

Pierre Pluye

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6833386/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

108
papers

6,717
citations

201674

27
h-index

71685

76
g-index

117
all docs

117
docs citations

117
times ranked

8005
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2018, 34, 285-291.	0.5	1,312
2	Combining the Power of Stories and the Power of Numbers: Mixed Methods Research and Mixed Studies Reviews. <i>Annual Review of Public Health</i> , 2014, 35, 29-45.	17.4	872
3	A scoring system for appraising mixed methods research, and concomitantly appraising qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods primary studies in Mixed Studies Reviews. <i>International Journal of Nursing Studies</i> , 2009, 46, 529-546.	5.6	763
4	Improving the content validity of the mixed methods appraisal tool: a modified e-Delphi study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 111, 49-59.e1.	5.0	441
5	Improving the usefulness of a tool for appraising the quality of qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies, the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT). <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2018, 24, 459-467.	1.8	392
6	Convergent and sequential synthesis designs: implications for conducting and reporting systematic reviews of qualitative and quantitative evidence. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 61.	5.3	365
7	Shortcomings of health information on the Internet. <i>Health Promotion International</i> , 2003, 18, 381-386.	1.8	295
8	Systematic mixed studies reviews: Updating results on the reliability and efficiency of the mixed methods appraisal tool. <i>International Journal of Nursing Studies</i> , 2015, 52, 500-501.	5.6	245
9	An open letter to The BMJ editors on qualitative research. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2016, 352, i563.	6.0	234
10	Critical reflections on realist review: insights from customizing the methodology to the needs of participatory research assessment. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2014, 5, 131-141.	8.7	105
11	Impact of clinical information-retrieval technology on physicians: A literature review of quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies. <i>International Journal of Medical Informatics</i> , 2005, 74, 745-768.	3.3	90
12	An Overview of Reviews on Interprofessional Collaboration in Primary Care: Barriers and Facilitators. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2021, 21, 32.	0.2	69
13	Understanding divergence of quantitative and qualitative data (or results) in mixed methods studies. <i>International Journal of Multiple Research Approaches</i> , 2009, 3, 58-72.	0.1	68
14	Four levels of outcomes of information seeking: A mixed methods study in primary health care. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2013, 64, 108-125.	2.6	64
15	A Conceptual Framework for Critical Appraisal in Systematic Mixed Studies Reviews. <i>Journal of Mixed Methods Research</i> , 2019, 13, 446-460.	2.6	64
16	A World of Possibilities in Mixed Methods: Review of the Combinations of Strategies Used to Integrate Qualitative and Quantitative Phases, Results and Data. <i>International Journal of Multiple Research Approaches</i> , 2018, 10, 41-56.	0.1	64
17	Organizational participatory research: a systematic mixed studies review exposing its extra benefits and the key factors associated with them. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2017, 12, 119.	6.9	58
18	Successful Strategies to Engage Research Partners for Translating Evidence into Action in Community Health: A Critical Review. <i>Journal of Environmental and Public Health</i> , 2015, 2015, 1-15.	0.9	56

