List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7527491/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Alogliptin after Acute Coronary Syndrome in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. New England Journal of Medicine, 2013, 369, 1327-1335.	27.0	2,261
2	A Network Algorithm for Performing Fisher's Exact Test in <i>r</i> × <i>c</i> Contingency Tables. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1983, 78, 427-434.	3.1	729
3	Heart failure and mortality outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes taking alogliptin versus placebo in EXAMINE: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind trial. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 2067-2076.	13.7	659
4	A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Oral Propranolol in Infantile Hemangioma. New England Journal of Medicine, 2015, 372, 735-746.	27.0	601
5	Exact logistic regression: Theory and examples. Statistics in Medicine, 1995, 14, 2143-2160.	1.6	408
6	Adaptive Designs for Clinical Trials. New England Journal of Medicine, 2016, 375, 65-74.	27.0	335
7	Adaptive increase in sample size when interim results are promising: A practical guide with examples. Statistics in Medicine, 2011, 30, 3267-3284.	1.6	237
8	Exact Confidence Intervals Following a Group Sequential Test. Biometrics, 1984, 40, 797.	1.4	199
9	The potential role and rationale for treatment of heart failure with sodium–glucose coâ€transporter 2 inhibitors. European Journal of Heart Failure, 2017, 19, 1390-1400.	7.1	139
10	EXamination of CArdiovascular OutcoMes with AlogliptIN versus Standard of CarE in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Acute Coronary Syndrome (EXAMINE). American Heart Journal, 2011, 162, 620-626.e1.	2.7	138
11	Optimizing Trial Design. Circulation, 2009, 119, 597-605.	1.6	129
12	Vosaroxin plus cytarabine versus placebo plus cytarabine in patients with first relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukaemia (VALOR): a randomised, controlled, double-blind, multinational, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2015, 16, 1025-1036.	10.7	129
13	The future of drug development: advancing clinical trial design. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 2009, 8, 949-957.	46.4	127
14	Sample Size Re-Estimation for Adaptive Sequential Design in Clinical Trials. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 2008, 18, 1184-1196.	0.8	93
15	A hybrid algorithm for fisher's exact test in unordered rxc contingency tables. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 1986, 15, 387-403.	1.0	70
16	Flexible Sample Size Considerations Using Information-Based Interim Monitoring. Drug Information Journal, 2001, 35, 1095-1112.	0.5	70
17	Importance Sampling for Estimating Exact Probabilities in Permutational Inference. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1988, 83, 999-1005.	3.1	68
18	Testing a Primary and a Secondary Endpoint in a Group Sequential Design. Biometrics, 2010, 66, 1174-1184.	1.4	58

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Biomarker driven population enrichment for adaptive oncology trials with time to event endpoints. Statistics in Medicine, 2014, 33, 4515-4531.	1.6	54
20	Relationship of glycated haemoglobin and reported hypoglycaemia to cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome events: <scp>T</scp> he <scp>EXAMINE</scp> trial. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism, 2017, 19, 664-671.	4.4	53
21	An adaptive population enrichment phase III trial of TRC105 and pazopanib versus pazopanib alone in patients with advanced angiosarcoma (TAPPAS trial). Annals of Oncology, 2019, 30, 103-108.	1.2	53
22	Exact Power of Conditional and Unconditional Tests: Going beyond the 2 × 2 Contingency Table. American Statistician, 1993, 47, 91-98.	1.6	48
23	Cardiovascular Mortality in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Recent Acute Coronary Syndromes From the EXAMINE Trial. Diabetes Care, 2016, 39, 1267-1273.	8.6	47
24	Adaptive, group sequential and decision theoretic approaches to sample size determination. Statistics in Medicine, 2006, 25, 3250-3269.	1.6	35
25	Repeated confidence intervals for adaptive group sequential trials. Statistics in Medicine, 2007, 26, 5422-5433.	1.6	34
26	Design and Monitoring of Multi-Arm Multi-Stage Clinical Trials. Biometrics, 2017, 73, 1289-1299.	1.4	33
27	Comparison of Exact, Mid-p, and Mantel–Haenszel Confidence Intervals for the Common Odds Ratio Across Several 2 × 2 Contingency Tables. American Statistician, 1992, 46, 146-150.	1.6	32
28	Exact Confidence Bounds Following Adaptive Group Sequential Tests. Biometrics, 2009, 65, 539-546.	1.4	32
29	Exact Stratified Linear Rank Tests for Ordered Categorical and Binary Data. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 1992, 1, 21-40.	1.7	31
30	Power comparisons for tests of trend in dose-response studies. Statistics in Medicine, 2000, 19, 3037-3050.	1.6	30
31	Highâ€sensitivity <scp>C</scp> â€reactive protein, lowâ€density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes in the <scp>EXAMINE</scp> ( <scp>Examination of) Tj ETQq1 1 0.7843 Metabolism, 2018, 20, 654-659.</scp>	814 rgBT / 4.4	Oyerlock 10
