

# Bd Nicholson Mrcgp

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7497530/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

89  
papers

2,143  
citations

279798

23  
h-index

289244

40  
g-index

101  
all docs

101  
docs citations

101  
times ranked

3159  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the detection and management of colorectal cancer in England: a population-based study. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2021, 6, 199-208.	8.1	244
2	At what times during infection is SARS-CoV-2 detectable and no longer detectable using RT-PCR-based tests? A systematic review of individual participant data. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2020, 18, 346.	5.5	144
3	Blood CEA levels for detecting recurrent colorectal cancer. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2015, 2015, CD011134.	2.8	121
4	Implementation of non-communicable disease policies: a geopolitical analysis of 151 countries. <i>The Lancet Global Health</i> , 2020, 8, e50-e58.	6.3	100
5	Overtesting and undertesting in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e018557.	1.9	80
6	Can safety-netting improve cancer detection in patients with vague symptoms?. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2016, 355, i5515.	6.0	52
7	The diagnostic accuracy of a single CEA blood test in detecting colorectal cancer recurrence: Results from the FACS trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0171810.	2.5	52
8	Faecal immunochemical testing for adults with symptoms of colorectal cancer attending English primary care: a retrospective cohort study of 14487 consecutive test requests. <i>Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics</i> , 2020, 52, 1031-1041.	3.7	51
9	Excess mortality in the first COVID pandemic peak: cross-sectional analyses of the impact of age, sex, ethnicity, household size, and long-term conditions in people of known SARS-CoV-2 status in England. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2020, 70, e890-e898.	1.4	51
10	Identifying clinical features in primary care electronic health record studies: methods for codelist development. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e019637.	1.9	48
11	Understanding the role of GPs' gut feelings in diagnosing cancer in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis of existing evidence. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2020, 70, e612-e621.	1.4	48
12	Death audits and reviews for reducing maternal, perinatal and child mortality. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, 3, CD012982.	2.8	47
13	The Oxford Royal College of General Practitioners Clinical Informatics Digital Hub: Protocol to Develop Extended COVID-19 Surveillance and Trial Platforms. <i>JMIR Public Health and Surveillance</i> , 2020, 6, e19773.	2.6	44
14	Weight loss as a predictor of cancer in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e311-e322.	1.4	43
15	The Suspected CANcer (SCAN) pathway: protocol for evaluating a new standard of care for patients with non-specific symptoms of cancer. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e018168.	1.9	43
16	Early detection of multiple myeloma in primary care using blood tests: a case-control study in primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e586-e593.	1.4	42
17	Association Between Blood Pressure Control and Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outcomes in 45% Symptomatic Patients With Hypertension. <i>Hypertension</i> , 2021, 77, 846-855.	2.7	41
18	Faecal immunochemical testing (FIT) in patients with signs or symptoms of suspected colorectal cancer (CRC): a joint guideline from the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland (ACPGBI) and the British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG). <i>Gut</i> , 2022, 71, 1939-1962.	12.1	41

