Carlo Senore

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7671325/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

50276 54911 7,939 137 46 84 citations h-index g-index papers 141 141 141 6749 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Once-Only Sigmoidoscopy in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Follow-up Findings of the Italian Randomized Controlled Trial–SCORE. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2011, 103, 1310-1322.	6.3	539
2	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2017, 49, 378-397.	1.8	533
3	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis: Overview and introduction to the full Supplement publication. Endoscopy, 2012, 45, 51-59.	1.8	356
4	Efficacy and safety of endoscopic resection of large colorectal polyps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut, 2016, 65, 806-820.	12.1	301
5	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline – Update 2020. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, 687-700.	1.8	255
6	Comparing Attendance and Detection Rate of Colonoscopy With Sigmoidoscopy and FIT for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2007, 132, 2304-2312.	1.3	241
7	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 843-864.	1.8	232
8	Baseline Findings of the Italian Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial of "Once-Only Sigmoidoscopy"SCORE. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2002, 94, 1763-1772.	6.3	206
9	Colorectal cancer incidence, mortality, and stage distribution in European countries in the colorectal cancer screening era: an international population-based study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2021, 22, 1002-1013.	10.7	203
10	Diagnostic Accuracy of Computed Tomographic Colonography for the Detection of Advanced Neoplasia in Individuals at Increased Risk of Colorectal Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2009, 301, 2453.	7.4	199
11	Randomized Trial of Different Screening Strategies for Colorectal Cancer: Patient Response and Detection Rates. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2005, 97, 347-357.	6.3	178
12	Status of implementation and organization of cancer screening in The European Union Member Statesâ€"Summary results from the second European screening report. International Journal of Cancer, 2018, 142, 44-56.	5.1	169
13	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2017, 5, 309-334.	3.8	149
14	Effect of organised cervical cancer screening on cervical cancer mortality in Europe: a systematic review. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 127, 207-223.	2.8	120
15	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ Colonoscopic surveillance following adenoma removal. Endoscopy, 2012, 44, SE151-SE163.	1.8	119
16	Participation rates for organized colorectal cancer screening programmes: an international comparison. Journal of Medical Screening, 2015, 22, 119-126.	2.3	115
17	Split-dose preparation for colonoscopy increases adenoma detection rate: a randomised controlled trial in an organised screening programme. Gut, 2017, 66, 270-277.	12.1	113
18	Narrow-Band Imaging for Detection of Neoplasia at Colonoscopy: A Meta-analysis of Data From Individual Patients in Randomized Controlled Trials. Gastroenterology, 2019, 157, 462-471.	1.3	113

#	Article	lF	Citations
19	Performance of colorectal cancer screening in the European Union Member States: data from the second European screening report. Gut, 2019, 68, 1232-1244.	12.1	113
20	Detection rate of serrated polyps and serrated polyposis syndrome in colorectal cancer screening cohorts: a European overview. Gut, 2017, 66, 1225-1232.	12.1	112
21	Reproducibility of bowel ultrasonography in the evaluation of Crohn's disease. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2008, 40, 860-866.	0.9	108
22	Colon capsule versus CT colonography in patients with incomplete colonoscopy: a prospective, comparative trial. Gut, 2015, 64, 272-281.	12.1	107
23	High Rate of Advanced Adenoma Detection in 4 Rounds of Colorectal Cancer Screening With the Fecal Immunochemical Test. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2012, 10, 633-638.	4.4	103
24	Impact of colorectal cancer screening on cancer-specific mortality in Europe: A systematic review. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 127, 224-235.	2.8	101
25	Effectiveness of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening in men and women and different age groups: pooled analysis of randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 356, i6673.	2.3	100
26	Optimizing the Quality of Colorectal Cancer Screening Worldwide. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 404-417.	1.3	98
27	Optimising colorectal cancer screening acceptance: a review. Gut, 2015, 64, 1158-1177.	12.1	92
