## Carlo Senore

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7671325/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

50276 54911 7,939 137 46 84 citations h-index g-index papers 141 141 141 6749 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Adenoma detection by Endocuff-assisted versus standard colonoscopy in an organized screening program: the "ltaVision―randomized controlled trial. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 138-147.	1.8	20
2	Riskâ€stratified strategies in population screening for colorectal cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 2022, 150, 397-405.	5.1	25
3	Comparing Colorectal Cancer Screening Outcomes in the International Cancer Screening Network: A Consortium Proposal. Gastroenterology, 2022, 162, 668-674.	1.3	2
4	Long-Term Follow-up of the Italian Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening Trial. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2022, 175, 36-45.	3.9	25
5	Socio-economic inequality of utilization of cancer testing in Europe: A cross-sectional study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2022, 26, 101733.	1.8	19
6	Proportion and stage distribution of screen-detected and non-screen-detected colorectal cancer in nine European countries: an international, population-based study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2022, 7, 711-723.	8.1	15
7	Screening colonoscopy similarly prevented distal and proximal colorectal cancer: a prospective study among 55–69-year-olds. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 149, 118-126.	5.0	6
8	Promoting healthy lifestyle habits among participants in cancer screening programs: Results of the randomized controlled Sti.Vi study. Journal of Public Health Research, 2022, 11, 227990362211065.	1.2	2
9	Interventions to ensure follow-up of positive fecal immunochemical tests: An international survey of screening programs. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, 28, 51-53.	2.3	16
10	Interval colorectal cancers after negative faecal immunochemical test in a 13-year screening programme. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, 28, 131-139.	2.3	12
11	Colon capsule endoscopy in colorectal cancer screening: a systematic review. Endoscopy, 2021, 53, 815-824.	1.8	53
12	Vegan Diet Advice Might Benefit Liver Enzymes in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: an Open Observational Pilot Study. Journal of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, 2021, 30, 81-87.	0.9	5
13	Measures of longitudinal adherence to fecalâ€based colorectal cancer screening: Literature review and recommended approaches. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 149, 316-326.	5.1	10
14	The EU-TOPIA evaluation tool: An online modelling-based tool for informing breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening decisions in Europe. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2021, 22, 101392.	1.8	7
15	Colorectal cancer incidence and mortality after negative fecal immunochemical tests by age 70: A prospective observational study. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 149, 1257-1265.	5.1	3
16	Extending Age Ranges in Breast Cancer Screening in Four European Countries: Model Estimations of Harm-to-Benefit Ratios. Cancers, 2021, 13, 3360.	3.7	6
17	Colorectal cancer incidence, mortality, and stage distribution in European countries in the colorectal cancer screening era: an international population-based study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2021, 22, 1002-1013.	10.7	203
18	Development and Validation of Three Regional Microsimulation Models for Predicting Colorectal Cancer Screening Benefits in Europe. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832098497.	0.9	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Comparison of fecal sample collection methods for microbial analysis embedded within colorectal cancer screening programs. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, , cebp.0188.2021.	2.5	10
20	The influence of health systems on breast, cervical and colorectal cancer screening: an overview of systematic reviews using health systems and implementation research frameworks. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2020, 25, 49-58.	1.7	16
21	Faecal haemoglobin concentration among subjects with negative FIT results is associated with the detection rate of neoplasia at subsequent rounds: a prospective study in the context of population based screening programmes in Italy. Gut, 2020, 69, 523-530.	12.1	33
22	Accuracy of colon capsule endoscopy for advanced neoplasia. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020, 91, 406-414.e1.	1.0	36
23	Optimizing the Quality of Colorectal Cancer Screening Worldwide. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 404-417.	1.3	98
