## Stuart G Nicholls

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7413334/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

84 papers 1,810 citations

304743 22 h-index 302126 39 g-index

88 all docs 88 docs citations

88 times ranked 2614 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The reporting of studies conducted using observational routinely collected health data statement for pharmacoepidemiology (RECORD-PE). BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2018, 363, k3532.	2.3	268
2	The REporting of Studies Conducted Using Observational Routinely-Collected Health Data (RECORD) Statement: Methods for Arriving at Consensus and Developing Reporting Guidelines. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0125620.	2.5	144
3	Outcome Measurement in Clinical Genetics Services: A Systematic Review of Validated Measures. Value in Health, 2008, 11, 497-508.	0.3	86
4	Patient Empowerment in Clinical Genetics Services. Journal of Health Psychology, 2008, 13, 895-905.	2.3	83
5	The emotional effects of genetic diseases: Implications for clinical genetics. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2007, 143A, 2651-2661.	1.2	72
6	A Scoping Review of Empirical Research Relating to Quality and Effectiveness of Research Ethics Review. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0133639.	2.5	62
7	Antenatal Consultations at Extreme Prematurity: A Systematic Review of Parent Communication Needs. Journal of Pediatrics, 2018, 196, 109-115.e7.	1.8	59
8	The Human Genome Project, and recent advances in personalized genomics. Risk Management and Healthcare Policy, 2015, 8, 9.	2.5	55
9	Improving Service Evaluation in Clinical Genetics: Identifying Effects of Genetic Diseases on Individuals and Families. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2007, 16, 71-83.	1.6	50
10	Outcome measures for clinical genetics services: A comparison of genetics healthcare professionals and patients' views. Health Policy, 2007, 84, 112-122.	3.0	48
11	Too many crying babies: a systematic review of pain management practices during immunizations on YouTube. BMC Pediatrics, 2014, 14, 134.	1.7	46
12	What process attributes of clinical genetics services could maximise patient benefits?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2008, 16, 1467-1476.	2.8	39
13	Using YouTube to Disseminate Effective Vaccination Pain Treatment for Babies. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0164123.	2.5	36
14	Standards and classification: A perspective on the †obesity epidemic'. Social Science and Medicine, 2013, 87, 9-15.	3.8	34
15	The ethical challenges raised in the design and conduct of pragmatic trials: an interview study with key stakeholders. Trials, 2019, 20, 765.	1.6	30
16	Consent for newborn screening: parents' and health-care professionals' experiences of consent in practice. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1530-1534.	2.8	29
17	Routinely collected data: the importance of high-quality diagnostic coding to research. Cmaj, 2017, 189, E1054-E1055.	2.0	29
18	Of Parachutes and Participant Protection: Moving Beyond Quality to Advance Effective Research Ethics Oversight. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2019, 14, 190-196.	1.3	27

