

# Michal F Kaminski

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7044099/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

111  
papers

8,308  
citations

87888

38  
h-index

46799

89  
g-index

111  
all docs

111  
docs citations

111  
times ranked

6668  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Comparison of Quality Measures for Detection of Neoplasia at Screening Colonoscopy. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2023, 21, 200-209.e6.	4.4	10
2	The Colon Endoscopic Bubble Scale (CEBuS): a two-phase evaluation study. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 45-51.	1.8	6
3	Prevalence and risk factors of upper gastrointestinal cancers missed during endoscopy: a nationwide registry-based study. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 653-660.	1.8	20
4	Avoiding a plane crash with colonoscopy. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, .	1.8	1
5	Post-endoscopy Barrett's neoplasia after a negative index endoscopy: a systematic review and proposal for definitions and performance measures in endoscopy. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 881-889.	1.8	5
6	When it comes to continuous measurement of colonoscopy quality, patient survival is mandatory. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2022, , .	1.0	0
7	Cost-effectiveness of artificial intelligence for screening colonoscopy: a modelling study. <i>The Lancet Digital Health</i> , 2022, 4, e436-e444.	12.3	78
8	Reducing the environmental footprint of gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Nurses and Associates (ESGENA) Position Statement. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 797-826.	1.8	70
9	Colonoscopist Performance and Colorectal Cancer Risk After Adenoma Removal to Stratify Surveillance: Two Nationwide Observational Studies. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 160, 1067-1074.e6.	1.3	30
10	Adenocarcinoma of the cervical esophagus arising within a long segment of Barrett's metaplasia. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 53, E112-E113.	1.8	0
11	Will machines decipher colonoscopy quality from endoscopists' notes?. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 93, 758-760.	1.0	2
12	Estimation of overdiagnosis in colorectal cancer screening with sigmoidoscopy and faecal occult blood testing: comparison of simulation models. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e042158.	1.9	10
13	Risk of Colorectal Cancer and Cancer Related Mortality After Detection of Low-risk or High-risk Adenomas, Compared With No Adenoma, at Index Colonoscopy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 160, 1986-1996.e3.	1.3	45
14	ID: 3523373 NON-CURATIVE ENDOSCOPIC SUBMUCOSAL DISSECTION (ESD) FOR COLORECTAL CANCER: CLINICAL OUTCOMES AND PREDICTORS OF RECURRENCE. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 93, AB92.	1.0	0
15	Mortality and Rate of Hospitalization in a Colonoscopy Screening Program From a Randomized Health Services Study. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2020, 18, 1501-1508.e3.	4.4	6
16	Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality After Removal of Adenomas During Screening Colonoscopies. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 158, 875-883.e5.	1.3	112
17	Efficacy and Tolerability of High- vs Low-Volume Split-Dose Bowel Cleansing Regimens for Colonoscopy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2020, 18, 1454-1465.e14.	4.4	53
18	A roadmap for a comprehensive control of cervical cancer in Poland: integration of available solutions into current practice in primary and secondary prevention. <i>European Journal of Cancer Prevention</i> , 2020, 29, 157-164.	1.3	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	ESGE and ESGENA Position Statement on gastrointestinal endoscopy and COVID-19: An update on guidance during the post-lockdown phase and selected results from a membership survey. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 52, 891-898.	1.8	67
20	Long-Term Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality After a Single Negative Screening Colonoscopy. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 173, 81-91.	3.9	72
21	Tu1484 EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ENDOSCOPIC SUBMUCOSAL DISSECTION OF EARLY GASTRIC NEOPLASIA IN THE WEST: RESULTS FROM FOUR TERTIARY REFERRAL EUROPEAN CENTRES. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 91, AB583-AB584.	1.0	0
22	Imaging alternatives to colonoscopy: CT colonography and colon capsule. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR) Guideline " Update 2020. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 52, 1127-1141.	1.8	53
23	Reply. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 159, 1992.	1.3	0
24	Quality indicators in diagnostic upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. <i>Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 13, 175628482091669.	3.2	31
25	Colonoscopy quality: if you cannot measure it, you cannot improve it. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 92, 163-165.	1.0	0
26	ESGE and ESGENA Position Statement on gastrointestinal endoscopy and the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 52, 483-490.	1.8	324
27	Comparing apples to apples. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 713-714.	1.8	0
28	Bowel preparation for colonoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline " Update 2019. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 775-794.	1.8	309
29	Endoscopic management of polyposis syndromes: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 877-895.	1.8	157
30	Key performance measures for colonoscopy in the Polish Colonoscopy Screening Program. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 858-865.	1.8	11
31	Endoscopic management of Lynch syndrome and of familial risk of colorectal cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 1082-1093.	1.8	80
32	Effectiveness of digital feedback on patient experience and 30-day complications after screening colonoscopy: a randomized health services study. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2019, 07, E537-E544.	1.8	4
33	The impact of low- versus standard-volume bowel preparation on participation in primary screening colonoscopy: a randomized health services study. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 227-236.	1.8	12
34	Performance measures for small-bowel endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2019, 7, 614-641.	3.8	60
35	548 NARROW BAND IMAGING ENDOSCOPY VERSUS LUGOL CHROMOENDOSCOPY IN SCREENING FOR ESOPHAGEAL CANCER AMONG PATIENTS WITH HEAD AND NECK CANCERS: A RANDOMIZED TRIAL. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 89, AB93-AB94.	1.0	0
36	Performance measures for small-bowel endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 574-598.	1.8	76