24	Promises and Potential Pitfalls of Shared Decision Making in Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 802-805.	1.3	1
25	International Perspective on the Burden of Colorectal Cancer and Public Health Effects. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 447-452.	1.3	36
26	Colorectal Cancer Screening in the Novel Coronavirus Disease-2019 Era. Gastroenterology, 2020, 159, 1998-2003.	1.3	25
27	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline – Update 2020. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, 687-700.	1.8	255
28	Linked-color imaging versus white-light colonoscopy in an organized colorectal cancer screening program. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020, 92, 723-730.	1.0	27
29	Do We Need a New Paradigm for Assessing the Accuracy of Fecal Immunochemical Test Screening?. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 18, 2873-2875.	4.4	0
30	Endoscopic technological innovations for neoplasia detection in organized colorectal cancer screening programs: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020, 92, 840-847.e9.	1.0	8
31	Role of gastrointestinal endoscopy in the screening of digestive tract cancers in Europe: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, 293-304.	1.8	87
32	Impact of colorectal cancer screening on cancer-specific mortality in Europe: A systematic review. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 127, 224-235.	2.8	101
33	Evidence for reducing cancer-specific mortality due to screening for breast cancer in Europe: A systematic review. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 127, 191-206.	2.8	76
34	Key issues that need to be considered while revising the current annex of the European Council Recommendation (2003) on cancer screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 147, 9-13.	5.1	6
35	Effect of organised cervical cancer screening on cervical cancer mortality in Europe: a systematic review. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 127, 207-223.	2.8	120
36	Principles for Evaluation of Surveillance After Removal of Colorectal Polyps: Recommendations From the World Endoscopy Organization. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 1529-1533.e4.	1.3	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Narrow-Band Imaging for Detection of Neoplasia at Colonoscopy: A Meta-analysis of Data From Individual Patients in Randomized Controlled Trials. Gastroenterology, 2019, 157, 462-471.	1.3	113
38	Monitoring the performance of sigmoidoscopy screening: the need for a comprehensive approach. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019, 4, 192-193.	8.1	1
39	Performance measures for smallâ€bowel endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2019, 7, 614-641.	3.8	60
40	New and Recurrent Colorectal Cancers After Resection: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Endoscopic Surveillance Studies. Gastroenterology, 2019, 156, 1309-1323.e3.	1.3	35
41	Performance measures for endoscopy services: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2019, 7, 21-44.	3.8	20
42	Cost-effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening programmes using sigmoidoscopy and immunochemical faecal occult blood test. Journal of Medical Screening, 2019, 26, 76-83.	2.3	19
43	Diagnostic yield and miss rate of EndoRings in an organized colorectal cancer screening program: the SMART (Study Methodology for ADR-Related Technology) trial. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2019, 89, 583-590.e1.	1.0	25
44	Diminutive Polyps With Advanced Histologic Features Do Not Increase Risk for Metachronous Advanced Colon Neoplasia. Gastroenterology, 2019, 156, 623-634.e3.	1.3	39
45	Key indicators of organized cancer screening programs: Results from a Delphi study. Journal of Medical Screening, 2019, 26, 120-126.	2.3	10
46	Performance of colorectal cancer screening in the European Union Member States: data from the second European screening report. Gut, 2019, 68, 1232-1244.	12.1	113
47	Invitation strategies and coverage in the population-based cancer screening programmes in the European Union. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2019, 28, 131-140.	1.3	16
48	A comparative effectiveness trial of two faecal immunochemical tests for haemoglobin (FIT). Assessment of test performance and adherence in a single round of a population-based screening programme for colorectal cancer. Gut, 2018, 67, 485-496.	12.1	27
49	Flexible Sigmoidoscopy and CT Colonography Screening: Patients' Experience with and Factors for Undergoing Screening—Insight from the Proteus Colon Trial. Radiology, 2018, 286, 873-883.	7.3	17
