

JosÃ© Luis Pinto-Prades

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/7029612/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

61
papers

2,425
citations

257450

24
h-index

223800

46
g-index

65
all docs

65
docs citations

65
times ranked

1735
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Parameter-Free Elicitation of the Probability Weighting Function in Medical Decision Analysis. Management Science, 2000, 46, 1485-1496.	4.1	391
2	Making Descriptive Use of Prospect Theory to Improve the Prescriptive Use of Expected Utility. Management Science, 2001, 47, 1498-1514.	4.1	244
3	Handling Data Quality Issues to Estimate the Spanish EQ-5D-5L Value Set Using a Hybrid Interval Regression Approach. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 596-604.	0.3	129
4	Valuation and Modeling of EQ-5D-5L Health States Using a Hybrid Approach. Medical Care, 2017, 55, e51-e58.	2.4	121
5	Weighting and valuing quality-adjusted life-years using stated preference methods: preliminary results from the Social Value of a QALY Project. Health Technology Assessment, 2010, 14, 1-162.	2.8	117
6	Toward a Broader View of Values in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Health. Hastings Center Report, 1999, 29, 7.	1.0	84
7	Trying to estimate a monetary value for the QALY. Journal of Health Economics, 2009, 28, 553-562.	2.7	72
8	Resolving Inconsistencies in Utility Measurement Under Risk: Tests of Generalizations of Expected Utility. Management Science, 2007, 53, 469-482.	4.1	69
9	Improving Value Measurement in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Medical Care, 2000, 38, 892-901.	2.4	68
10	The social value of a QALY: raising the bar or barring the raise?. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 8.	2.2	68
11	Comparing WTP Values of Different Types of QALY Gain Elicited from the General Public. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2015, 24, 280-293.	1.7	64
12	Ordering anomalies in choice experiments. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2010, 59, 271-285.	4.7	62
13	Is the Person Trade-off a Valid Method for Allocating Health Care Resources?. , 1997, 6, 71-81.		60
14	The social value of health programmes: is age a relevant factor?. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2000, 9, 611-621.	1.7	59
15	Valuing QALYs at the end of life. Social Science and Medicine, 2014, 113, 5-14.	3.8	55
16	Loss Aversion and Scale Compatibility in Two-Attribute Trade-Offs. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2002, 46, 315-337.	1.8	52
17	A consistency test of the time trade-off. Journal of Health Economics, 2003, 22, 1037-1052.	2.7	51
18	The Validity of QALYs under Non-Expected Utility. Economic Journal, 2005, 115, 533-550.	3.6	49

