

# Evan S Michelson

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7040431/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

19  
papers

382  
citations

1478505

6  
h-index

1199594

12  
g-index

19  
all docs

19  
docs citations

19  
times ranked

549  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Science philanthropy, energy systems research, and societal responsibility: A match made for the 21st century. <i>Energy Research and Social Science</i> , 2021, 72, 101886.	6.4	2
2	Why a Scialog on negative emissions science?. <i>IScience</i> , 2021, 24, 103188.	4.1	0
3	â€œThe Train Has Left the Stationâ€ The Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies and the Shaping of Nanotechnology Policy in the United States. <i>Review of Policy Research</i> , 2013, 30, 464-487.	3.9	12
4	'Getting there early': strategies for seeking policy influence and anticipating nanotechnology's alternative futures. <i>International Journal of Foresight and Innovation Policy</i> , 2013, 9, 188.	0.2	5
5	Innovation in horizon scanning for the social sector: an introduction to the Searchlight function. <i>Foresight</i> , 2012, 14, 439-449.	2.1	6
6	Rethinking the Future of Sustainability: From silos to systemic resilience. <i>Development</i> , 2011, 54, 199-201.	1.0	3
7	Nanotech Ethics and the Policymaking Process: Lessons Learned for Advancing Equity and Equality in Emerging Nanotechnologies. , 2010, , 423-432.		0
8	International Governance Perspectives on Nanotechnology Water Innovation. , 2009, , 509-519.		0
9	Foresight for smart globalization. <i>Foresight</i> , 2009, 11, 10-13.	2.1	3
10	The future of science and technology and pro-poor applications. <i>Foresight</i> , 2009, 11, 51-65.	2.1	3
11	Conclusion: â€œForesight for smart globalizationâ€ synthesis statement and recommendations. <i>Foresight</i> , 2009, 11, 82-85.	2.1	0
12	Transnational Nanotechnology Governance: A Comparison of the US and China. , 2009, , 281-299.		1
13	Globalization at the nano frontier: The future of nanotechnology policy in the United States, China, and India. <i>Technology in Society</i> , 2008, 30, 405-410.	9.4	40
14	Categorization framework to aid exposure assessment of nanomaterials in consumer products. <i>Ecotoxicology</i> , 2008, 17, 438-447.	2.4	253
15	Americans' Nanotechnology Risk Perception:. <i>Journal of Industrial Ecology</i> , 2008, 12, 459-473.	5.5	22
16	Falling Through the Cracks? Public Perception, Risk, and the Oversight of Emerging Nanotechnologies. , 2006, , .		4
17	Approaches to research and development performance assessment in the United States: an analysis of recent evaluation trends. <i>Science and Public Policy</i> , 2006, 33, 546-560.	2.4	14
18	Measuring the Merger: Examining the Onset of Converging Technologies. , 2006, , 1-3.		3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Dodging a Bullet: WHO, SARS, and the Successful Management of Infectious Disease. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 2005, 25, 379-386.	2.9	11