Courtenay R Bruce

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6942993/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

36 630 13 papers citations h-index

h-index g-index

38 764
times ranked citing authors

24

38 all docs

38 docs citations

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Design and Integration of Mobile Health Technology in the Treatment of Orthopaedic Surgery: A Qualitative Study. ACI Open, 2022, 06, e11-e20.	0.5	1
2	Improving Psychiatric Care Through Integrated Digital Technologies. Journal of Psychiatric Practice, 2021, 27, 92-100.	0.7	3
3	Setting Expectations for ECMO: Improving Communication Between Clinical Teams and Decision Makers. Methodist DeBakey Cardiovascular Journal, 2021, 14, 120.	1.0	27
4	How Clinician–Family Interactions Potentially Impact Clinicians' Conceptualization and Discussions Regarding Prognostic Uncertainties. Journal of Palliative Care, 2020, 35, 29-33.	1.0	5
5	Assessing the Impact of Patient-Facing Mobile Health Technology on Patient Outcomes: Retrospective Observational Cohort Study. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2020, 8, e19333.	3.7	27
6	Evaluating Patient-Centered Mobile Health Technologies: Definitions, Methodologies, and Outcomes. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 2020, 8, e17577.	3.7	24
7	Why Families Get Angry: Practical Strategies for Clinical Ethics Consultants to Rebuild Trust Between Angry Families and Clinicians in the Critical Care Environment. HEC Forum, 2019, 31, 201-217.	0.8	5
8	Conquering combined thoracic organ and liver transplantation. Current Opinion in Organ Transplantation, 2018, 23, 180-186.	1.6	17
9	Legislating how critical care physicians discuss and implement do-not-resuscitate orders. Journal of Critical Care, 2018, 44, 459-461.	2.2	5
10	Mapping the Informed Consent Process for Left Ventricular Assist Devices. ASAIO Journal, 2018, 64, 630-635.	1.6	6
11	A Multisite Randomized Controlled Trial of a Patient-Centered Ventricular Assist Device Decision Aid (VADDA Trial). Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2018, 24, 661-671.	1.7	30
12	Legislating Medicine. Critical Care Medicine, 2018, 46, 788-790.	0.9	3
13	Caregivers of Patients With Left Ventricular Assist Devices. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2017, 10, .	2.2	33
14	Developing and testing a comprehensive tool to assess family meetings: Empirical distinctions between high- and low-quality meetings. Journal of Critical Care, 2017, 42, 223-230.	2.2	3
15	Development and validation of a patient-centered knowledge scale for left ventricular assist device placement. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2016, 35, 768-776.	0.6	15
16	A Qualitative Exploration of a Clinical Ethicist's Role and Contributions During Family Meetings. HEC Forum, 2016, 28, 283-299.	0.8	O
17	Response. Chest, 2016, 149, 1577-1578.	0.8	0
18	Navigating Ethical Conflicts Between Advance Directives and Surrogate Decision-Makers' Interpretations of Patient Wishes. Chest, 2016, 149, 562-567.	0.8	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Barriers and Facilitators to Initiating and Completing Time-Limited Trials in Critical Care*. Critical Care Medicine, 2015, 43, 2535-2543.	0.9	33
20	"Systematizing―Ethics Consultation Services. HEC Forum, 2015, 27, 35-45.	0.8	5
21	A Qualitative Study Exploring Moral Distress in the ICU Team. Critical Care Medicine, 2015, 43, 823-831.	0.9	112
22	Reasons Why Eligible Candidates Decline Left Ventricular Assist Device Placement. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2015, 21, 835-839.	1.7	25
23	Content Analysis of Social Media Related to Left Ventricular Assist Devices. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2015, 8, 517-523.	2.2	12
24	Ventricular Assist Devices as Destination Therapy: Psychosocial and Ethical Implications. Methodist DeBakey Cardiovascular Journal, 2015, 11, 9-11.	1.0	5
25	Benefits and Challenges of Early Introduction of Left Ventricular AssistÂDevice Placement. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2015, 66, 1762-1765.	2.8	15
26	Assessment of patients' and caregivers' informational and decisional needs for left ventricular assist device placement: Implications for informed consent and shared decision-making. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2015, 34, 1182-1189.	0.6	71
27	Ventricular Assist Devices: A Review of Psychosocial Risk Factors and Their Impact on Outcomes. Journal of Cardiac Failure, 2014, 20, 996-1003.	1.7	42
28	Practical Guidance for Charting Ethics Consultations. HEC Forum, 2014, 26, 79-93.	0.8	15
29	Revisiting Surrogate Consent for Ventricular Assist Device Placement. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2014, 97, 747-749.	1.3	2
30	Challenges in Deactivating a Total Artificial Heart for a Patient With Capacity. Chest, 2014, 145, 625-631.	0.8	14
31	Lessons learned from the case of Sarah Murnaghan. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2013, 32, 937-938.	0.6	2
32	Patient-to-patient encounters: Benefits and challenges. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation, 2013, 32, 658-659.	0.6	3
33	Ethical Dilemm as Surrounding the Use of Ventricular Assist Devices in Supporting Patients with End-STage Organ Dysfunction. Methodist DeBakey Cardiovascular Journal, 2013, 9, 11-14.	1.0	13
34	Informed Decision Making for In-home Use of Motion Sensor-based Monitoring Technologies. Gerontologist, The, 2012, 52, 317-324.	3.9	14
35	A systematic review of activities at a high-volume ethics consultation service. Journal of Clinical Ethics, 2011, 22, 151-64.	0.3	23
36	Please Don't Call My Mom: Pediatric Consent and Confidentiality. Clinical Pediatrics, 2009, 48, 243-246.	0.8	7