28	Rationale and design of the European Polyp Surveillance (EPoS) trials. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 571-578.	1.8	90
29	Role of gastrointestinal endoscopy in the screening of digestive tract cancers in Europe: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, 293-304.	1.8	87
30	Performance measures for ERCP and endoscopic ultrasound: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2018, 50, 1116-1127.	1.8	80
31	Quality of colonoscopy in an organised colorectal cancer screening programme with immunochemical faecal occult blood test: the EQuIPE study (Evaluating Quality Indicators of the) Tj ETQq $1\ 1\ 0.7$	8432114 rgl	3T†®verlock
32	A randomized trial of smoking cessation interventions in general practice in Italy. Cancer Causes and Control, 1991, 2, 239-246.	1.8	77
33	Diagnostic reproducibility of tumour budding in colorectal cancer: a multicentre, multinational study using virtual microscopy. Histopathology, 2012, 61, 562-575.	2.9	76
34	Evidence for reducing cancer-specific mortality due to screening for breast cancer in Europe: A systematic review. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 127, 191-206.	2.8	76
35	Acceptability and side-effects of colonoscopy and sigmoidoscopy in a screening setting. Journal of Medical Screening, 2011, 18, 128-134.	2.3	73
36	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition – Quality assurance in endoscopy in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. Endoscopy, 2012, 44, SE88-SE105.	1.8	72

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Comparing Different Strategies for Colorectal Cancer Screening in Italy: Predictors of Patients' Participation. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2010, 105, 188-198.	0.4	64
38	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 629-656.	3.8	62
39	Predictors of Smoking Cessation Following Physician' Counseling. Preventive Medicine, 1998, 27, 412-421.	3.4	61
40	Performance measures for smallâ€bowel endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2019, 7, 614-641.	3.8	60
41	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. Endoscopy, 2015, 48, 81-89.	1.8	59
42	Full-spectrum (FUSE) versus standard forward-viewing colonoscopy in an organised colorectal cancer screening programme. Gut, 2017, 66, gutjnl-2016-311906.	12.1	59
43	Systematic review with metaâ€nalysis: the incidence of advanced neoplasia after polypectomy in patients with and without lowâ€risk adenomas. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2014, 39, 905-912.	3.7	55
44	Detection rate and predictive factors of sessile serrated polyps in an organised colorectal cancer screening programme with immunochemical faecal occult blood test: the EQuIPE study (Evaluating) Tj ETQq0 0 (O r g:B.Ti /Ov	erl s⁄¢ k 10 Tf !
45	Colon capsule endoscopy in colorectal cancer screening: a systematic review. Endoscopy, 2021, 53, 815-824.	1.8	53
46	Offering people a choice for colorectal cancer screening. Gut, 2013, 62, 735-740.	12.1	50
47	Population Based Cancer Screening Programmes as a Teachable Moment for Primary Prevention Interventions. A Review of the Literature. Frontiers in Oncology, 2012, 2, 45.	2.8	48
48	Screening for Colorectal Cancer by Once Only Sigmoidoscopy: A Feasibility Study in Turin, Italy. Journal of Medical Screening, 1996, 3, 72-78.	2.3	44
49	Barrett's oesophagus: longâ€ŧerm followâ€up after complete ablation with argon plasma coagulation and the factors that determine its recurrence. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2007, 25, 835-840.	3.7	44
50	Early diagnosis, not differential treatment, explains better survival in service screening. European Journal of Cancer, 2005, 41, 2728-2734.	2.8	42
51	Barriers against split-dose bowel preparation for colonoscopy. Gut, 2017, 66, 1428-1433.	12.1	39
52	Diminutive Polyps With Advanced Histologic Features Do Not Increase Risk for Metachronous Advanced Colon Neoplasia. Gastroenterology, 2019, 156, 623-634.e3.	1.3	39
53	Interaction of spontaneous and organised screening for cervical cancer in Turin, Italy. European Journal of Cancer, 1997, 33, 1262-1267.	2.8	36
54	Accuracy of colon capsule endoscopy for advanced neoplasia. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020, 91, 406-414.e1.	1.0	36

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	International Perspective on the Burden of Colorectal Cancer and Public Health Effects. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 447-452.	1.3	36
56	Performance measures for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic ultrasound: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2018, 6, 1448-1460.	3.8	35
