## Carl A Thompson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6878314/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

94 papers 3,769 citations

147801 31 h-index 59 g-index

94 all docs 94 docs citations

94 times ranked 4301 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	<u>SE</u> eking <u>A</u> nswe <u>R</u> s for <u>C</u> are <u>H</u> omes during the COVID-19 pandemic (COVID SEARCH). Age and Ageing, 2021, 50, 335-340.	1.6	41
2	COVID-19 point-of-care testing in care homes: what are the lessons for policy and practice?. Age and Ageing, 2021, 50, 1442-1444.	1.6	9
3	Understanding the staff behaviours that promote quality for older people living in long term care facilities: A realist review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2021, 117, 103905.	5 <b>.</b> 6	22
4	Effects of computerised clinical decision support systems (CDSS) on nursing and allied health professional performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review of experimental and observational studies. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e053886.	1.9	14
5	National early warning scores in care homes: do policy imperatives reflect a genuine need?. Age and Ageing, 2020, 49, 5-6.	1.6	10
6	Pro re nata prescribing and administration for neuropsychiatric symptoms and pain in long-term care residents with dementia and memory problems: a cross-sectional study. International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, 2019, 41, 1314-1322.	2.1	7
7	The effect of external inspections on safety in acute hospitals in the National Health Service in England: A controlled interrupted time-series analysis. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2019, 24, 182-190.	1.7	16
8	Mental healthcare staff wellâ€being and burnout: AÂnarrative review of trends, causes, implications, and recommendations for future interventions. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 2018, 27, 20-32.	3.8	205
9	Identifying positive deviants in healthcare quality and safety: a mixed methods study. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2018, 111, 276-291.	2.0	18
10	Accessing rehabilitation after stroke – a guessing game?. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2017, 39, 709-713.	1.8	30
11	Confidence and clinical judgement in community nurses managing venous leg ulceration – A judgement analysis. Journal of Tissue Viability, 2017, 26, 271-276.	2.0	11
12	Does access to a demand-led evidence briefing service improve uptake and use of research evidence by health service commissioners? A controlled before and after study. Implementation Science, 2017, 12, 20.	6.9	11
13	Effects of a demand-led evidence briefing service on the uptake and use of research evidence by commissioners of health services: a controlled before-and-after study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2017, 5, 1-138.	1.4	1
14	The costâ€effectiveness of quality improvement projects: a conceptual framework, checklist and online tool for considering the costs and consequences of implementationâ€based quality improvement. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2016, 22, 26-30.	1.8	17
15	Priorities for the professional development of registered nurses in nursing homes: a Delphi study. Age and Ageing, 2016, 46, 39-45.	1.6	34
16	A comparison of the management of venous leg ulceration by specialist and generalist community nurses: A judgement analysis. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2016, 53, 134-143.	5 <b>.</b> 6	12
17	Capturing judgement strategies in risk assessments with improved quality of clinical information: How nurses' strategies differ from the ecological model. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2015, 16, 7.	3.0	3
18	Collective action for implementation: a realist evaluation of organisational collaboration in healthcare. Implementation Science, 2015, 11, 17.	6.9	98

