
## Carole I Mccartney

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7554917/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The DNA Expansion Programme and Criminal Investigation. British Journal of Criminology, 2006, 46, 175-192.	2.1	44
2	Transnational Exchange of Forensic DNA: Viability, Legitimacy, and Acceptability. European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, 2011, 17, 305-322.	1.9	37
3	Forensic DNA Sampling and the England and Wales National DNA Database: A Sceptical Approach. Critical Criminology, 2004, 12, 157-178.	1.1	29
4	The effectiveness of the UK national DNA database. Forensic Science International (Online), 2019, 1, 45-55.	1.3	29
5	Forensic data exchange: ensuring integrity. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2015, 47, 36-48.	1.2	23
6	The UK National DNA Database: Implementation of the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012. Forensic Science International, 2018, 284, 117-128.	2.2	16
7	LCN DNA: proof beyond reasonable doubt?. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2008, 9, 325-325.	16.3	13
8	Opting in and Opting Out: Doing the Hokey Cokey with EU Policing and Judicial Cooperation. The Journal of Criminal Law, 2013, 77, 543-561.	0.2	12
9	Ethics as Lived Practice. Anticipatory Capacity and Ethical Decision-Making in Forensic Genetics. Genes, 2021, 12, 1868.	2.4	11
10	Of Weighty Reasons and Indiscriminate Blankets: The Retention of DNA for Forensic Purposes. Howard Journal of Criminal Justice, 2012, 51, 245-260.	0.7	10
11	Accreditation of forensic science service providers. Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine, 2019, 65, 143-145.	1.0	9
12	Streamlined forensic reporting: Rhetoric and reality. Forensic Science International (Online), 2019, 1, 83-85.	1.3	8
13	The effectiveness of the current use of forensic <scp>DNA</scp> in criminal investigations in England and Wales. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Forensic Science, 2021, 3, e1414.	2.1	8
14	Lowering the Drawbridges: Legal and Forensic Science Education for the 21st Century. Forensic Science Policy and Management, 2011, 2, 81-93.	0.5	7
15	Commentary: Disclosure in the criminal justice system. Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine, 2018, 58, 72-73.	1.0	5
16	Police retention and storage of evidence in England and Wales. International Journal of Police Science and Management, 2020, 22, 123-136.	1.3	4
17	Reply: LCN DNA: proof beyond reasonable doubt? — a response. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2008, 9, 726-726.	16.3	3
18	Exacerbating injustice: Post-conviction disclosure in England and Wales. International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice, 2019, 59, 100323.	0.8	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The UK forensic science regulator: Fit for purpose?. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Forensic Science, 2021, 3, e1415.	2.1	3
20	The Innocence Network UK. Legal Ethics, 2004, 7, 150-154.	0.2	2
21	To the exclusion of all others? DNA profile and transfer mechanics—R v Jones (William Francis) [2020] EWCA Crim 1021 (03 Aug 2020). International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2021, 25, 135-140.	0.4	2
22	The DNA revolution and forensic futures. Criminal Justice Matters, 2010, 81, 26-27.	0.0	1
23	Gaughran vs the UK and public acceptability of forensic biometrics retention. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2020, 60, 204-205.	2.1	1
24	'Of weighty reasons and indiscriminate blankets: The retention of DNA for forensic purposes'. , 2010, , .		0