50	Status of implementation and organization of cancer screening in The European Union Member Statesâ€"Summary results from the second European screening report. International Journal of Cancer, 2018, 142, 44-56.	5.1	169
51	Performance measures for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic ultrasound: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2018, 6, 1448-1460.	3.8	35
52	Management of Pt1 tumours removed by endoscopy during colorectal cancer screening: Outcome and treatment quality indicators. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1873-1879.	1.0	16
53	Performance measures for ERCP and endoscopic ultrasound: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2018, 50, 1116-1127.	1.8	80
54	Results of a health systems approach to identify barriers to population-based cervical and colorectal cancer screening programmes in six European countries. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 1206-1211.	3.0	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	A health systems approach to identifying barriers to breast cancer screening programmes. Methodology and application in six European countries. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 1198-1205.	3.0	9
56	Number of Adenomas Removed and Colorectal Cancers Prevented in Randomized Trials of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 1059-1068.e2.	1.3	8
57	Full-spectrum (FUSE) versus standard forward-viewing colonoscopy in an organised colorectal cancer screening programme. Gut, 2017, 66, gutjnl-2016-311906.	12.1	59
58	Split-dose preparation for colonoscopy increases adenoma detection rate: a randomised controlled trial in an organised screening programme. Gut, 2017, 66, 270-277.	12.1	113
59	Detection rate and predictive factors of sessile serrated polyps in an organised colorectal cancer screening programme with immunochemical faecal occult blood test: the EQuIPE study (Evaluating) Tj ETQq1 1	0.784814	rg <b>B4</b> /Overlo
60	Detection rate of serrated polyps and serrated polyposis syndrome in colorectal cancer screening cohorts: a European overview. Gut, 2017, 66, 1225-1232.	12.1	112
61	FIT and M2-PK: a marriage of convenience!. Internal and Emergency Medicine, 2017, 12, 281-282.	2.0	0
62	Prevalence of serrated polyposis syndrome in an FIT-based colorectal cancer screening cohort in Italy. Gut, 2017, 66, 1532-1533.	12.1	6
63	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2017, 49, 378-397.	1.8	533
64	Distribution of colorectal polyps: Implications for screening. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2017, 31, 481-488.	2.4	8
65	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2017, 5, 309-334.	3.8	149
66	Barriers against split-dose bowel preparation for colonoscopy. Gut, 2017, 66, 1428-1433.	12.1	39
67	Comparing CT colonography and flexible sigmoidoscopy: a randomised trial within a population-based screening programme. Gut, 2017, 66, 1434-1440.	12.1	26
68	Effectiveness of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening in men and women and different age groups: pooled analysis of randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 356, i6673.	2.3	100
69	Rationale and design of the European Polyp Surveillance (EPoS) trials. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 571-578.	1.8	90
70	Organization of surveillance in GI practice. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2016, 30, 855-866.	2.4	4
71	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 843-864.	1.8	232
72	Recommendations for a stepâ€wise comparative approach to the evaluation of new screening tests for colorectal cancer. Cancer, 2016, 122, 826-839.	4.1	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 629-656.	3.8	62
74	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 30-41.	3.8	31
75	Efficacy and safety of endoscopic resection of large colorectal polyps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut, 2016, 65, 806-820.	12.1	301
76	Appropriateness of endoscopic surveillance recommendations in organised colorectal cancer screening programmes based on the faecal immunochemical test. Gut, 2016, 65, 1822-1828.	12.1	25
77	Colonoscopy surveillance: guidelines for polyps and IBD. Minerva Gastroenterologica E Dietologica, 2016, 62, 207-22.	2.2	4
78	Optimising colorectal cancer screening acceptance: a review. Gut, 2015, 64, 1158-1177.	12.1	92
79	Quality of colonoscopy in an organised colorectal cancer screening programme with immunochemical faecal occult blood test: the EQuIPE study (Evaluating Quality Indicators of the) Tj ETQq1 1 0.7	'8432114 rgl	3T <b>†</b> ®verlock
80	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. Endoscopy, 2015, 48, 81-89.	1.8	59
81	Invitation strategies for colorectal cancer screening programmes: The impact of an advance notification letter. Preventive Medicine, 2015, 73, 106-111.	3.4	26