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Towards a better QALY model. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2006, 15, 665-676.	1.7	46
20	Health state after treatment: a reason for discrimination?. , 1999, 8, 701-707.		42
21	The predictive validity of prospect theory versus expected utility in health utility measurement. Journal of Health Economics, 2009, 28, 1039-1047.	2.7	37
22	An elicitation of utility for quality of life under prospect theory. Journal of Health Economics, 2016, 48, 121-134.	2.7	37
23	Measuring the social importance of concentration or dispersion of individual health benefits. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2002, 11, 43-53.	1.7	32
24	Wide Social Participation in Prioritizing Patients on Waiting Lists for Joint Replacement: A Conjoint Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2008, 28, 554-566.	2.4	29
25	Equity considerations in health care: the relevance of claims. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2001, 10, 187-205.	1.7	28
26	Risk attitudes in medical decisions for others: An experimental approach. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2001, 10, 187-205.	1.7	27
27	Measuring the health of populations: the veil of ignorance approach. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2001, 10, 187-205.	1.7	25
28	New evidence of preference reversals in health utility measurement. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2001, 10, 187-205.	1.7	19
29	From representing views to representativeness of views: Illustrating a new (Q2S) approach in the context of health care priority setting in nine European countries. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 166, 205-213.	3.8	19
30	Health economic decision-making: a comparison between UK and Spain. British Medical Bulletin, 2012, 103, 5-20.	6.9	16
31	Exploring Differences between TTO and DCE in the Valuation of Health States. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 273-284.	2.4	16
32	More Evidence of the Plateau Effect. Medical Decision Making, 1998, 18, 287-294.	2.4	15
33	Using a point system in the management of waiting lists: the case of cataracts. Social Science and Medicine, 2004, 59, 585-594.	3.8	15
34	When normative and descriptive diverge: how to bridge the difference. Social Choice and Welfare, 2012, 38, 569-584.	0.8	13
35	A proposal to solve the comparability problem in cost-utility analysis. Journal of Health Economics, 2002, 21, 397-403.	2.7	12
36	Estimating sign-dependent societal preferences for quality of life. Journal of Health Economics, 2015, 43, 229-243.	2.7	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Willingness to pay for a reduction in mortality risk after a myocardial infarction: an application of the contingent valuation method to the case of eplerenone. <i>European Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2008, 9, 69-78.	2.8	11
38	Análisis de costes y resultados en la evaluación económica de las intervenciones sanitarias. <i>Medicina Clínica</i> , 2004, 122, 423-429.	0.6	11
39	Does the Introduction of the Ranking Task in Valuation Studies Improve Data Quality and Reduce Inconsistencies? The Case of the EQ-5D-5L. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2016, 19, 478-486.	0.3	7
40	The societal monetary value of a QALY associated with EQ-5D-3L health gains. <i>European Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2020, 21, 363-379.	2.8	7
41	Exploring the relative value of end of life QALYs: Are the comparators important?. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2020, 245, 112660.	3.8	7
42	Incorporating societal concerns for fairness in numerical valuations of health programmes. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 1999, 8, 25-39.	1.7	7
43	Comment on "A Model of Probabilistic Choice Satisfying First-Order Stochastic Dominance" by Pavlo Blavatsky. <i>Management Science</i> , 2014, 60, 1346-1350.	4.1	5
44	The Lead Time Tradeoff. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2015, 35, 305-315.	2.4	5
45	Improving scope sensitivity in contingent valuation: Joint and separate evaluation of health states. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2017, 26, e304-e318.	1.7	5
46	The value of statistical life in the context of road safety: new evidence on the contingent valuation/standard gamble chained approach. <i>Journal of Risk and Uncertainty</i> , 2021, 63, 203-228.	1.5	5
47	Reducing preference reversals: The role of preference imprecision and nontransparent methods. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2018, 27, 1230-1246.	1.7	4
48	Eliciting Health State Utilities Using Paired-Gamble Methods: The Role of the Starting Point. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2019, 22, 446-452.	0.3	4
49	Age effects in mortality risk valuation. <i>European Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2017, 18, 921-932.	2.8	3
50	Emotions and scope effects in the monetary valuation of health. <i>European Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2018, 19, 315-325.	2.8	3
51	Comments to "a note on cost-value analysis?". <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2003, 12, 251-253.	1.7	2
52	A Consistency Test of the Time Trade-Off. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2003, , .	0.4	2
53	Peer effects in health valuation: the relation between rating of contemporaries' health and own health. <i>Health and Quality of Life Outcomes</i> , 2018, 16, 148.	2.4	2
54	Testing the descriptive performance of the rank-dependent utility in the domain of health profiles. <i>Spanish Economic Review</i> , 2001, 3, 177-191.	1.0	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Sequence effects in time trade-off valuation of hypothetical health states. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQq1 1,0,784314,rgBT /Ove	1.7	1
56	Do time trade-off values fully capture attitudes that are relevant to health-related choices?. European Journal of Health Economics, 2019, 20, 559-568.	2.8	1
57	The Social Value of Health Programs: Is Age a Relevant Factor?. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
58	The Significance of Distributive Effects in Social Assessment of Health Care. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
59	A Test of the Predictive Validity of Non-linear QALY Models Using Time Trade-off Utilities. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
60	Eliciting Distributive Preferences for Health Gains. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
61	Estimating Sign-Dependent Societal Preferences for Quality of Life. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0