## Aidan M Keane

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7039257/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

279798 243625 2,174 58 23 44 citations h-index g-index papers 61 61 61 3174 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	The sleeping policeman: understanding issues of enforcement and compliance in conservation. Animal Conservation, 2008, 11, 75-82.	2.9	273
2	From Poachers to Protectors: Engaging Local Communities in Solutions to Illegal Wildlife Trade. Conservation Letters, 2017, 10, 367-374.	5.7	144
3	Analysis of Patterns of Bushmeat Consumption Reveals Extensive Exploitation of Protected Species in Eastern Madagascar. PLoS ONE, 2011, 6, e27570.	2.5	141
4	The global conservation movement is diverse but not divided. Nature Sustainability, 2019, 2, 316-323.	23.7	130
5	The Why, What, and How of Global Biodiversity Indicators Beyond the 2010 Target. Conservation Biology, 2011, 25, 450-457.	4.7	109
6	Identifying indicators of illegal behaviour: carnivore killing in human-managed landscapes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2012, 279, 804-812.	2.6	104
7	Correlates of extinction risk and hunting pressure in gamebirds (Galliformes). Biological Conservation, 2005, 126, 216-233.	4.1	85
8	Novel approach for quantifying illegal bushmeat consumption reveals high consumption of protected species in Madagascar. Oryx, 2012, 46, 584-592.	1.0	85
9	Incentives for cooperation: The effects of institutional controls on common pool resource extraction in Cambodia. Ecological Economics, 2011, 71, 151-161.	5 <b>.</b> 7	78
10	Encounter data in resource management and ecology: pitfalls and possibilities. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2011, 48, 1164-1173.	4.0	71
11	Conservation conflicts: Behavioural threats, frames, and intervention recommendations. Biological Conservation, 2018, 222, 180-188.	4.1	71
12	Games as Tools to Address Conservation Conflicts. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2018, 33, 415-426.	8.7	62
13	Evidence for the effects of environmental engagement and education on knowledge of wildlife laws in Madagascar. Conservation Letters, 2011, 4, 55-63.	5.7	60
14	FORUM: Robust study design is as important on the social as it is on the ecological side of applied ecological research. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2014, 51, 1479-1485.	4.0	60
15	Making Messy Data Work for Conservation. One Earth, 2020, 2, 455-465.	6.8	51
16	Improving Environmental Interventions by Understanding Information Flows. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 34, 1034-1047.	8.7	42
17	Asking sensitive questions using the unmatched count technique: Applications and guidelines for conservation. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 10, 308-319.	5.2	39
18	Research ethics: Assuring anonymity at the individual level may not be sufficient to protect research participants from harm. Biological Conservation, 2016, 196, 208-209.	4.1	37