82	Assessing Generalizability of the Findings of Sigmoidoscopy Screening Trials: The Case of SCORE Trial. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2015, 107, 385.	6.3	4
83	Colorectal cancer screening of immigrants to Italy. Figures from the 2013 National Survey. Preventive Medicine, 2015, 81, 132-137.	3.4	16
84	Participation rates for organized colorectal cancer screening programmes: an international comparison. Journal of Medical Screening, 2015, 22, 119-126.	2.3	115
85	Histological features of advanced colorectal adenomas detected by endoscopy and fecal immunochemical test. Endoscopy, 2015, 47, 903-909.	1.8	7
86	Colon capsule versus CT colonography in patients with incomplete colonoscopy: a prospective, comparative trial. Gut, 2015, 64, 272-281.	12.1	107
87	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2011-2012 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2015, 39, 93-107.	1.1	17
88	Systematic review with metaâ€analysis: the incidence of advanced neoplasia after polypectomy in patients with and without lowâ€risk adenomas. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2014, 39, 905-912.	3.7	55
89	Computer-Aided Detection for Computed Tomographic Colonography Screening. Investigative Radiology, 2014, 49, 173-182.	6.2	19
90	A comparison of different strategies used to invite subjects with a positive faecal occult blood test to a colonoscopy assessment. A randomised controlled trial in population-based screening programmes. Preventive Medicine, 2014, 65, 70-76.	3.4	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Sorting out measures and definitions of screening participation to improve comparability: The example of colorectal cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2014, 50, 434-446.	2.8	27
92	Population screening for colorectal cancer by flexible sigmoidoscopy or CT colonography: study protocol for a multicenter randomized trial. Trials, 2014, 15, 97.	1.6	22
93	Narrow band imaging vs. high definition colonoscopy for detection of colorectal adenomas in patients with positive faecal occult blood test: A randomised trial. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2014, 46, 803-807.	0.9	14
94	CT Colonography: Preliminary Assessment of a Double-Read Paradigm That Uses Computer-aided Detection as the First Reader. Radiology, 2013, 268, 743-751.	7.3	19
95	Offering people a choice for colorectal cancer screening. Gut, 2013, 62, 735-740.	12.1	50
96	Epidemiology, clinical-treatment patterns and outcome in 256 hepatocellular carcinoma cases. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2013, 19, 3207.	3.3	16
97	Progress and Challenges in Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2012, 2012, 1-8.	1.5	16
98	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis: Overview and introduction to the full Supplement publication. Endoscopy, 2012, 45, 51-59.	1.8	356
99	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition – Organisation. Endoscopy, 2012, 44, SE31-SE48.	1.8	15
100	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition – Quality assurance in endoscopy in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. Endoscopy, 2012, 44, SE88-SE105.	1.8	72
101	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition – Colonoscopic surveillance following adenoma removal. Endoscopy, 2012, 44, SE151-SE163.	1.8	119
102	Population Based Cancer Screening Programmes as a Teachable Moment for Primary Prevention Interventions. A Review of the Literature. Frontiers in Oncology, 2012, 2, 45.	2.8	48
103	Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2012, 2012, 1-2.	1.5	0
104	The impact of different communication and organizational strategies on mammography screening uptake in women aged 40-45 years. European Journal of Public Health, 2012, 22, 413-418.	0.3	16
105	High Rate of Advanced Adenoma Detection in 4 Rounds of Colorectal Cancer Screening With the Fecal Immunochemical Test. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2012, 10, 633-638.	4.4	103
106	Hospital factors and patient characteristics in the treatment of colorectal cancer: a population based study. BMC Public Health, 2012, 12, 775.	2.9	16
107	Diagnostic reproducibility of tumour budding in colorectal cancer: a multicentre, multinational study using virtual microscopy. Histopathology, 2012, 61, 562-575.	2.9	76
108	Once-Only Sigmoidoscopy in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Follow-up Findings of the Italian Randomized Controlled Trial-SCORE. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2011, 103, 1310-1322.	6.3	539

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Acceptability and side-effects of colonoscopy and sigmoidoscopy in a screening setting. Journal of Medical Screening, 2011, 18, 128-134.	2.3	73
