## Pierre Pluye

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6833386/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

		201674	7	1685
108	6,717	27		76
papers	citations	h-index		g-index
			. '	
117	117	117		8005
all docs	docs citations	times ranked		citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Systematic Reviews of Systematic Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Studies Reviews in Healthcare Research: How to Assess the Methodological Quality of Included Reviews?. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 2023, 17, 51-69.	2.6	4
2	Combinations of Practical Integration Strategies Used in Mixed Methods Information Studies. Advances in Knowledge Acquisition, Transfer and Management Book Series, 2022, , 70-86.	0.2	0
3	Maternal Experience with Online Information on Parenting and Infant Care: Qualitative Findings from Quebec, Canada. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 2022, 31, 1798-1808.	1.3	4
4	Better understanding care transitions of adults with complex health and social care needs: a study protocol. BMC Health Services Research, 2022, 22, 206.	2.2	4
5	Proxy Information Seeking by Users of a Parenting Information Website: Quantitative Observational Study. JMIR Pediatrics and Parenting, 2022, 5, e32406.	1.6	5
6	Contexts and Outcomes of Proxy Online Health Information Seeking: Mixed Studies Review With Framework Synthesis. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2022, 24, e34345.	4.3	7
7	Understanding parents' use of a knowledge translation tool to manage children's vaccination pain. Pain Reports, 2021, 6, e907.	2.7	5
8	An Overview of Reviews on Interprofessional Collaboration in Primary Care: Effectiveness. International Journal of Integrated Care, 2021, 21, 31.	0.2	25
9	Impact of a videoconferencing educational programme for the management of concurrent disorders on nurses' competency development and clinical practice: protocol for a convergent mixed methods study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e042875.	1.9	4
10	Factors associated with parents' experiences using a knowledge translation tool for vaccination pain management: a qualitative study. BMC Health Services Research, 2021, 21, 355.	2.2	2
11	An Overview of Reviews on Interprofessional Collaboration in Primary Care: Barriers and Facilitators. International Journal of Integrated Care, 2021, 21, 32.	0.2	69
12	Evidence reversals in primary care research: a study of randomized controlled trials. Family Practice, 2021, , .	1.9	0
13	Cannabis against chronic musculoskeletal pain: a scoping review on users and their perceptions. Journal of Cannabis Research, 2021, 3, 41.	3.2	8
14	Evaluating implementation and impact of a provincial quality improvement collaborative for the management of chronic diseases in primary care: the COMPAS+ study protocol. BMC Family Practice, 2020, 21, 3.	2.9	4
15	Decisional needs assessment of patients with complex care needs in primary care. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2020, 26, 489-502.	1.8	18
16	Evaluating online health information sources using a mixed methods approach. Part 3. Education for Information, 2020, 36, $1$ -6.	0.5	0
17	Identifying empirical studies for mixed studies reviews: The mixed filter and the automated text classifier. Education for Information, 2020, 36, 101-105.	0.5	O
18	High Users of Healthcare Services: Development and Alpha Testing of a Patient Decision Aid for Case Management. Patient, 2020, 13, 757-766.	2.7	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Development and Validation of the McGill Empowerment Assessment–Diabetes (MEA-D). Diabetes Spectrum, 2020, 33, 307-314.	1.0	1
20	Will this benefit my patients? Expected benefits of information from a continuing medical education program may lead to higher participation rates by family physicians. Education for Information, 2020, 36, 51-58.	0.5	0
21	The Information Assessment Method: Over 15 years of research evaluating the value of health information. Education for Information, 2020, 36, 7-18.	0.5	8
22	Understanding collaboration in monitoring research publications: Protocol for a qualitative multiple case study. Education for Information, 2020, 36, 69-79.	0.5	3
23	Using spaced education to deliver clinical information to medical residents: A mixed methods pilot study. Education for Information, 2020, 36, 29-50.	0.5	1
24	Outcomes of Equity-Oriented, Web-Based Parenting Information in Mothers of Low Socioeconomic Status Compared to Other Mothers: Participatory Mixed Methods Study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e22440.	4.3	12
25	The planning and reporting of mixed methods studies on the built environment and health. Preventive Medicine, 2019, 126, 105752.	3.4	25
26	Why and how to use mixed methods in primary health care research. Family Practice, 2019, 36, 365-368.	1.9	21