57	New and Recurrent Colorectal Cancers After Resection: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Endoscopic Surveillance Studies. Gastroenterology, 2019, 156, 1309-1323.e3.	1.3	35
58	Faecal haemoglobin concentration among subjects with negative FIT results is associated with the detection rate of neoplasia at subsequent rounds: a prospective study in the context of population based screening programmes in Italy. Gut, 2020, 69, 523-530.	12.1	33
59	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 30-41.	3.8	31
60	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2005 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2007, 31, 49-60.	1.1	30
61	Sorting out measures and definitions of screening participation to improve comparability: The example of colorectal cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2014, 50, 434-446.	2.8	27
62	A comparative effectiveness trial of two faecal immunochemical tests for haemoglobin (FIT). Assessment of test performance and adherence in a single round of a population-based screening programme for colorectal cancer. Gut, 2018, 67, 485-496.	12.1	27
63	Linked-color imaging versus white-light colonoscopy in an organized colorectal cancer screening program. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020, 92, 723-730.	1.0	27
64	Invitation strategies for colorectal cancer screening programmes: The impact of an advance notification letter. Preventive Medicine, 2015, 73, 106-111.	3.4	26
65	Comparing CT colonography and flexible sigmoidoscopy: a randomised trial within a population-based screening programme. Gut, 2017, 66, 1434-1440.	12.1	26
66	Appropriateness of endoscopic surveillance recommendations in organised colorectal cancer screening programmes based on the faecal immunochemical test. Gut, 2016, 65, 1822-1828.	12.1	25
67	Diagnostic yield and miss rate of EndoRings in an organized colorectal cancer screening program: the SMART (Study Methodology for ADR-Related Technology) trial. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2019, 89, 583-590.e1.	1.0	25
68	Colorectal Cancer Screening in the Novel Coronavirus Disease-2019 Era. Gastroenterology, 2020, 159, 1998-2003.	1.3	25
69	Riskâ€stratified strategies in population screening for colorectal cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 2022, 150, 397-405.	5.1	25
70	Long-Term Follow-up of the Italian Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening Trial. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2022, 175, 36-45.	3.9	25
71	Recommendations for a stepâ€wise comparative approach to the evaluation of new screening tests for colorectal cancer. Cancer, 2016, 122, 826-839.	4.1	24
72	Comparing Participants and Nonparticipants in a Smoking Cessation Trial Selection Factors Associated With General Practitioner Recruitment Activity. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 1999, 52, 83-89.	5.0	22

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Population screening for colorectal cancer by flexible sigmoidoscopy or CT colonography: study protocol for a multicenter randomized trial. Trials, 2014, 15, 97.	1.6	22
74	Predictive value of rectal bleeding for distal colonic neoplastic lesions in a screened population. European Journal of Cancer, 2004, 40, 245-252.	2.8	20
75	Performance measures for endoscopy services: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2019, 7, 21-44.	3.8	20
76	Adenoma detection by Endocuff-assisted versus standard colonoscopy in an organized screening program: the "ltaVision―randomized controlled trial. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 138-147.	1.8	20
77	How to enhance physician and public acceptance and utilisation of colon cancer screening recommendations. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2010, 24, 509-520.	2.4	19
78	A shift from distal to proximal neoplasia in the colon: a decade of polyps and CRC in Italy. BMC Gastroenterology, 2010, 10, 139.	2.0	19
79	Virtual microscopy for histology quality assurance of screen-detected polyps. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2010, 63, 916-920.	2.0	19
80	CT Colonography: Preliminary Assessment of a Double-Read Paradigm That Uses Computer-aided Detection as the First Reader. Radiology, 2013, 268, 743-751.	7.3	19
81	Computer-Aided Detection for Computed Tomographic Colonography Screening. Investigative Radiology, 2014, 49, 173-182.	6.2	19
82	Cost-effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening programmes using sigmoidoscopy and immunochemical faecal occult blood test. Journal of Medical Screening, 2019, 26, 76-83.	2.3	19
83	Socio-economic inequality of utilization of cancer testing in Europe: A cross-sectional study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2022, 26, 101733.	1.8	19
84	Assessment of the multiple components of the variability in the adenoma detection rate in sigmoidoscopy screening, and lessons for training. Endoscopy, 2010, 42, 448-455.	1.8	18
