

# Courtenay R Bruce

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6942993/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

36  
papers

630  
citations

687363

13  
h-index

610901

24  
g-index

38  
all docs

38  
docs citations

38  
times ranked

764  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Qualitative Study Exploring Moral Distress in the ICU Team. <i>Critical Care Medicine</i> , 2015, 43, 823-831.	0.9	112
2	Assessment of patients' and caregivers' informational and decisional needs for left ventricular assist device placement: Implications for informed consent and shared decision-making. <i>Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation</i> , 2015, 34, 1182-1189.	0.6	71
3	Ventricular Assist Devices: A Review of Psychosocial Risk Factors and Their Impact on Outcomes. <i>Journal of Cardiac Failure</i> , 2014, 20, 996-1003.	1.7	42
4	Barriers and Facilitators to Initiating and Completing Time-Limited Trials in Critical Care*. <i>Critical Care Medicine</i> , 2015, 43, 2535-2543.	0.9	33
5	Caregivers of Patients With Left Ventricular Assist Devices. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes</i> , 2017, 10, .	2.2	33
6	A Multisite Randomized Controlled Trial of a Patient-Centered Ventricular Assist Device Decision Aid (VADDA Trial). <i>Journal of Cardiac Failure</i> , 2018, 24, 661-671.	1.7	30
7	Setting Expectations for ECMO: Improving Communication Between Clinical Teams and Decision Makers. <i>Methodist DeBakey Cardiovascular Journal</i> , 2021, 14, 120.	1.0	27
8	Assessing the Impact of Patient-Facing Mobile Health Technology on Patient Outcomes: Retrospective Observational Cohort Study. <i>JMIR MHealth and UHealth</i> , 2020, 8, e19333.	3.7	27
9	Reasons Why Eligible Candidates Decline Left Ventricular Assist Device Placement. <i>Journal of Cardiac Failure</i> , 2015, 21, 835-839.	1.7	25
10	Evaluating Patient-Centered Mobile Health Technologies: Definitions, Methodologies, and Outcomes. <i>JMIR MHealth and UHealth</i> , 2020, 8, e17577.	3.7	24
11	A systematic review of activities at a high-volume ethics consultation service. <i>Journal of Clinical Ethics</i> , 2011, 22, 151-64.	0.3	23
12	Conquering combined thoracic organ and liver transplantation. <i>Current Opinion in Organ Transplantation</i> , 2018, 23, 180-186.	1.6	17
13	Practical Guidance for Charting Ethics Consultations. <i>HEC Forum</i> , 2014, 26, 79-93.	0.8	15
14	Benefits and Challenges of Early Introduction of Left Ventricular Assist Device Placement. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2015, 66, 1762-1765.	2.8	15
15	Development and validation of a patient-centered knowledge scale for left ventricular assist device placement. <i>Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation</i> , 2016, 35, 768-776.	0.6	15
16	Informed Decision Making for In-home Use of Motion Sensor-based Monitoring Technologies. <i>Gerontologist</i> , The, 2012, 52, 317-324.	3.9	14
17	Challenges in Deactivating a Total Artificial Heart for a Patient With Capacity. <i>Chest</i> , 2014, 145, 625-631.	0.8	14
18	Ethical Dilemmas Surrounding the Use of Ventricular Assist Devices in Supporting Patients with End-Stage Organ Dysfunction. <i>Methodist DeBakey Cardiovascular Journal</i> , 2013, 9, 11-14.	1.0	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Navigating Ethical Conflicts Between Advance Directives and Surrogate Decision-Makers™ Interpretations of Patient Wishes. <i>Chest</i> , 2016, 149, 562-567.	0.8	13
20	Content Analysis of Social Media Related to Left Ventricular Assist Devices. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes</i> , 2015, 8, 517-523.	2.2	12
21	Please Don't Call My Mom: Pediatric Consent and Confidentiality. <i>Clinical Pediatrics</i> , 2009, 48, 243-246.	0.8	7
22	Mapping the Informed Consent Process for Left Ventricular Assist Devices. <i>ASAIO Journal</i> , 2018, 64, 630-635.	1.6	6
23	“Systematizing” Ethics Consultation Services. <i>HEC Forum</i> , 2015, 27, 35-45.	0.8	5
24	Ventricular Assist Devices as Destination Therapy: Psychosocial and Ethical Implications. <i>Methodist DeBakey Cardiovascular Journal</i> , 2015, 11, 9-11.	1.0	5
25	Legislating how critical care physicians discuss and implement do-not-resuscitate orders. <i>Journal of Critical Care</i> , 2018, 44, 459-461.	2.2	5
26	Why Families Get Angry: Practical Strategies for Clinical Ethics Consultants to Rebuild Trust Between Angry Families and Clinicians in the Critical Care Environment. <i>HEC Forum</i> , 2019, 31, 201-217.	0.8	5
27	How Clinician “Family Interactions Potentially Impact Clinicians”™ Conceptualization and Discussions Regarding Prognostic Uncertainties. <i>Journal of Palliative Care</i> , 2020, 35, 29-33.	1.0	5
28	Patient-to-patient encounters: Benefits and challenges. <i>Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation</i> , 2013, 32, 658-659.	0.6	3
29	Developing and testing a comprehensive tool to assess family meetings: Empirical distinctions between high- and low-quality meetings. <i>Journal of Critical Care</i> , 2017, 42, 223-230.	2.2	3
30	Legislating Medicine. <i>Critical Care Medicine</i> , 2018, 46, 788-790.	0.9	3
31	Improving Psychiatric Care Through Integrated Digital Technologies. <i>Journal of Psychiatric Practice</i> , 2021, 27, 92-100.	0.7	3
32	Lessons learned from the case of Sarah Murnaghan. <i>Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation</i> , 2013, 32, 937-938.	0.6	2
33	Revisiting Surrogate Consent for Ventricular Assist Device Placement. <i>Annals of Thoracic Surgery</i> , 2014, 97, 747-749.	1.3	2
34	Design and Integration of Mobile Health Technology in the Treatment of Orthopaedic Surgery: A Qualitative Study. <i>ACI Open</i> , 2022, 06, e11-e20.	0.5	1
35	A Qualitative Exploration of a Clinical Ethicist™s Role and Contributions During Family Meetings. <i>HEC Forum</i> , 2016, 28, 283-299.	0.8	0
36	Response. <i>Chest</i> , 2016, 149, 1577-1578.	0.8	0