27	Health outcomes of online consumer health information: A systematic mixed studies review with framework synthesis. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2019, 70, 643-659.	2.9	46
28	Patient Information Aid: An innovative educational program to improve outcomes of online consumer health information. Education for Information, 2019, 35, 41-49.	0.5	2
29	Improving the content validity of the mixed methods appraisal tool: aÂmodified e-Delphi study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 49-59.e1.	5.0	441
30	Is online consumer health information only beneficial for the wealthy and the educated? A commentary. Education for Information, 2019, 35, 35-39.	0.5	1
31	Practical mixed methods strategies used to integrate qualitative and quantitative methods in community-based primary health care research. Family Practice, 2019, 36, 666-671.	1.9	28
32	Evaluating online health information sources using a mixed methods approach: Part 2. Education for Information, 2019, 35, 3-5.	0.5	1
33	A Conceptual Framework for Critical Appraisal in Systematic Mixed Studies Reviews. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 2019, 13, 446-460.	2.6	64
34	Delineating and Operationalizing the Definition of Patient-Oriented Research: A Modified e-Delphi Study. Journal of Patient-centered Research and Reviews, 2019, 6, 7-16.	0.9	13
35	Improving the usefulness of a tool for appraising the quality of qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies, the <scp>Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool</scp> ( <scp>MMAT</scp> ). Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2018, 24, 459-467.	1.8	392
36	Crowdsourcing a mixed systematic review on a complex topic and a heterogeneous population: Lessons learned. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 293-300.	0.5	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	The wiki toolkit for planning, conducting and reporting mixed studies reviews. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 277-283.	0.5	7
38	A systematic mixed studies review on Organizational Participatory Research: towards operational guidance. BMC Health Services Research, 2018, 18, 992.	2.2	8
39	Systematic reviews: A brief historical overview. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 261-276.	0.5	16
40	The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 285-291.	0.5	1,312
41	Disseminating health evidence summaries to increase evidence use in health care. Revista De Saude Publica, 2018, 52, 57.	1.7	2
42	Evaluating online health information sources using a mixed methods approach: Part I. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 255-259.	0.5	0
43	Case management in primary care for frequent users of healthcare services with chronic diseases and complex care needs: an implementation and realist evaluation protocol. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e026433.	1.9	14
44	Top 5 primary care topics for pharmacists in 2016. Canadian Pharmacists Journal, 2018, 151, 176-178.	0.8	1
45	Discriminating between empirical studies and nonempirical works using automated text classification. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 587-601.	8.7	17
46	Reducing Negative Outcomes of Online Consumer Health Information: Qualitative Interpretive Study with Clinicians, Librarians, and Consumers. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2018, 20, e169.	4.3	52
47	Assessing and Improving the Use of Online Information About Child Development, Education, Health, and Well-Being in Low-Education, Low-Income Parents: Protocol for a Mixed-Methods Multiphase Study. JMIR Research Protocols, 2018, 7, e186.	1.0	6
48	A World of Possibilities in Mixed Methods: Review of the Combinations of Strategies Used to Integrate Qualitative and Quantitative Phases, Results and Data. International Journal of Multiple Research Approaches, 2018, 10, 41-56.	0.1	64
49	Top 5 primary care topics for pharmacists in 2015. Canadian Pharmacists Journal, 2017, 150, 17-18.	0.8	2
50	Can we Build on Social Movement Theories to Develop and Improve Communityâ€Based Participatory Research? A Framework Synthesis Review. American Journal of Community Psychology, 2017, 59, 333-362.	2.5	38
51	Decisional needs assessment of patients with complex care needs in primary care: a participatory systematic mixed studies review protocol. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016400.	1.9	18
52	Overcoming the digital divide? Low education low income parents are equally likely to report benefits associated with online parenting information. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2017, 54, 775-777.	0.6	3
53	Convergent and sequential synthesis designs: implications for conducting and reporting systematic reviews of qualitative and quantitative evidence. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 61.	5 <b>.</b> 3	365