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	The effectiveness of celebrities in conservation marketing. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0180027.	2.5	30
20	Impacts of land use intensification on human wellbeing: Evidence from rural Mozambique. Global Environmental Change, 2019, 59, 101976.	7.8	29
21	The changing environment of conservation conflict: Geese and farming in Scotland. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2018, 55, 651-662.	4.0	28
22	Impact of Tanzania's Wildlife Management Areas on household wealth. Nature Sustainability, 2020, 3, 226-233.	23.7	28
23	Taxis assays measure directional movement of mosquitoes to olfactory cues. Parasites and Vectors, 2013, 6, 131.	2.5	27
24	Gender Differentiated Preferences for a Community-Based Conservation Initiative. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152432.	2.5	26
25	Audience segmentation to improve targeting of conservation interventions for hunters. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 895-905.	4.7	25
26	Reconstructing the observation process to correct for changing detection probability of a critically endangered species. Endangered Species Research, 2009, 6, 231-237.	2.4	23
27	The potential of occupancy modelling as a tool for monitoring wild primate populations. Animal Conservation, 2012, 15, 457-465.	2.9	20
28	A Framework for Assessing Impacts of Wild Meat Hunting Practices in the Tropics. Human Ecology, 2019, 47, 449-464.	1.4	19
29	Integrating models of human behaviour between the individual and population levels to inform conservation interventions. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2019, 374, 20180053.	4.0	15
30	Predicting intervention priorities for wildlife conflicts. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 232-243.	4.7	14
31	Consumption of bushmeat around a major mine, and matched communities, in Madagascar. Biological Conservation, 2015, 186, 35-43.	4.1	13
32	Modelling the effect of individual strategic behaviour on community-level outcomes of conservation interventions. Environmental Conservation, 2012, 39, 305-315.	1.3	12
33	Incentives and social relationships of hunters and traders in a Liberian bushmeat system. Biological Conservation, 2019, 237, 338-347.	4.1	12
34	Detecting deterrence from patrol data. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 665-675.	4.7	12
35	Women, wellbeing and Wildlife Management Areas in Tanzania. Journal of Peasant Studies, 2022, 49, 335-362.	4.5	12
36	A quasi-experimental study of impacts of Tanzania's wildlife management areas on rural livelihoods and wealth. Scientific Data, 2018, 5, 180087.	5.3	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The impact of uncertainty on cooperation intent in a conservation conflict. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2019, 56, 1278-1288.	4.0	11
38	Estimating hunting prevalence and reliance on wild meat in Cambodia's Eastern Plains. Oryx, 2021, 55, 878-888.	1.0	11
39	Experimentally assessing the effect of search effort on snare detectability. Biological Conservation, 2020, 247, 108581.	4.1	11
40	Exploring differences in stakeholders' perceptions of illegal bird trapping in Cyprus. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 2017, 13, 67.	2.6	9
41	Species and demographic responses to <scp>wildlifeâ€friendly</scp> fencing on ungulate crossing success and behavior. Conservation Science and Practice, 2020, 2, e285.	2.0	9
42	Balancing making a difference with making a living in the conservation sector. Conservation Biology, 2022, 36, .	4.7	9
43	Making a case for the consideration of trust, justice, and power in conservation relationships. Conservation Biology, 2022, 36, .	4.7	9
44	Managing wildlife for ecological, socioeconomic, and evolutionary sustainability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2014, 111, 12964-12965.	7.1	8
45	Data collected using the randomised response technique must be analysed using specialised statistical methods. Biological Conservation, 2015, 187, 279-280.	4.1	6
46	Potentially harmful World Bank projects are proximate to areas of biodiversity conservation importance. Global Environmental Change, 2021, 70, 102364.	7.8	6
47	Personal traits predict conservationists' optimism about outcomes for nature. Conservation Letters, 0, , .	5.7	6
48	Consequences of survey method for estimating hunters' harvest rates. Conservation Science and Practice, 2020, 2, e315.	2.0	5
49	The bean method as a tool to measure sensitive behavior. Conservation Biology, 2021, 35, 722-732.	4.7	5
50	Unusual data in conservation science: searching for validation. Animal Conservation, 2013, 16, 604-605.	2.9	4
51	Using mixed methods to understand sensitive wildlife poisoning behaviours in northern Cambodia. Oryx, 2021, 55, 889-902.	1.0	4
52	Intervener trustworthiness predicts cooperation with conservation interventions in an elephant conflict public goods game. People and Nature, 2020, 2, 1075-1084.	3.7	4
53	Implications of the World Bank's environmental and social framework for biodiversity. Conservation Letters, 2021, 14, e12759.	<b>5.7</b>	4
54	Evidence of deterrence from patrol data: Trialling application of a differenced― <scp>CPUE</scp> metric. Conservation Science and Practice, 0, , .	2.0	3

#	Article	IF	CITATION
55	Pastoralism, conservation and resilience: causes and consequences of pastoralist household decision-making., 2019,, 180-208.		2
56	Combining simulation and empirical data to explore the scope for social network interventions in conservation. Biological Conservation, 2021, 261, 109292.	4.1	2
57	Effects of social networks on interventions to change conservation behavior. Conservation Biology, 2022, 36, .	4.7	2
58	Predicting the impacts of land management for sustainable development on depression risk in a Ugandan case study. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, .	3.3	2