32	Population Enrichment Designs: Case Study of a Large Multinational Trial. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 2011, 21, 831-845.	0.8	26
33	Computational tools for exact conditional logistic regression. Statistics in Medicine, 2001, 20, 2723-2739.	1.6	24
34	Adaptive extensions of a twoâ€stage group sequential procedure for testing primary and secondary endpoints (I): unknown correlation between the endpoints. Statistics in Medicine, 2012, 31, 2027-2040.	1.6	24
35	Exact inference for adaptive group sequential designs. Statistics in Medicine, 2013, 32, 3991-4005.	1.6	24
36	Reassessing the Role of Surrogate End Points in Drug Development for Heart Failure. Circulation, 2018, 138, 1039-1053.	1.6	24

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	An Exact Trend Test for Correlated Binary Data. Biometrics, 2001, 57, 941-948.	1.4	23
38	REDUCE-IT INTERIM: accumulation of data across prespecified interim analyses to final results. European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy, 2021, 7, e61-e63.	3.0	23
39	EFFICIENCY ROBUST TESTS OF INDEPENDENCE IN CONTINGENCY TABLES WITH ORDERED CLASSIFICATIONS. Statistics in Medicine, 1996, 15, 2095-2105.	1.6	21
40	A Gatekeeping Procedure to Test a Primary and a Secondary Endpoint in a Group Sequential Design with Multiple Interim Looks. Biometrics, 2018, 74, 40-48.	1.4	16
41	An objective reâ€evaluation of adaptive sample size reâ€estimation: commentary on â€`Twentyâ€fiveÂyears of confirmatory adaptive designs'. Statistics in Medicine, 2016, 35, 350-358.	1.6	13
42	Optimal promising zone designs. Biometrical Journal, 2019, 61, 1175-1186.	1.0	12
43	Importance Sampling for Estimating Exact Probabilities in Permutational Inference. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1988, 83, 999.	3.1	12
44	Economic Evaluation of Cost and Time Required for a Platform Trial vs Conventional Trials. JAMA Network Open, 2022, 5, e2221140.	5.9	12
45	Adaptive Sequential Testing for Multiple Comparisons. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 2014, 24, 1035-1058.	0.8	10
46	Adaptive multiarm multistage clinical trials. Statistics in Medicine, 2020, 39, 1084-1102.	1.6	8
47	Blood and Urine Biomarkers Predicting Worsening Kidney Function in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Post-Acute Coronary Syndrome: An Analysis from the EXAMINE Trial. American Journal of Nephrology, 2021, 52, 969-976.	3.1	8
48	Adaptive designs for noninferiority trials. Biometrical Journal, 2013, 55, 310-321.	1.0	6
49	Ischemic cardiac outcomes and hospitalizations according to prior macrovascular disease status in patients with type 2 diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome from the Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes with Alogliptin versus Standard of Care trial. American Heart Journal, 2016, 175, 18-27.	2.7	6
50	Efficiency Considerations for Group Sequential Designs with Adaptive Unblinded Sample Size Re-assessment. Statistics in Biosciences, 2018, 10, 405-419.	1.2	6
51	Some empirical comparisons of exact, modified exact, and higher-order asymptotic tests of independence for ordered categorical variables. Communications in Statistics Part B: Simulation and Computation, 1993, 22, 1-18.	1.2	5
52	Statistical Considerations for Cardiovascular Outcome Trials in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research, 2017, 9, 347-360.	0.8	5
53	Total cardiovascular events analysis of the EXAMINE trial in patients with type 2 diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome. Clinical Cardiology, 2018, 41, 1022-1027.	1.8	5
54	Optimal adaptive promising zone designs. Statistics in Medicine, 2022, 41, 1950-1970.	1.6	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Robust group sequential designs for trials with survival endpoints and delayed response. Biometrical Journal, 2022, 64, 343-360.	1.0	5
56	Adaptive clinical trial designs with preâ€specified rules for modifying the sample size: a different perspective. Statistics in Medicine, 2013, 32, 1276-1279.	1.6	4
57	Timing of randomization after an acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. American Heart Journal, 2020, 229, 40-51.	2.7	4
58	A Consultant's Perspective on the Regulatory Hurdles to Adaptive Trials. Biometrical Journal, 2006, 48, 604-608.	1.0	3
59	Authors' response to "Comment on adaptive increase in sample size when interim results are promisingâ€: Statistics in Medicine, 2011, 30, 3302-3303.	1.6	3
60	Comments on "Some Challenges with Statistical Inference in Adaptive Designs―by Hung, Wang, and Yang. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 2016, 26, 402-404.	0.8	3
61	Commentary on Freidlin and Korn. Clinical Trials, 2017, 14, 605-608.	1.6	3
62	Sample Size Reestimation for Confirmatory Clinical Trials. , 2012, , 81-108.		2
63	A <scp>simulationâ€based</scp> comparison of estimation methods for adaptive and classical group sequential clinical trials. Pharmaceutical Statistics, 2022, 21, 599-611.	1.3	2
64	Exact Inference for Adaptive Group Sequential Designs. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 2019, , 131-139.	0.2	0
65	Monte Carlo Simulation for Trial DesignÂTool. , 2020, , 1-23.		0