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Assessment of fidelity in an educational workshop designed to increase the uptake of a primary care alcohol screening recommendation. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2015, 21, 873-878.	1.8	1
20	Effects of a demand-led evidence briefing service on the uptake and use of research evidence by commissioners of health services: protocol for a controlled before and after study. Implementation Science, 2015, 10, 7.	6.9	8
21	Sins of omission and commission in systematic reviews in nursing: A commentary on McRae et al. (2015). International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2015, 52, 1277-1278.	5.6	2
22	Cost and feasibility: an exploratory case study comparing use of a literature review method with questionnaires, interviews and focus groups to identify barriers for a behaviour–change intervention. BMC Health Services Research, 2015, 15, 211.	2.2	7
23	Diagnostic and treatment decision making in community nurses faced with a patient with possible venous leg ulceration: A signal detection analysis. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2015, 52, 325-333.	5.6	5
24	Community nurses' judgement for the management of venous leg ulceration: A judgement analysis. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2015, 52, 345-354.	5.6	19
25	Collective action for knowledge mobilisation: a realist evaluation of the Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2015, 3, 1-166.	1.4	28
26	Do nurses reason â€~adaptively' in time limited situations: the findings of a descriptive regression analysis. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2014, 14, 96.	3.0	6
27	Identifying and enhancing risk thresholds in the detection of elder financial abuse: a signal detection analysis of professionals' decision making. BMC Medical Education, 2014, 14, 1044.	2.4	11
28	Systematic biases in group decision-making: implications for patient safety. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2014, 26, 606-612.	1.8	60
29	Prioritising health service innovation investments using public preferences: a discrete choice experiment. BMC Health Services Research, 2014, 14, 360.	2.2	43
30	Elimination and selection by aspects in health choice experiments: Prioritising health service innovations. Journal of Health Economics, 2014, 38, 10-22.	2.7	26
31	Gathering opinion leader data for a tailored implementation intervention in secondary healthcare: a randomised trial. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2014, 14, 38.	3.1	7
32	Exploring the feasibility of Conjoint Analysis as a tool for prioritizing innovations for implementation. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 56.	6.9	31
33	The effect of improving task representativeness on capturing nurses' risk assessment judgements: a comparison of written case simulations and physical simulations. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, 62.	3.0	5
34	An agenda for clinical decision making and judgement in nursing research and education. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2013, 50, 1720-1726.	5.6	114
35	Immediate versus sustained effects: interrupted time series analysis of a tailored intervention. Implementation Science, 2013, 8, 130.	6.9	23
36	Decision curve analysis for assessing the usefulness of tests for making decisions to treat: An application to tests for prodromal psychosis Psychological Assessment, 2013, 25, 730-737.	1.5	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Initiating artificial nutrition support: a clinical judgement analysis. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, 2012, 25, 427-434.	2.5	4
38	Effect of improving the realism of simulated clinical judgement tasks on nurses' overconfidence and underconfidence: Evidence from a comparative confidence calibration analysis. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2012, 49, 1505-1511.	<b>5.</b> 6	11
39	The effect of clinical experience, judgment task difficulty and time pressure on nurses' confidence calibration in a high fidelity clinical simulation. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2012, 12, 113.	3.0	31
40	Challenges in identifying barriers to adoption in a theory-based implementation study: lessons for future implementation studies. BMC Health Services Research, 2012, 12, 422.	2.2	11
41	Social Network Analysis in Healthcare Settings: A Systematic Scoping Review. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e41911.	2.5	179
42	Clinical simulation fidelity and nurses' identification of critical event risk: a signal detection analysis. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2012, 68, 2477-2485.	3.3	16
43	Maximizing the Impact of Systematic Reviews in Health Care Decision Making: A Systematic Scoping Review of Knowledge-Translation Resources. Milbank Quarterly, 2011, 89, 131-156.	4.4	61
44	Commentary on Aitken et al.'s (2010)  Comparison of  think aloud' and observation as data collectio methods in the study of decision making regarding sedation in intensive care patients'. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2011, 48, 401-402.	n 5 <b>.</b> 6	1
45	The effects of clinical experience on nurses' critical event risk assessment judgements in paper based and high fidelity simulated conditions: A comparative judgement analysis. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2011, 48, 429-437.	5.6	15
46	Do educational interventions improve nurses' clinical decision making and judgement? A systematic review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2011, 48, 881-893.	5.6	85
47	Implementing health research through academic and clinical partnerships: a realistic evaluation of the Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC). Implementation Science, 2011, 6, 74.	6.9	104
48	Statistical Synthesis of Contextual Knowledge to Increase the Effectiveness of Theory-Based Behaviour Change Interventions. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2011, 16, 167-171.	1.7	8
49	Translating research into practice in Leeds and Bradford (TRiPLaB): a protocol for a programme of research. Implementation Science, 2010, 5, 37.	6.9	19
50	Nurses' risk assessment judgements: a confidence calibration study. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2010, 66, 2751-2760.	3.3	27
51	Supporting nurse decision making in primary care: exploring use of and attitude to decision tools. Health Informatics Journal, 2009, 15, 5-16.	2.1	19
52	Using decision analysis to integrate evidence into decision making. Evidence-based Nursing, 2009, 12, 102-104.	0.2	3
53	A beginner's guide to probability. Evidence-based Nursing, 2009, 12, 67-70.	0.2	1
54	From pull to push: understanding nurses' information needs. Health Informatics Journal, 2009, 15, 75-85.	2.1	22