85	Predicting Proximal Advanced Neoplasms at Screening Sigmoidoscopy. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2004, 47, 1331-1340.	1.3	17
86	Flexible Sigmoidoscopy and CT Colonography Screening: Patients' Experience with and Factors for Undergoing Screeningâ€"Insight from the Proteus Colon Trial. Radiology, 2018, 286, 873-883.	7.3	17
87	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2011-2012 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2015, 39, 93-107.	1.1	17
88	Progress and Challenges in Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2012, 2012, 1-8.	1.5	16
89	The impact of different communication and organizational strategies on mammography screening uptake in women aged 40-45 years. European Journal of Public Health, 2012, 22, 413-418.	0.3	16
90	Hospital factors and patient characteristics in the treatment of colorectal cancer: a population based study. BMC Public Health, 2012, 12, 775.	2.9	16

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Colorectal cancer screening of immigrants to Italy. Figures from the 2013 National Survey. Preventive Medicine, 2015, 81, 132-137.	3.4	16
92	Management of Pt1 tumours removed by endoscopy during colorectal cancer screening: Outcome and treatment quality indicators. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1873-1879.	1.0	16
93	Invitation strategies and coverage in the population-based cancer screening programmes in the European Union. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2019, 28, 131-140.	1.3	16
94	The influence of health systems on breast, cervical and colorectal cancer screening: an overview of systematic reviews using health systems and implementation research frameworks. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2020, 25, 49-58.	1.7	16
95	Interventions to ensure follow-up of positive fecal immunochemical tests: An international survey of screening programs. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, 28, 51-53.	2.3	16
96	Epidemiology, clinical-treatment patterns and outcome in 256 hepatocellular carcinoma cases. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2013, 19, 3207.	3.3	16
97	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2006 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2008, 32, 55-68.	1.1	16
98	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy: 2008 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2010, 34, 53-72.	1.1	16
99	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition – Organisation. Endoscopy, 2012, 44, SE31-SE48.	1.8	15
100	Proportion and stage distribution of screen-detected and non-screen-detected colorectal cancer in nine European countries: an international, population-based study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2022, 7, 711-723.	8.1	15
101	Narrow band imaging vs. high definition colonoscopy for detection of colorectal adenomas in patients with positive faecal occult blood test: A randomised trial. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2014, 46, 803-807.	0.9	14
102	Interval colorectal cancers after negative faecal immunochemical test in a 13-year screening programme. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, 28, 131-139.	2.3	12
103	Results of a health systems approach to identify barriers to population-based cervical and colorectal cancer screening programmes in six European countries. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 1206-1211.	3.0	11
104	Principles for Evaluation of Surveillance After Removal of Colorectal Polyps: Recommendations From the World Endoscopy Organization. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 1529-1533.e4.	1.3	11
105	A comparison of different strategies used to invite subjects with a positive faecal occult blood test to a colonoscopy assessment. A randomised controlled trial in population-based screening programmes. Preventive Medicine, 2014, 65, 70-76.	3.4	10
106	Key indicators of organized cancer screening programs: Results from a Delphi study. Journal of Medical Screening, 2019, 26, 120-126.	2.3	10
107	Measures of longitudinal adherence to fecalâ€based colorectal cancer screening: Literature review and recommended approaches. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 149, 316-326.	5.1	10
108	Comparison of fecal sample collection methods for microbial analysis embedded within colorectal cancer screening programs. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2021, , cebp.0188.2021.	2.5	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Screening for colorectal cancer in Italy, 2009 survey. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2011, 35, 55-77.	1.1	10