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Barriers to Implementation of Case Management for Patients With Dementia: A Systematic Mixed Studies Review. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2014, 12, 456-465.	1.9	53
20	Reducing Negative Outcomes of Online Consumer Health Information: Qualitative Interpretive Study with Clinicians, Librarians, and Consumers. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2018, 20, e169.	4.3	52
21	Organizational interventions improving access to community-based primary health care for vulnerable populations: a scoping review. <i>International Journal for Equity in Health</i> , 2016, 15, 168.	3.5	50
22	Case management for dementia in primary health care: a systematic mixed studies review based on the diffusion of innovation model. <i>Clinical Interventions in Aging</i> , 2014, 9, 915.	2.9	48
23	Opening-up the definition of systematic literature review: the plurality of worldviews, methodologies and methods for reviews and syntheses. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 73, 2-5.	5.0	47
24	Health outcomes of online consumer health information: A systematic mixed studies review with framework synthesis. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2019, 70, 643-659.	2.9	46
25	Can we Build on Social Movement Theories to Develop and Improve Community-Based Participatory Research? A Framework Synthesis Review. <i>American Journal of Community Psychology</i> , 2017, 59, 333-362.	2.5	38
26	Development and Content Validation of the Information Assessment Method for Patients and Consumers. <i>JMIR Research Protocols</i> , 2014, 3, e7.	1.0	34
27	A reflective learning framework to evaluate CME effects on practice reflection. <i>Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions</i> , 2010, 30, 78-88.	1.3	30
28	Physicians' assessment of the value of clinical information: Operationalization of a theoretical model. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011, 62, 1884-1891.	2.6	30
29	Regulation profiles of e-cigarettes in the United States: a critical review with qualitative synthesis. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2015, 13, 130.	5.5	30
30	Practical mixed methods strategies used to integrate qualitative and quantitative methods in community-based primary health care research. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2019, 36, 666-671.	1.9	28
31	Feasibility of a Knowledge Translation CME Program: Courriels Cochrane. <i>Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions</i> , 2012, 32, 134-141.	1.3	26
32	Evaluation of email alerts in practice: Part 2 – validation of the information assessment method. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2010, 16, 1236-1243.	1.8	25
33	The planning and reporting of mixed methods studies on the built environment and health. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2019, 126, 105752.	3.4	25
34	An Overview of Reviews on Interprofessional Collaboration in Primary Care: Effectiveness. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2021, 21, 31.	0.2	25
35	Mixed kinds of evidence: synthesis designs and critical appraisal for systematic mixed studies reviews including qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies. <i>Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2015, 20, 79-79.	0.6	24
36	Seven reasons why health professionals search clinical information-retrieval technology (CIRT): toward an organizational model. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2007, 13, 39-49.	1.8	21