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Pain Management During Newborn Screening. Journal of Perinatal and Neonatal Nursing, 2017, 31, 172-177.	0.7	26
20	Cluster over individual randomization: are study design choices appropriately justified? Review of a random sample of trials. Clinical Trials, 2020, 17, 253-263.	1.6	24
21	Parental Decision-Making and Acceptance of Newborn Bloodspot Screening: An Exploratory Study. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e79441.	2.5	23
22	Neuroprotective Core Measure 5: Minimizing Stress and Painâ€"Neonatal Pain Management Practices During Heel Lance and Venipuncture in Ontario, Canada. Newborn and Infant Nursing Reviews, 2015, 15, 116-123.	0.4	23
23	A search filter to identify pragmatic trials in MEDLINE was highly specific but lacked sensitivity. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 124, 75-84.	5.0	22
24	Public attitudes towards genomic risk profiling as a component of routine population screening. Genome, 2013, 56, 626-633.	2.0	21
25	Developing a framework for the ethical design and conduct of pragmatic trials in healthcare: a mixed methods research protocol. Trials, 2018, 19, 525.	1.6	21
26	Reporting transparency: making the ethical mandate explicit. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 44.	5 <b>.</b> 5	20
27	A review of pragmatic trials found a high degree of diversity in design and scope, deficiencies in reporting and trial registry data, and poor indexing. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 45-57.	5.0	19
28	Proceduralisation, choice and parental reflections on decisions to accept newborn bloodspot screening. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2012, 38, 299-303.	1.8	18
29	Informed Choice for Newborn Blood Spot Screening in the United Kingdom: A Survey of Parental Perceptions. Pediatrics, 2012, 130, e1527-e1533.	2.1	18
30	The RECORD reporting guidelines: meeting the methodological and ethical demands of transparency in research using routinely-collected health data. Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, Volume 8, 389-392.	3.0	18
31	Parental information use in the context of newborn bloodspot screening. An exploratory mixed methods study. Journal of Community Genetics, 2012, 3, 251-257.	1.2	17
32	Benefits and burdens of newborn screening: public understanding and decision-making. Personalized Medicine, 2014, 11, 593-607.	1.5	17
33	A Parent-Targeted and Mediated Video Intervention to Improve Uptake of Pain Treatment for Infants During Newborn Screening. Journal of Perinatal and Neonatal Nursing, 2019, 33, 74-81.	0.7	17
34	Core Outcome Sets for Medium-Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency and Phenylketonuria. Pediatrics, 2021, 148, .	2.1	16
35	Knowledge or Understanding? Informed Choice in the Context of Newborn Bloodspot Screening. Public Health Ethics, 2010, 3, 128-136.	1.0	15
36	Reporting of consent rates in critical care studies: room for improvement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 74, 51-56.	5.0	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Outcomes in pediatric studies of medium-chain acyl-coA dehydrogenase (MCAD) deficiency and phenylketonuria (PKU): a review. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 2020, 15, 12.	2.7	15
38	Improving Social Justice in COVID-19 Health Research: Interim Guidelines for Reporting Health Equity in Observational Studies. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 9357.	2.6	13
39	Identification of translational dermatology research priorities in the U.K.: results of an electronic Delphi exercise. British Journal of Dermatology, 2015, 173, 1191-1198.	1.5	12
40	Attitudes to incorporating genomic risk assessments into population screening programs: the importance of purpose, context and deliberation. BMC Medical Genomics, 2016, 9, 25.	1.5	12
41	Informed consent in pragmatic trials: results from a survey of trials published 2014–2019. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2021, , medethics-2021-107765.	1.8	12
42	Personalized medicine and genome-based treatments: Why personalized medicine â‰â€‰individualized treatments. Clinical Ethics, 2014, 9, 135-144.	0.7	11
43	Patient and family engagement in the development of core outcome sets for two rare chronic diseases in children. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2021, 7, 66.	2.9	11
44	Impact of stated barriers on proposed warfarin prescription for atrial fibrillation: a survey of Canadian physicians. Thrombosis Journal, 2014, 12, 13.	2.1	10
45	Parents' evaluation of the IDEFICS intervention: an analysis focussing on socioâ€economic factors, child's weight status and intervention exposure. Obesity Reviews, 2015, 16, 103-118.	6.5	9
46	Education and Parental Involvement in Decisionâ€Making About Newborn Screening: Understanding Goals to Clarify Content. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2015, 24, 400-408.	1.6	9
47	The need for ethics as well as evidence in evidence-based medicine. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 77, 7-10.	5.0	9
48	Establishing core outcome sets for phenylketonuria (PKU) and medium-chain Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (MCAD) deficiency in children: study protocol for systematic reviews and Delphi surveys. Trials, 2017, 18, 603.	1.6	9
49	The importance of decision intent within descriptions of pragmatic trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 125, 30-37.	5.0	9
50	Fiscal food policy: Equity and practice. Perspectives in Public Health, 2011, 131, 157-158.	1.6	8
51	Reporting and Transparency in Big Data: The Nexus of Ethics and Methodology. Law, Governance and Technology Series, 2016, , 339-365.	0.4	7
52	Hospital Staff's Perceptions with Regards to the Baby-Friendly Initiative. Journal of Human Lactation, 2016, 32, 648-657.	1.6	7
