Zeynep B Kutuk

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7449950/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

20 397 9 19
papers citations h-index g-index

20 20 20 428

times ranked

citing authors

docs citations

all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Six-year clinical evaluation of bulk-fill and nanofill resin composite restorations. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2022, 26, 417-426.	3.0	24
2	Comparative evaluation of different adhesive strategies of a universal adhesive in class II bulk-fill restorations: A 48-month randomized controlled trial. Journal of Dentistry, 2022, 117, 103921.	4.1	6
3	Does a new formula have an input in the clinical success of posterior composite restorations? A chat study. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2021, 25, 1715-1727.	3.0	8
4	Influence of preprocedural antiseptic mouthrinses against COVID-19 on enamel/dentin bond strength of a universal adhesive. Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology, 2021, 35, 2288-2300.	2.6	3
5	A randomized controlled 10 years follow up of a glass ionomer restorative material in class I and class II cavities. Journal of Dentistry, 2020, 94, 103175.	4.1	39
6	Influence of modeling agents on the surface properties of an esthetic nano-hybrid composite. Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics, 2020, 45, e13.	1.5	10
7	An 18-month clinical evaluation of three different universal adhesives used with a universal flowable composite resin in the restoration of non-carious cervical lesions. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2019, 23, 1443-1452.	3.0	21
8	Mechanical properties and water sorption of two experimental glass ionomer cements with hydroxyapatite or calcium fluorapatite formulation. Dental Materials Journal, 2019, 38, 471-479.	1.8	12
9	Effects of in-office bleaching agent combined with different desensitizing agents on enamel. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2019, 27, e20180233.	1.8	29
10	Compressive Strength of New Glass Ionomer Cement Technology based Restorative Materials after Thermocycling and Cyclic Loading. Acta Stomatologica Croatica, 2019, 53, 318-325.	1.0	9
11	Mechanical performance of a newly developed glass hybrid restorative in the restoration of large MO Class 2 cavities. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice, 2019, 22, 833.	0.6	20
12	Bond strength of three different universal adhesives after different thermal cycling protocols. Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology, 2018, 32, 2741-2752.	2.6	3
13	Effect of various bleaching treatments on shear bond strength of different universal adhesives and application modes. Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics, 2018, 43, e20.	1.5	5
14	Comparison of two different composite resins used for tooth reshaping and diastema closure in a 4-year follow-up. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice, 2018, 21, 1098.	0.6	8
15	Clinical performance of a glass ionomer restorative system: a 6-year evaluation. Clinical Oral Investigations, 2017, 21, 2335-2343.	3.0	46
16	Thirty-Six-Month Clinical Comparison of Bulk Fill and Nanofill Composite Restorations. Operative Dentistry, 2017, 42, 478-485.	1.2	64
17	Comparison of two different methods of detecting residual caries. Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics, 2017, 42, 48.	1.5	8
18	Influence of extremely high irradiances on the micromechanical properties of a nano hybrid resin based composite. American Journal of Dentistry, 2017, 30, 9-15.	0.1	6

ZEYNEP B KUTUK

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Four-year Randomized Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Clinical Performance of a Glass Ionomer Restorative System. Operative Dentistry, 2015, 40, 134-143.	1.2	73
20	Güncel bir cam iyonomer restoratif sistemin 36-aylık klinik performansının değerlendirilmesi. Cumhuriyet Dental Journal, 2014, 17, 244.	0.3	3