110	Standardization of whole slide image morphologic assessment with definition of a new application: Digital slide dynamic morphometry. Journal of Pathology Informatics, 2011, 2, 48.	1.7	3
111	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy, 2009 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2011, 35, 55-77.	1.1	10
112	How to enhance physician and public acceptance and utilisation of colon cancer screening recommendations. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2010, 24, 509-520.	2.4	19
113	A shift from distal to proximal neoplasia in the colon: a decade of polyps and CRC in Italy. BMC Gastroenterology, 2010, 10, 139.	2.0	19
114	Virtual microscopy for histology quality assurance of screen-detected polyps. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2010, 63, 916-920.	2.0	19
115	Comparing Different Strategies for Colorectal Cancer Screening in Italy: Predictors of Patients' Participation. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2010, 105, 188-198.	0.4	64
116	Assessment of the multiple components of the variability in the adenoma detection rate in sigmoidoscopy screening, and lessons for training. Endoscopy, 2010, 42, 448-455.	1.8	18
117	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2008 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2010, 34, 53-72.	1.1	16
118	Comparison between endoscopic and surgical treatment of screen-detected vs. non-screen-detected colorectal cancers. Ecancermedicalscience, 2009, 3, 142.	1.1	1
119	Diagnostic Accuracy of Computed Tomographic Colonography for the Detection of Advanced Neoplasia in Individuals at Increased Risk of Colorectal Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2009, 301, 2453.	7.4	199
120	Time trends of process and impact indicators in Italian breast screening programmes: 1998-2007. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2009, 33, 29-39.	1.1	5
121	Reproducibility of bowel ultrasonography in the evaluation of Crohn's disease. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2008, 40, 860-866.	0.9	108
122	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2006 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2008, 32, 55-68.	1.1	16
123	Comparing Attendance and Detection Rate of Colonoscopy With Sigmoidoscopy and FIT for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2007, 132, 2304-2312.	1.3	241
124	Barrett's oesophagus: longâ€ŧerm followâ€up after complete ablation with argon plasma coagulation and the factors that determine its recurrence. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2007, 25, 835-840.	3.7	44
125	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2005 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2007, 31, 49-60.	1.1	30
126	History of negative colorectal endoscopy and risk of rectosigmoid neoplasms at screening flexible sigmoidoscopy. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2006, 21, 105-113.	2.2	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Randomized Trial of Different Screening Strategies for Colorectal Cancer: Patient Response and Detection Rates. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2005, 97, 347-357.	6.3	178
128	Early diagnosis, not differential treatment, explains better survival in service screening. European Journal of Cancer, 2005, 41, 2728-2734.	2.8	42
129	Predicting Proximal Advanced Neoplasms at Screening Sigmoidoscopy. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2004, 47, 1331-1340.	1.3	17
130	Predictive value of rectal bleeding for distal colonic neoplastic lesions in a screened population. European Journal of Cancer, 2004, 40, 245-252.	2.8	20
131	Baseline Findings of the Italian Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial of "Once-Only Sigmoidoscopy"SCORE. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2002, 94, 1763-1772.	6.3	206
132	Flexible sigmoidoscopy as a colorectal cancer screening test in the general population: recruitment phase results of a randomized controlled trial in Lombardia, Italy. Chirurgia Italiana, 2000, 52, 257-62.	0.2	3
133	Comparing Participants and Nonparticipants in a Smoking Cessation Trial Selection Factors Associated With General Practitioner Recruitment Activity. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 1999, 52, 83-89.	5.0	22
134	Predictors of Smoking Cessation Following Physician' Counseling. Preventive Medicine, 1998, 27, 412-421.	3.4	61
135	Interaction of spontaneous and organised screening for cervical cancer in Turin, Italy. European Journal of Cancer, 1997, 33, 1262-1267.	2.8	36
136	Screening for Colorectal Cancer by Once Only Sigmoidoscopy: A Feasibility Study in Turin, Italy. Journal of Medical Screening, 1996, 3, 72-78.	2.3	44
137	A randomized trial of smoking cessation interventions in general practice in Italy. Cancer Causes and Control, 1991, 2, 239-246.	1.8	77