110	A health systems approach to identifying barriers to breast cancer screening programmes. Methodology and application in six European countries. Health Policy, 2018, 122, 1198-1205.	3.0	9
111	Distribution of colorectal polyps: Implications for screening. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2017, 31, 481-488.	2.4	8
112	Number of Adenomas Removed and Colorectal Cancers Prevented in Randomized Trials of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 1059-1068.e2.	1.3	8
113	Endoscopic technological innovations for neoplasia detection in organized colorectal cancer screening programs: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2020, 92, 840-847.e9.	1.0	8
114	History of negative colorectal endoscopy and risk of rectosigmoid neoplasms at screening flexible sigmoidoscopy. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2006, 21, 105-113.	2.2	7
115	Histological features of advanced colorectal adenomas detected by endoscopy and fecal immunochemical test. Endoscopy, 2015, 47, 903-909.	1.8	7
116	The EU-TOPIA evaluation tool: An online modelling-based tool for informing breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening decisions in Europe. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2021, 22, 101392.	1.8	7
117	Prevalence of serrated polyposis syndrome in an FIT-based colorectal cancer screening cohort in Italy. Gut, 2017, 66, 1532-1533.	12.1	6
118	Key issues that need to be considered while revising the current annex of the European Council Recommendation (2003) on cancer screening. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 147, 9-13.	5.1	6
119	Extending Age Ranges in Breast Cancer Screening in Four European Countries: Model Estimations of Harm-to-Benefit Ratios. Cancers, 2021, 13, 3360.	3.7	6
120	Screening colonoscopy similarly prevented distal and proximal colorectal cancer: a prospective study among 55–69-year-olds. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 149, 118-126.	5.0	6
121	Vegan Diet Advice Might Benefit Liver Enzymes in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: an Open Observational Pilot Study. Journal of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, 2021, 30, 81-87.	0.9	5
122	Time trends of process and impact indicators in Italian breast screening programmes: 1998-2007. Epidemiologia E Prevenzione, 2009, 33, 29-39.	1.1	5
123	Assessing Generalizability of the Findings of Sigmoidoscopy Screening Trials: The Case of SCORE Trial. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2015, 107, 385.	6.3	4
124	Organization of surveillance in GI practice. Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2016, 30, 855-866.	2.4	4
125	Development and Validation of Three Regional Microsimulation Models for Predicting Colorectal Cancer Screening Benefits in Europe. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832098497.	0.9	4
126	Colonoscopy surveillance: guidelines for polyps and IBD. Minerva Gastroenterologica E Dietologica, 2016, 62, 207-22.	2.2	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Colorectal cancer incidence and mortality after negative fecal immunochemical tests by age 70: A prospective observational study. International Journal of Cancer, 2021, 149, 1257-1265.	5.1	3
128	Standardization of whole slide image morphologic assessment with definition of a new application: Digital slide dynamic morphometry. Journal of Pathology Informatics, 2011, 2, 48.	1.7	3
129	Flexible sigmoidoscopy as a colorectal cancer screening test in the general population: recruitment phase results of a randomized controlled trial in Lombardia, Italy. Chirurgia Italiana, 2000, 52, 257-62.	0.2	3
130	Comparing Colorectal Cancer Screening Outcomes in the International Cancer Screening Network: A Consortium Proposal. Gastroenterology, 2022, 162, 668-674.	1.3	2
131	Promoting healthy lifestyle habits among participants in cancer screening programs: Results of the randomized controlled Sti.Vi study. Journal of Public Health Research, 2022, 11, 227990362211065.	1.2	2
132	Comparison between endoscopic and surgical treatment of screen-detected vs. non-screen-detected colorectal cancers. Ecancermedicalscience, 2009, 3, 142.	1.1	1
133	Monitoring the performance of sigmoidoscopy screening: the need for a comprehensive approach. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019, 4, 192-193.	8.1	1
134	Promises and Potential Pitfalls of Shared Decision Making in Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 802-805.	1.3	1
135	Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2012, 2012, 1-2.	1.5	O
136	FIT and M2-PK: a marriage of convenience!. Internal and Emergency Medicine, 2017, 12, 281-282.	2.0	0
137	Do We Need a New Paradigm for Assessing the Accuracy of Fecal Immunochemical Test Screening?. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 18, 2873-2875.	4.4	O