

Carole I McCartney

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7554917/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

24
papers

280
citations

1040056

9
h-index

940533

16
g-index

25
all docs

25
docs citations

25
times ranked

124
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The effectiveness of the current use of forensic <scp>DNA</scp> in criminal investigations in England and Wales. <i>Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Forensic Science</i> , 2021, 3, e1414.	2.1	8
2	The UK forensic science regulator: Fit for purpose?. <i>Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Forensic Science</i> , 2021, 3, e1415.	2.1	3
3	To the exclusion of all others? DNA profile and transfer mechanicsâ€™R v Jones (William Francis) [2020] EWCA Crim 1021 (03 Aug 2020). <i>International Journal of Evidence and Proof</i> , 2021, 25, 135-140.	0.4	2
4	Ethics as Lived Practice. Anticipatory Capacity and Ethical Decision-Making in Forensic Genetics. <i>Genes</i> , 2021, 12, 1868.	2.4	11
5	Gaughran vs the UK and public acceptability of forensic biometrics retention. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2020, 60, 204-205.	2.1	1
6	Police retention and storage of evidence in England and Wales. <i>International Journal of Police Science and Management</i> , 2020, 22, 123-136.	1.3	4
7	Streamlined forensic reporting: Rhetoric and reality. <i>Forensic Science International (Online)</i> , 2019, 1, 83-85.	1.3	8
8	Accreditation of forensic science service providers. <i>Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine</i> , 2019, 65, 143-145.	1.0	9
9	The effectiveness of the UK national DNA database. <i>Forensic Science International (Online)</i> , 2019, 1, 45-55.	1.3	29
10	Exacerbating injustice: Post-conviction disclosure in England and Wales. <i>International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice</i> , 2019, 59, 100323.	0.8	3
11	The UK National DNA Database: Implementation of the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2018, 284, 117-128.	2.2	16
12	Commentary: Disclosure in the criminal justice system. <i>Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine</i> , 2018, 58, 72-73.	1.0	5
13	Forensic data exchange: ensuring integrity. <i>Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2015, 47, 36-48.	1.2	23
14	Opting in and Opting Out: Doing the Hokey Cokey with EU Policing and Judicial Cooperation. <i>The Journal of Criminal Law</i> , 2013, 77, 543-561.	0.2	12
15	Of Weighty Reasons and Indiscriminate Blankets: The Retention of DNA for Forensic Purposes. <i>Howard Journal of Criminal Justice</i> , 2012, 51, 245-260.	0.7	10
16	Transnational Exchange of Forensic DNA: Viability, Legitimacy, and Acceptability. <i>European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research</i> , 2011, 17, 305-322.	1.9	37
17	Lowering the Drawbridges: Legal and Forensic Science Education for the 21st Century. <i>Forensic Science Policy and Management</i> , 2011, 2, 81-93.	0.5	7
18	The DNA revolution and forensic futures. <i>Criminal Justice Matters</i> , 2010, 81, 26-27.	0.0	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	‘Of weighty reasons and indiscriminate blankets: The retention of DNA for forensic purposes’. , 2010, , .		0
20	Reply: LCN DNA: proof beyond reasonable doubt? â€™ a response. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2008, 9, 726-726.	16.3	3
21	LCN DNA: proof beyond reasonable doubt?. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2008, 9, 325-325.	16.3	13
22	The DNA Expansion Programme and Criminal Investigation. British Journal of Criminology, 2006, 46, 175-192.	2.1	44
23	The Innocence Network UK. Legal Ethics, 2004, 7, 150-154.	0.2	2
24	Forensic DNA Sampling and the England and Wales National DNA Database: A Sceptical Approach. Critical Criminology, 2004, 12, 157-178.	1.1	29