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Nurses' critical event risk assessments: a judgement analysis. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2009, 18, 601-612.	3.0	67
56	Nurses' use of computerised clinical decision support systems: a case site analysis. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2009, 18, 1159-1167.	3.0	67
57	The decision making of heart failure specialist nurses in clinical practice. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2009, 18, 1313-1324.	3.0	26
58	A national survey of computerized decision support systems available to nurses in England. Journal of Nursing Management, 2009, 17, 772-780.	3.4	14
59	Acute care nurses, decisions and irreducible uncertainty in practice. Australasian Emergency Nursing Journal, 2009, 12, 147.	1.9	0
60	Nurses' Decisions, Irreducible Uncertainty and Maximizing Nurses' Contribution to Patient Safety. Healthcare Quarterly, 2009, 12, e178-e185.	0.7	25
61	Clinical Decision Support Systems in Nursing. , 2009, , 26-40.		3
62	Exploring patient perceptions of larval therapy as a potential treatment for venous leg ulceration. Health Expectations, 2008, 11, 148-159.	2.6	29
63	Do heart failure specialist nurses think differently when faced with †hard†or †easy†decisions: a judgement analysis. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2008, 17, 2174-2184.	3.0	16
64	The Effects of Time Pressure and Experience on Nurses' Risk Assessment Decisions. Nursing Research, 2008, 57, 302-311.	1.7	82
65	Effects of computerized decision support systems on nursing performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2007, 12, 242-251.	1.7	83
66	Systematic review of information and support interventions for caregivers of people with dementia. BMC Geriatrics, 2007, 7, 18.	2.7	276
67	Q methodology in health economics. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2006, 11, 38-45.	1.7	94
68	Helping practitioners understand the contribution of qualitative research to evidence-based practice. Evidence-based Nursing, 2006, 9, 4-7.	0.2	20
69	Alternative futures for health economics: implications for nursing management. Journal of Nursing Management, 2005, 13, 377-386.	3.4	4
70	Barriers to evidence-based practice in primary care nursing - why viewing decision-making as context is helpful. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2005, 52, 432-444.	3.3	88
71	Nurse practitioner and practice nurses' use of research information in clinical decision making: findings from an exploratory study. Family Practice, 2005, 22, 490-497.	1.9	85
72	A systematic review of qualitative literature on educational interventions for evidence-based practice. Learning in Health and Social Care, 2005, 4, 89-109.	0.6	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Using social judgement theory to model nurses' use of clinical information in critical care education. Nurse Education Today, 2005, 25, 68-77.	3.3	28
74	Decision aids reduced decisional conflict in patients with newly diagnosed hypertension. Evidence-based Nursing, 2004, 7, 17-17.	0.2	2
75	Fortuitous Phenomena: On Complexity, Pragmatic Randomised Controlled Trials, and Knowledge for Evidenceâ€Based Practice. Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing, 2004, 1, 9-17.	2.9	17
76	Increasing the visibility of coding decisions in team-based qualitative research in nursing. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2004, 41, 15-20.	5.6	44
77	Nurses, information use, and clinical decision makingthe real world potential for evidence-based decisions in nursing. Evidence-based Nursing, 2004, 7, 68-72.	0.2	152
78	Strategies for avoiding pitfalls in clinical decision-making. Nursing Times, 2004, 100, 40-2.	0.2	0
79	Awareness and prevention of error in clinical decision-making. Nursing Times, 2004, 100, 40-3.	0.2	0
80	Clinical experience as evidence in evidence-based practice. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2003, 43, 230-237.	3.3	88
81	Measuring the quality of judgement and decision-making in nursing. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2003, 44, 49-57.	3.3	56
82	Acute care nurses' perceptions of barriers to using research information in clinical decision-making. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2002, 39, 46-60.	3.3	183
83	The value of research in clinical decision-making. Nursing Times, 2002, 98, 30-4.	0.2	2
84	JAN Forum: Clinical decision making in nursing: theoretical perspectives and their relevance to practice - a response to Jean Harbison. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2001, 35, 134-137.	3.3	11
85	The accessibility of research-based knowledge for nurses in United Kingdom acute care settings. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2001, 36, 11-22.	3.3	125
86	Research information in nurses' clinical decision-making: what is useful?. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2001, 36, 376-388.	3.3	179
87	Responding to uncertainty in nursing practice. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2001, 38, 609-615.	5.6	38
88	Intuition and Analysis in Decision Making and Choice. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 2000, 32, 411-414.	2.4	51
89	If you could just provide me with a sample: examining sampling in qualitative and quantitative research papers. Evidence-based Nursing, 1999, 2, 68-70.	0.2	37
90	A conceptual treadmill: the need for 'middle ground' in clinical decision making theory in nursing. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 1999, 30, 1222-1229.	3.3	113

#	Article	IF	CITATION
91	Qualitative Research into Nurse Decision Making: Factors for Consideration in Theoretical Sampling. Qualitative Health Research, 1999, 9, 815-828.	2.1	37
92	Pearls, Pith, and Provocation: Qualitative Research Into Nurse Decision Making: Factors for Consideration in Theoretical Sampling. Qualitative Health Research, 1999, 9, 815-828.	2.1	29
93	Testing our intuition. Nursing Standard (Royal College of Nursing (Great Britain): 1987), 1998, 12, 18-18.	0.1	O
94	Effects of computerised clinical decision support systems (CDSS) on nursing and allied health professional performance and patient outcomes. The Cochrane Library, 0, , .	2.8	2