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Disparities in the excess risk of mortality in the first wave of COVID-19: Cross sectional study of the English sentinel network. <i>Journal of Infection</i> , 2020, 81, 785-792.	3.3	36
20	GPs' understanding and practice of safety netting for potential cancer presentations: a qualitative study in primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e505-e511.	1.4	35
21	The association between unexpected weight loss and cancer diagnosis in primary care: a matched cohort analysis of 65,000 presentations. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2020, 122, 1848-1856.	6.4	33
22	Perceptions on undertaking regular asymptomatic self-testing for COVID-19 using lateral flow tests: a qualitative study of university students and staff. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e053850.	1.9	33
23	A systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative studies exploring GPs' and nurses' perspectives on discussing weight with patients with overweight and obesity in primary care. <i>Obesity Reviews</i> , 2021, 22, e13151.	6.5	32
24	Metabolomic Biomarkers in Blood Samples Identify Cancers in a Mixed Population of Patients with Nonspecific Symptoms. <i>Clinical Cancer Research</i> , 2022, 28, 1651-1661.	7.0	28
25	Quantifying intervals to diagnosis in myeloma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e019758.	1.9	26
26	The diagnostic performance of current tumour markers in surveillance for recurrent testicular cancer: A diagnostic test accuracy systematic review. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 59, 15-21.	1.9	25
27	The mapping of cancer incidence and mortality trends in the UK from 1980-2013 reveals a potential for overdiagnosis. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2018, 8, 14663.	3.3	24
28	Determinants and extent of weight recording in UK primary care: an analysis of 5 million adults' electronic health records from 2000 to 2017. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2019, 17, 222.	5.5	24
29	Optimising GPs' communication of advice to facilitate patients' self-care and prompt follow-up when the diagnosis is uncertain: a realist review of "safety-netting" in primary care. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2022, 31, 541-554.	3.7	23
30	Influenza and Respiratory Virus Surveillance, Vaccine Uptake, and Effectiveness at a Time of Cocirculating COVID-19: Protocol for the English Primary Care Sentinel System for 2020-2021. <i>JMIR Public Health and Surveillance</i> , 2021, 7, e24341.	2.6	22
31	Home-use faecal immunochemical testing: primary care diagnostic technology update. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2015, 65, 156-158.	1.4	20
32	Medications that reduce emergency hospital admissions: an overview of systematic reviews and prioritisation of treatments. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2018, 16, 115.	5.5	19
33	General practitioner referrals to one-stop clinics for symptoms that could be indicative of cancer: a systematic review of use and clinical outcomes. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2019, 36, 255-261.	1.9	19
34	What carcinoembryonic antigen level should trigger further investigation during colorectal cancer follow-up? A systematic review and secondary analysis of a randomised controlled trial. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2017, 21, 1-60.	2.8	19
35	Consultations for clinical features of possible cancer and associated urgent referrals before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: an observational cohort study from English primary care. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2022, 126, 948-956.	6.4	19
36	Serum carcinoembryonic antigen trends for diagnosing colorectal cancer recurrence in the FACS randomized clinical trial. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 105, 658-662.	0.3	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Experience of adopting faecal immunochemical testing to meet the NICE colorectal cancer referral criteria for low-risk symptomatic primary care patients in Oxfordshire, UK. <i>Frontline Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, 10, 347-355.	1.8	18
38	Variation in Direct Access to Tests to Investigate Cancer: A Survey of English General Practitioners. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0159725.	2.5	16
39	Responsibility for follow-up during the diagnostic process in primary care: a secondary analysis of International Cancer Benchmarking Partnership data. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e323-e332.	1.4	15
40	Weight loss as a predictor of cancer and serious disease in primary care: an ISAC-approved CPRD protocol for a retrospective cohort study using routinely collected primary care data from the UK. <i>Diagnostic and Prognostic Research</i> , 2018, 2, 1.	1.8	15
41	Combining simple blood tests to identify primary care patients with unexpected weight loss for cancer investigation: Clinical risk score development, internal validation, and net benefit analysis. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2021, 18, e1003728.	8.4	15
42	Attitudes towards faecal immunochemical testing in patients at increased risk of colorectal cancer: an online survey of GPs in England. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e757-e764.	1.4	13
43	Direct access cancer testing in primary care: a systematic review of use and clinical outcomes. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e594-e603.	1.4	13
44	Prioritising primary care patients with unexpected weight loss for cancer investigation: diagnostic accuracy study. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2020, 370, m2651.	6.0	13
45	Feasibility and Acceptability of Community Coronavirus Disease 2019 Testing Strategies (FACTS) in a University Setting. <i>Open Forum Infectious Diseases</i> , 2021, 8, .	0.9	13
46	&lt;p&gt;The internal validation of weight and weight change coding using weight measurement data within the UK primary care Electronic Health Record&lt;/p&gt;. <i>Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, Volume 11, 145-155.	3.0	12
47	Measuring the complexity of general practice consultations: a Delphi and cross-sectional study in English primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2021, 71, e423-e431.	1.4	12
48	GPsâ€™ use of gut feelings when assessing cancer risk: a qualitative study in UK primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2021, 71, e356-e363.	1.4	12
49	When should unexpected weight loss warrant further investigation to exclude cancer?. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2019, 366, l5271.	2.3	11
50	Clinical prediction tools to identify patients at highest risk of myeloma in primary care: a retrospective open cohort study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2021, 71, e347-e355.	1.4	11
51	Quality improvements of safety-netting guidelines for cancer in UK primary care: insights from a qualitative interview study of GPs. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2019, 69, e819-e826.	1.4	11
52	Current and projected number of years of life lost due to prostate cancer: A global study. <i>Prostate</i> , 2022, 82, 1088-1097.	2.3	11