53	Stakeholder views regarding ethical issues in the design and conduct of pragmatic trials: study protocol. BMC Medical Ethics, 2018, 19, 90.	2.4	7
54	Advanced consent for acute stroke trials. Lancet Neurology, The, 2021, 20, 170.	10.2	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Health screening needs independent regular re-evaluation. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n2049.	6.0	7
56	Revisions to the Common Rule: A proposal in search of evidence. Research Ethics, 2017, 13, 92-96.	1.7	6
57	Call for a pan-Canadian approach to ethics review in Canada. Cmaj, 2018, 190, E553-E555.	2.0	6
58	Reporting of health equity considerations in equity-relevant observational studies: Protocol for a systematic assessment. F1000Research, 0, 11, 615.	1.6	6
59	Reporting of key methodological and ethical aspects of cluster trials in hemodialysis require improvement: a systematic review. Trials, 2020, 21, 752.	1.6	5
60	Medical Summary Template for the Transfer of Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease from Pediatric to Adult Care. Journal of the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology, 2022, 5, 3-11.	0.3	5
61	Methodological challenges in pragmatic trials in Alzheimer's disease and related dementias: Opportunities for improvement. Clinical Trials, 2022, 19, 86-96.	1.6	5
62	Guidance relevant to the reporting of health equity in observational research: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056875.	1.9	5
63	Considering consent: a structural equation modelling analysis of factors influencing decisional quality when accepting newborn screening. Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease, 2014, 37, 197-205.	3.6	4
64	Stakeholder attitudes towards the role and application of informed consent for newborn bloodspot screening: a study protocol. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e006782.	1.9	4
65	Genetic discrimination legislation in Canada: moving from rhetoric to real debate. Cmaj, 2016, 188, 788-789.	2.0	4
66	The Importance of Describing as Well as Defining Usual Care. American Journal of Bioethics, 2020, 20, 56-58.	0.9	4
67	Commentary on "Regulatory Support Improves Subsequent IRB/REC Approval Rates in Studies Initially Deemed Not Ready for Review: A CTSA Institution's Experience― Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2018, 13, 145-147.	1.3	3
68	Development of a robotic walker for individuals with cerebral palsy. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 2020, 15, 643-651.	2.2	3
69	Ethical Issues in the Design and Conduct of Pragmatic Cluster Randomized Trials in Hemodialysis Care: An Interview Study With Key Stakeholders. Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease, 2020, 7, 205435812096411.	1.1	3
70	Priority research questions in atopic dermatitis: an International Eczema Council eDelphi consensus. British Journal of Dermatology, 2021, 185, 203-205.	1.5	3
71	Ethical considerations within pragmatic randomized controlled trials in dementia: Results from a literature survey. Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions, 2022, 8, e12287.	3.7	3
72	What is in a Name? Parent, Professional and Policy-Maker Conceptions of Consent-Related Language in the Context of Newborn Screening. Public Health Ethics, 2019, 12, 158-175.	1.0	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Review of pragmatic trials found that multiple primary outcomes are common but so too are discrepancies between protocols and final reports. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 143, 149-158.	5.0	2
74	A review identified challenges distinguishing primary reports of randomised trials for meta-research: a proposal for improved reporting. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, , .	5.0	1
75	$16~{\hat a}$ $\in$ "Public attitudes towards taxation and subsidisation as obesity intervention measures: results from the IDEFICS parental questionnaire. Public Health Nutrition, 2012, 15, 1556-1556.	2.2	O
76	$17~\rm \hat{a}$ $\in$ " Determinants of children's sedentary behaviour vary according to maternal weight status. Public Health Nutrition, 2012, 15, 1556-1557.	2.2	0
77	Development of a Powered Mobility Assistance Device for Individuals with Cerebral Palsy. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2016, 97, e85.	0.9	0
78	78â€A critical interpretive synthesis of recommendations for de-intensification and de-implementation from population screening (dimples). , 2018, , .		0
79	A protocol for a scoping review of equity measurement in mental health care for children and youth. Systematic Reviews, 2020, 9, 233.	<b>5.</b> 3	O
80	What outcomes are important in the recovery from acromio-clavicular (AC) joint pathology? A focus group study with patients and surgeons. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2020, , $1$ -9.	1.8	0
81	Patient Partner Perspectives Regarding Ethically and Clinically Important Aspects of Trial Design in Pragmatic Cluster Randomized Trials for Hemodialysis. Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease, 2021, 8, 205435812110328.	1.1	0
82	Use of Large Data Sets in Evaluating Program Outcome in Pediatric Hearing Loss. International Journal of Population Data Science, 2018, 3, .	0.1	0
83	Abstract OT2-21-01: A randomized, multicenter pragmatic trial comparing bone pain from a single dose of pegfilgrastim to 5 doses of daily filgrastim in breast cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant/adjuvant chemotherapy (REaCT-5G). Cancer Research, 2022, 82, OT2-21-01-OT2-21-01.	0.9	0
84	Families' healthcare experiences for children with inherited metabolic diseases: protocol for a mixed methods cohort study. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e055664.	1.9	0