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Increasing incidence of colorectal cancer in young adults in Europe over the last 25 years. <i>Gut</i> , 2019, 68, 1820-1826.	12.1	463
38	Endoscopic Resections in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Multicentre European Outcomes Study. <i>Journal of Crohn's and Colitis</i> , 2019, 13, 1394-1400.	1.3	18
39	Performance measures for endoscopy services: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2019, 7, 21-44.	3.8	20
40	Endoscopist biopsy rate as a quality indicator for outpatient gastroscopy: a multicenter cohort study with validation. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 89, 1141-1149.	1.0	35
41	Diminutive Polyps With Advanced Histologic Features Do Not Increase Risk for Metachronous Advanced Colon Neoplasia. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2019, 156, 623-634.e3.	1.3	39
42	Sigmoidoscopy: a shortcut to effective screening or a dead end?. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2019, 4, 82-83.	8.1	0
43	Mindful choice of endoscopic resection for large colorectal lesions. <i>Gut</i> , 2018, 67, 1374-1375.	12.1	1
44	Devices for adenoma detection rate: Holy Grail or training tool?. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 87, 241-242.	1.0	4
45	Modifiable factors associated with patient-reported pain during and after screening colonoscopy. <i>Gut</i> , 2018, 67, 1958-1964.	12.1	52
46	Colonoscopy quality: continuous improvement towards perfection. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2018, 06, E1310-E1311.	1.8	0
47	Take a pill for no more polyps: is it that simple?. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2018, 392, 2519-2521.	13.7	3
48	Performance measures for endoscopy services: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 50, 1186-1204.	1.8	37
49	Performance measures for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic ultrasound: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2018, 6, 1448-1460.	3.8	35
50	Performance measures for ERCP and endoscopic ultrasound: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 50, 1116-1127.	1.8	80
51	Adoption of colonoscopy quality measures across Europe by Riemann et al.. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2018, 6, 1108-1108.	3.8	2
52	New technology for the old polyp: Does the benefit justify the cost?. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 88, 117-118.	1.0	0
53	Overdiagnosis in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Time to Acknowledge a Blind Spot. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 592-595.	1.3	42
54	World Endoscopy Organization Consensus Statements on Post-Colonoscopy and Post-Imaging Colorectal Cancer. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 909-925.e3.	1.3	221