54	Case management in primary care among frequent users of healthcare services with chronic conditions: protocol of a realist synthesis. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017701.	1.9	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	The value of user feedback: Parent's comments to online health and wellâ€being information providers. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2017, 54, 662-663.	0.6	6
56	Organizational participatory research: a systematic mixed studies review exposing its extra benefits and the key factors associated with them. Implementation Science, 2017, 12, 119.	6.9	58
57	Métodos de pesquisa mistos e revisões de literatura mistas: conceitos, construção e critérios de avaliação. InCID Revista De Ciência Da Informação E Documentação, 2017, 8, 4.	0.1	20
58	When Educational Material Is Delivered: A Mixed Methods Content Validation Study of the Information Assessment Method. JMIR Medical Education, 2017, 3, e4.	2.6	8
59	Opening-up the definition of systematic literature review: the plurality of worldviews, methodologies and methods for reviews and syntheses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 73, 2-5.	5.0	47
60	Strategies and impacts of patient and family engagement in collaborative mental healthcare: protocol for a systematic and realist review. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e012949.	1.9	6
61	Organizational interventions improving access to community-based primary health care for vulnerable populations: a scoping review. International Journal for Equity in Health, 2016, 15, 168.	3.5	50
62	Expected health benefits of e-Therapeutics Highlights according to pharmacists and physicians. Canadian Pharmacists Journal, 2016, 149, 70-74.	0.8	4
63	An open letter to <i>The BMJ</i> editors on qualitative research. BMJ, The, 2016, 352, i563.	6.0	234
64	Perceived outcomes of online parenting information according to selfâ€selected participants from a population of website users. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2015, 52, 1-3.	0.6	9
65	Toward an information management system for handling parenting information users' comments. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2015, 52, 1-3.	0.6	3
66	Successful Strategies to Engage Research Partners for Translating Evidence into Action in Community Health: A Critical Review. Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2015, 2015, 1-15.	0.9	56
67	Patient Information Aid: Promoting the Right to Know, Evaluate, and Share Consumer Health Information Found on the Internet. Journal of Consumer Health on the Internet, 2015, 19, 233-240.	0.4	2
68	The value of user feedback: Healthcare professionals' comments to the health information provider. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2015, 66, 377-391.	2.9	10
69	Mixed kinds of evidence: synthesis designs and critical appraisal for systematic mixed studies reviews including qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies. Evidence-Based Medicine, 2015, 20, 79-79.	0.6	24
70	Feasibility of a randomized controlled trial to evaluate the impact of decision boxes on shared decision-making processes. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2015, 15, 13.	3.0	10
71	Patient-Oriented Evidence that Matters (POEMs)Â Suggest Potential Clinical Topics for the Choosing Wisely Campaign. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2015, 28, 184-189.	1.5	15
72	Regulation profiles of e-cigarettes in the United States: a critical review with qualitative synthesis. BMC Medicine, 2015, 13, 130.	5.5	30

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Systematic mixed studies reviews: Updating results on the reliability and efficiency of the mixed methods appraisal tool. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2015, 52, 500-501.	5.6	245
74	Advantages and Disadvantages of Educational Email Alerts for Family Physicians: Viewpoint. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2015, 17, e49.	4.3	10
75	Manifesto for family medicine educational research. Canadian Family Physician, 2015, 61, 745-7, e398-400.	0.4	2
76	Partners for the optimal organisation of the healthcare continuum for high users of health and social services: protocol of a developmental evaluation case study design. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e006991.	1.9	8
77	Critical reflections on realist review: insights from customizing the methodology to the needs of participatory research assessment. Research Synthesis Methods, 2014, 5, 131-141.	8.7	105
78	Barriers to Implementation of Case Management for Patients With Dementia: A Systematic Mixed Studies Review. Annals of Family Medicine, 2014, 12, 456-465.	1.9	53
79	Case management for dementia in primary health care: a systematic mixed studies review based on the diffusion of innovation model. Clinical Interventions in Aging, 2014, 9, 915.	2.9	48
80	Combining the Power of Stories and the Power of Numbers: Mixed Methods Research and Mixed Studies Reviews. Annual Review of Public Health, 2014, 35, 29-45.	17.4	872