53	Non-specific symptoms-based pathways for diagnosing less common cancers in primary care: a service evaluation. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2021, 71, e846-e853.	1.4	10
54	Patient consultation rate and clinical and NHS outcomes: a cross-sectional analysis of English primary care data from 2.7 million patients in 238 practices. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2019, 19, 219.	2.2	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	How do GPs and patients share the responsibility for cancer safety netting follow-up actions? A qualitative interview study of GPs and patients in Oxfordshire, UK. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e029316.	1.9	9
56	CASNET2: evaluation of an electronic safety netting cancer toolkit for the primary care electronic health record: protocol for a pragmatic stepped-wedge RCT. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e038562.	1.9	9
57	Electronic cigarettes as a smoking cessation aid for patients with cancer: beliefs and behaviours of clinicians in the UK. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e037637.	1.9	9
58	Public preferences for using quantitative faecal immunochemical test versus colonoscopy as diagnostic test for colorectal cancer: evidence from an online survey. <i>BJGP Open</i> , 2020, 4, bjgpopen20X101007.	1.8	9
59	Detecting cancer in primary care: Where does early diagnosis stop and overdiagnosis begin?. <i>European Journal of Cancer Care</i> , 2017, 26, e12692.	1.5	7
60	The elusive diagnosis of cancer: testing times. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, 510-511.	1.4	7
61	Rapid community point-of-care testing for COVID-19 (RAPTOR-C19): protocol for a platform diagnostic study. <i>Diagnostic and Prognostic Research</i> , 2021, 5, 4.	1.8	7
62	The impact of primary care supported shielding on the risk of mortality in people vulnerable to COVID-19: English sentinel network matched cohort study. <i>Journal of Infection</i> , 2021, 83, 228-236.	3.3	7
63	Combining faecal immunochemical testing with blood test results for colorectal cancer risk stratification: a consecutive cohort of 16,604 patients presenting to primary care. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2022, 20, 116.	5.5	7
64	Trends in Cancer Antigen 125 testing 2003-2014: A primary care population-based cohort study using laboratory data. <i>European Journal of Cancer Care</i> , 2019, 28, e12914.	1.5	6
65	Variation in suspected cancer referral pathways in primary care: comparative analysis across the International Benchmarking Cancer Partnership. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2023, 73, e88-e94.	1.4	6
66	Death audits and reviews for reducing maternal, perinatal and child mortality. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2018, , .	2.8	5
67	Perceptions on undertaking regular asymptomatic self-testing for COVID-19 using lateral flow tests: a qualitative study of university students and staff. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e053850.	1.9	5
68	Harnessing the Electronic Health Care Record to Optimize Patient Safety in Primary Care: Framework for Evaluating eâ€œSafety-Netting Tools. <i>JMIR Medical Informatics</i> , 2022, 10, e35726.	2.6	5
69	Point-of-care <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> testing: primary care technology update. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2017, 67, 576-577.	1.4	4
70	Individual inflammatory marker abnormalities or inflammatory marker scores to identify primary care patients with unexpected weight loss for cancer investigation?. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2021, 124, 1540-1542.	6.4	4
71	Faecal immunochemical testing (FIT): sources of result variation based on three years of routine testing of symptomatic patients in English primary care. <i>British Journal of Biomedical Science</i> , 2021, 78, 211-217.	1.3	4
72	Assessing the appropriateness of information on childhood fever in thermometer package leaflets: a systematic audit of thermometers available in the UK. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2015, 65, e366-e371.	1.4	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Comment on: "Trends in the lifetime risk of developing cancer in great Britain: comparison of risk for those born from 1930 to 1960" cancer predictions need more context. British Journal of Cancer, 2015, 113, 1632-1632.	6.4	3
74	The Sore Throat Test and Treat Service: speed should not substitute science. British Journal of General Practice, 2017, 67, 110.1-110.	1.4	3
75	General practitioners' awareness of the recommendations for faecal immunochemical tests (FITs) for suspected lower gastrointestinal cancers: a national survey. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e025737.	1.9	3
76	Study into COVID-19 Crisis Using Primary Care Mental Health Consultations and Prescriptions Data. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 2021, 281, 759-763.	0.3	3
77	Interpreting negative test results when assessing cancer risk in general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 2021, 71, 298-299.	1.4	3
78	Ensuring Informed Decision-Making for Cancer Screening. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2021, 34, 435-438.	1.5	2
79	Building the case for the use of gut feelings in cancer referrals: perspectives of patients referred to a non-specific symptoms pathway. British Journal of General Practice, 2022, 72, e43-e50.	1.4	2
80	Comparison of methods for predicting COVID-19-related death in the general population using the OpenSAFELY platform. Diagnostic and Prognostic Research, 2022, 6, 6.	1.8	2
81	Strategies to implement SARS-CoV-2 point-of-care testing into primary care settings: a qualitative secondary analysis guided by the Behaviour Change Wheel. Implementation Science Communications, 2021, 2, 139.	2.2	2
82	Letter: extending FIT from DG30 to NG12 patients. Letter: faecal immunochemical testing for adults with symptoms of colorectal cancer " ready for prime time? Authors' reply: a unified approach to safety netting negative FITs is required. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2020, 52, 1420-1421.	3.7	2
83	UK endoscopy workload and workforce patterns: is there potential to increase capacity? A BSG analysis of the National Endoscopy Database. Frontline Gastroenterology, 2023, 14, 103-110.	1.8	2
84	National cancer control plans. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, e665.	10.7	1
85	Faecal immunochemical (rule-in) testing in general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 2019, 69, 178.1-178.	1.4	1
86	Bridging the gap between care: is speed dating the answer?. British Journal of General Practice, 2020, 70, 73-73.	1.4	0
87	Negotiating the risks of computed tomography in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2020, 70, 86-87.	1.4	0
88	Next-generation sequencing for guiding matched targeted therapies in people with relapsed or metastatic cancer. The Cochrane Library, 2021, 2021, .	2.8	0
89	Creating space for gut feelings in the diagnosis of cancer in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2022, 72, 210-211.	1.4	0