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	How to Ensure Patient Adherence to Colorectal Cancer Screening and Surveillance in Your Practice. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 252-257.	1.3	16
56	Mucosal exposure devices for colonoscopy: see the forest for the trees. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 88, 345-347.	1.0	0
57	Managing underperformance in endoscopy: a pragmatic approach. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 88, 737-744.e1.	1.0	18
58	Detection rate of serrated polyps and serrated polyposis syndrome in colorectal cancer screening cohorts: a European overview. <i>Gut</i> , 2017, 66, 1225-1232.	12.1	112
59	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2017, 49, 378-397.	1.8	533
60	Increased Rate of Adenoma Detection Associates With Reduced Risk of Colorectal Cancer and Death. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2017, 153, 98-105.	1.3	364
61	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) quality improvement initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2017, 5, 309-334.	3.8	149
62	Water-aided insertion and CO2-assisted withdrawal: Do we still need routine sedation for colonoscopy?. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2017, 85, 219-220.	1.0	4
63	Adenoma detection rate and risk of colorectal cancer. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2017, 31, 441-446.	2.4	33
64	America, We Are Confused: The Updated U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation on Colorectal Cancer Screening. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 166, 139.	3.9	7
65	Cancer surveillance in gastroenterology practice. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 30, 853-854.	2.4	0
66	Requirements and standards facilitating quality improvement for reporting systems in gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 48, 291-294.	1.8	55
67	Tu1006 Comparison of Quality Measures for Detection of Neoplasia at Screening Colonoscopy. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 83, AB527.	1.0	6
68	Population-Based Colonoscopy Screening for Colorectal Cancer. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 176, 894.	5.1	258
69	Rationale and design of the European Polyp Surveillance (EPoS) trials. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 48, 571-578.	1.8	90
70	European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy " Establishing the key unanswered research questions within gastrointestinal endoscopy. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 48, 884-891.	1.8	14
71	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 48, 843-864.	1.8	232
72	Adenocarcinoma risk in patients registered with polish barrett's oesophagus registry. <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2016, 30, 1-6.	0.4	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Performance measures for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: A European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy quality improvement initiative. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 629-656.	3.8	62
74	Surveillance of patients at high-risk of squamous cell esophageal cancer. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 30, 893-900.	2.4	15
75	Reinvitation to screening colonoscopy. <i>European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2016, 28, 538-542.	1.6	4
76	Su1665 Prevalence of Serrated Polyps; an International Overview. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 83, AB385-AB386.	1.0	0
77	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 30-41.	3.8	31
78	Reporting systems in gastrointestinal endoscopy: Requirements and standards facilitating quality improvement: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy position statement. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 172-176.	3.8	38
79	Leadership training to improve adenoma detection rate in screening colonoscopy: a randomised trial. <i>Gut</i> , 2016, 65, 616-624.	12.1	133
80	Design of the Polish Colonoscopy Screening Program: a randomized health services study. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 1144-1150.	1.8	43
81	Reply to Ishaq et al.. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 959-959.	1.8	0
82	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 48, 81-89.	1.8	59
83	Adenoma Detection Rate at Colonoscopy: The Good and the Bad. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 149, 273-274.	1.3	3
84	Water exchange versus carbon dioxide insufflation in unsedated colonoscopy: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 192-199.	1.8	50
85	794 Leadership Training to Improve Adenoma Detection Rate in Screening Colonoscopy: a Randomized Trial. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 81, AB172.	1.0	2
86	967 Effect of Adenoma Detection Rate Improvement on the Risk of Colorectal Cancer and Death. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 148, S-189.	1.3	6
87	967 Effect of Adenoma Detection Rate Improvement on the Risk of Colorectal Cancer and Death. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 81, AB180-AB181.	1.0	0
88	Effectiveness, training and quality assurance of colonoscopy screening for colorectal cancer. <i>Gut</i> , 2015, 64, 982-990.	12.1	60
89	Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of screening colonoscopy according to the adenoma detection rate. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2015, 3, 200-207.	3.8	19
90	Colonoscopy quality indicators: from individual performance to institutional policy. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 667-668.	1.8	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Suspicious macroscopic features of small malignant colorectal polyps. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 50, 1261-1267.	1.5	5
92	Predictive factors of proximal advanced neoplasia in the large bowel. <i>Archives of Medical Science</i> , 2014, 3, 484-489.	0.9	5
93	Colonoscopy. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 46, 783-786.	1.8	0
94	The effect of primary care physician counseling on participation rate and use of sedation in colonoscopy-based colorectal cancer screening program – a randomized controlled study. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2014, 49, 878-884.	1.5	18
95	Chronic low-dose aspirin use does not alter colonic mucosa in asymptomatic individuals: a prospective cross-sectional study (STROBE 1a). <i>Journal of Clinical Pathology</i> , 2014, 67, 143-152.	2.0	4
96	Advanced imaging for detection and differentiation of colorectal neoplasia: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 46, 435-457.	1.8	315
97	A score to estimate the likelihood of detecting advanced colorectal neoplasia at colonoscopy. <i>Gut</i> , 2014, 63, 1112-1119.	12.1	126
98	Colonoscopy. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 80, 400-403.	1.0	0
99	Colonoscopy, tumors. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2013, 45, 285-288.	1.8	0
100	Endoscopic colorectal cancer screening provides long-lasting effect. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2013, 1, 160-161.	3.8	0
101	Bowel preparation for colonoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2013, 45, 142-155.	1.8	392
102	Now or later? Strategy for removing small colorectal polyps. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2012, 44, 989-990.	1.8	1
103	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis: Overview and introduction to the full Supplement publication. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2012, 45, 51-59.	1.8	356
104	The NordICC Study: Rationale and design of a randomized trial on colonoscopy screening for colorectal cancer. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2012, 44, 695-702.	1.8	149
105	Should There Be Gender Differences in the Guidelines for Colorectal Cancer Screening?. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2012, 8, 32-35.	0.5	1
106	Distribution of Lifestyle Factors and Family History of Colorectal Cancer and the Risk of Advanced Neoplasia in Opportunistic Versus Organized Screening. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2011, 140, S-412.	1.3	2
107	Considering Gender Differences When Planning a Screening Program. <i>Current Colorectal Cancer Reports</i> , 2010, 6, 4-7.	0.5	0
108	Targeting risk groups for screening. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2010, 24, 407-416.	2.4	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Quality Indicators for Colonoscopy and the Risk of Interval Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine, 2010, 362, 1795-1803.	27.0	1,717
110	Continuous Quality Improvement of Screening Colonoscopy: Data from a Large Colorectal Cancer Screening Program. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2009, 69, AB215.	1.0	8
111	Colorectal Cancer Screening by Colonoscopy â€” Current Issues. Digestion, 2007, 76, 20-25.	2.3	13