81	Development and Content Validation of the Information Assessment Method for Patients and Consumers. JMIR Research Protocols, 2014, 3, e7.	1.0	34
82	"Better-than-best" evidence? Using family physicians' feedback for 2-way knowledge translation. Canadian Family Physician, 2014, 60, 415-7.	0.4	10
83	Physician assessments of the value of therapeutic information delivered via e-mail. Canadian Family Physician, 2014, 60, e258-62.	0.4	12
84	Four levels of outcomes of informationâ€seeking: A mixed methods study in primary health care. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2013, 64, 108-125.	2.6	64
85	The Clinical Relevance of Information Index ( <scp>CRII</scp> ): assessing the relevance of health information to the clinical practice. Health Information and Libraries Journal, 2013, 30, 110-120.	2.5	13
86	In pursuit of a valid information assessment method for continuing education: a mixed methods study. BMC Medical Education, 2013, 13, 137.	2.4	3
87	Number Needed to Benefit From Information (NNBI): Proposal From a Mixed Methods Research Study With Practicing Family Physicians. Annals of Family Medicine, 2013, 11, 559-567.	1.9	21
88	An Online Knowledge Resource and Questionnaires as a Continuing Pharmacy Education Tool to Document Reflective Learning. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 2012, 76, 82.	2.1	16
89	Feasibility of a Knowledge Translation CME Program: Courriels Cochrane. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 2012, 32, 134-141.	1.3	26
90	Customer feedback management: Developing an organizational process of information use. Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 2011, 48, 1-4.	0.2	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Physicians' assessment of the value of clinical information: Operationalization of a theoretical model. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2011, 62, 1884-1891.	2.6	30
92	Do Family Physicians Retrieve Synopses of Clinical Research Previously Read as Email Alerts?. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2011, 13, e101.	4.3	11
93	A reflective learning framework to evaluate CME effects on practice reflection. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 2010, 30, 78-88.	1.3	30
94	Evaluation of email alerts in practice: part $1 \text{ â} \in \text{``}$ review of the literature on clinical emailing channels. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2010, 16, 1227-1235.	1.8	18
95	Evaluation of email alerts in practice: Part 2 – validation of the information assessment method. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2010, 16, 1236-1243.	1.8	25
96	Understanding divergence of quantitative and qualitative data (or results) in mixed methods studies. International Journal of Multiple Research Approaches, 2009, 3, 58-72.	0.1	68
97	A scoring system for appraising mixed methods research, and concomitantly appraising qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods primary studies in Mixed Studies Reviews. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2009, 46, 529-546.	5.6	763
98	The cognitive impact of research synopses on physicians: a prospective observational analysis of evidence-based summaries sent by email. Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics, 2009, 17, 79-86.	0.9	5
99	A mix-method approach to the cultural understanding of distress and the non-use of mental health services. Journal of Mental Health, 2007, 16, 731-741.	1.9	20
100	Seven reasons why health professionals search clinical information-retrieval technology (CIRT): toward an organizational model. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2007, 13, 39-49.	1.8	21
101	Systematically Assessing the Situational Relevance of Electronic Knowledge Resources: A Mixed Methods Study. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2007, 14, 616-625.	4.4	11
102	Cognitive impact assessment of electronic knowledge resources: a mixed methods evaluation study of a handheld prototype. AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings, 2006, , 634-8.	0.2	1
103	Impact of clinical information-retrieval technology on physicians: A literature review of quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 2005, 74, 745-768.	3.3	90
104	A new impact assessment method to evaluate knowledge resources. AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings, 2005, , 609-13.	0.2	5
105	Community coalitions and health promotion: is it that important to develop an inter-organisational network?. Global Health Promotion, 2004, 11, 17-23.	0.7	0
106	Shortcomings of health information on the Internet. Health Promotion International, 2003, 18, 381-386.	1.8	295
107	Factors and outcomes of collaborative information seeking: A mixed studies review with a framework synthesis. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 0, , .	2.9	6
108	Teaching-learning methodologies from the perspective of nursing students. Revista Da Rede De Enfermagem Do Nordeste, 0, 19, e3408.	0.2	0