in engagement and editing practices. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0246702.	2.5	8
22	Charmaz, Kathy C. (2006). Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide Through Qualitative Analysis. Papers, 0, 86, 284.	0.1	8
23	Mixed methods intervention studies in children and adolescents with emotional and behavioral disorders: A methodological review. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 2022, 126, 104239.	2.2	8
24	From precautionary inadequacy to participatory risk management. Futures, 2009, 41, 260-268.	2.5	6
25	VP26 A Critical Appraisal Tool For Systematic Mixed Studies Reviews. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2018, 34, 166-166.	0.5	5
26	Secondary School Teachers' Views of Gender Differences in School Achievement and Study Choices in Spain. SAGE Open, 2021, 11, 215824402110475.	1.7	5
27	Male-On-Male Child and Adolescent Sexual Abuse in the Caribbean Region of Colombia: A Secondary Analysis of Medico-Legal Reports. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17, 8248.	2.6	4
28	Exploring the Perceptions of Women from Under-Resourced South African Communities about Participating in a Low-Carbohydrate High-Fat Nutrition and Health Education Program: A Qualitative Focus Group Study. Nutrients, 2020, 12, 894.	4.1	3
29	Exploring the Relationship between Saber Pro Test Outcomes and Student Teacher Characteristics in Colombia: Recommendations for Improving Bachelor's Degree Education. Education Sciences, 2021, 11, 507.	2.6	3
30	Factores que influyen en la percepción de los profesores de los beneficios instruccionales de los medios educativos digitales. RELIEVE - Revista Electronica De Investigacion Y Evaluacion Educativa, 2015, 21, .	0.4	3
31	Dietary Patterns for Health and Sustainability: From Experts' Opinions to Action for the WHO European Region. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
32	Using the Very Short Form of the Children's Behavior Questionnaire for Spanish-Speaking Populations in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Psychometric Analysis of Dichotomized Variables. Children, 2021, 8, 74.	1.5	1
33	Teacher digital competence development in higher education: Overview of systematic reviews. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 0, , .	3.5	1
34	Methodology for the creation of a social consensus in the rollout of mobile telephone infrastructures. IN3 Working Paper Series, 2007, , .	0.0	0
35	Reconsiderando la gestión de riesgos en entornos sociotécnicos. Papers, 0, 93, 103.	0.1	0
36	Best practices in developing indicators for measuring gender-related changes in universities. STEM Gender Equality Congress Proceedings, 2017, 1, 492-508.	0.0	0