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Number Needed to Benefit From Information (NNBI): Proposal From a Mixed Methods Research Study With Practicing Family Physicians. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2013, 11, 559-567.	1.9	21
38	Why and how to use mixed methods in primary health care research. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2019, 36, 365-368.	1.9	21
39	A mix-method approach to the cultural understanding of distress and the non-use of mental health services. <i>Journal of Mental Health</i> , 2007, 16, 731-741.	1.9	20
40	Métodos de pesquisa mistos e revisões de literatura mistas: conceitos, construção e critérios de avaliação. In <i>CID Revista De Ciência Da Informação E Documentação</i> , 2017, 8, 4.	0.1	20
41	Evaluation of email alerts in practice: part 1 – review of the literature on clinical emailing channels. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2010, 16, 1227-1235.	1.8	18
42	Decisional needs assessment of patients with complex care needs in primary care: a participatory systematic mixed studies review protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e016400.	1.9	18
43	Decisional needs assessment of patients with complex care needs in primary care. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2020, 26, 489-502.	1.8	18
44	Discriminating between empirical studies and nonempirical works using automated text classification. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2018, 9, 587-601.	8.7	17
45	An Online Knowledge Resource and Questionnaires as a Continuing Pharmacy Education Tool to Document Reflective Learning. <i>American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education</i> , 2012, 76, 82.	2.1	16
46	Systematic reviews: A brief historical overview. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2018, 34, 261-276.	0.5	16
47	Patient-Oriented Evidence that Matters (POEMs) Suggest Potential Clinical Topics for the Choosing Wisely Campaign. <i>Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine</i> , 2015, 28, 184-189.	1.5	15
48	Case management in primary care among frequent users of healthcare services with chronic conditions: protocol of a realist synthesis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e017701.	1.9	14
49	Case management in primary care for frequent users of healthcare services with chronic diseases and complex care needs: an implementation and realist evaluation protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e026433.	1.9	14
50	The Clinical Relevance of Information Index ($CRII$): assessing the relevance of health information to the clinical practice. <i>Health Information and Libraries Journal</i> , 2013, 30, 110-120.	2.5	13
51	Delineating and Operationalizing the Definition of Patient-Oriented Research: A Modified e-Delphi Study. <i>Journal of Patient-centered Research and Reviews</i> , 2019, 6, 7-16.	0.9	13
52	Outcomes of Equity-Oriented, Web-Based Parenting Information in Mothers of Low Socioeconomic Status Compared to Other Mothers: Participatory Mixed Methods Study. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2020, 22, e22440.	4.3	12
53	Physician assessments of the value of therapeutic information delivered via e-mail. <i>Canadian Family Physician</i> , 2014, 60, e258-62.	0.4	12
54	Systematically Assessing the Situational Relevance of Electronic Knowledge Resources: A Mixed Methods Study. <i>Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA</i> , 2007, 14, 616-625.	4.4	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Do Family Physicians Retrieve Synopses of Clinical Research Previously Read as Email Alerts?. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2011, 13, e101.	4.3	11
56	The value of user feedback: Healthcare professionals' comments to the health information provider. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2015, 66, 377-391.	2.9	10
57	Feasibility of a randomized controlled trial to evaluate the impact of decision boxes on shared decision-making processes. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2015, 15, 13.	3.0	10
58	Advantages and Disadvantages of Educational Email Alerts for Family Physicians: Viewpoint. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2015, 17, e49.	4.3	10
59	"Better-than-best" evidence? Using family physicians' feedback for 2-way knowledge translation. Canadian Family Physician, 2014, 60, 415-7.	0.4	10
60	Perceived outcomes of online parenting information according to self-selected participants from a population of website users. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2015, 52, 1-3.	0.6	9
61	Partners for the optimal organisation of the healthcare continuum for high users of health and social services: protocol of a developmental evaluation case study design. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e006991.	1.9	8
62	A systematic mixed studies review on Organizational Participatory Research: towards operational guidance. BMC Health Services Research, 2018, 18, 992.	2.2	8
63	The Information Assessment Method: Over 15 years of research evaluating the value of health information. Education for Information, 2020, 36, 7-18.	0.5	8
64	Cannabis against chronic musculoskeletal pain: a scoping review on users and their perceptions. Journal of Cannabis Research, 2021, 3, 41.	3.2	8
65	When Educational Material Is Delivered: A Mixed Methods Content Validation Study of the Information Assessment Method. JMIR Medical Education, 2017, 3, e4.	2.6	8
66	The wiki toolkit for planning, conducting and reporting mixed studies reviews. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 277-283.	0.5	7
67	Contexts and Outcomes of Proxy Online Health Information Seeking: Mixed Studies Review With Framework Synthesis. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2022, 24, e34345.	4.3	7
68	Strategies and impacts of patient and family engagement in collaborative mental healthcare: protocol for a systematic and realist review. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e012949.	1.9	6
69	The value of user feedback: Parent's comments to online health and well-being information providers. Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2017, 54, 662-663.	0.6	6
70	Crowdsourcing a mixed systematic review on a complex topic and a heterogeneous population: Lessons learned. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 293-300.	0.5	6
71	High Users of Healthcare Services: Development and Alpha Testing of a Patient Decision Aid for Case Management. Patient, 2020, 13, 757-766.	2.7	6
72	Assessing and Improving the Use of Online Information About Child Development, Education, Health, and Well-Being in Low-Education, Low-Income Parents: Protocol for a Mixed-Methods Multiphase Study. JMIR Research Protocols, 2018, 7, e186.	1.0	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Factors and outcomes of collaborative information seeking: A mixed studies review with a framework synthesis. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 0, , .	2.9	6
74	Understanding parents' use of a knowledge translation tool to manage children's vaccination pain. <i>Pain Reports</i> , 2021, 6, e907.	2.7	5
75	The cognitive impact of research synopses on physicians: a prospective observational analysis of evidence-based summaries sent by email. <i>Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics</i> , 2009, 17, 79-86.	0.9	5
76	A new impact assessment method to evaluate knowledge resources. <i>AMIA ... Annual Symposium proceedings</i> , 2005, , 609-13.	0.2	5
77	Proxy Information Seeking by Users of a Parenting Information Website: Quantitative Observational Study. <i>JMIR Pediatrics and Parenting</i> , 2022, 5, e32406.	1.6	5
78	Expected health benefits of e-Therapeutics Highlights according to pharmacists and physicians. <i>Canadian Pharmacists Journal</i> , 2016, 149, 70-74.	0.8	4
79	Evaluating implementation and impact of a provincial quality improvement collaborative for the management of chronic diseases in primary care: the COMPAS+ study protocol. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2020, 21, 3.	2.9	4
80	Impact of a videoconferencing educational programme for the management of concurrent disorders on nurses' competency development and clinical practice: protocol for a convergent mixed methods study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e042875.	1.9	4
81	Systematic Reviews of Systematic Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Studies Reviews in Healthcare Research: How to Assess the Methodological Quality of Included Reviews?. <i>Journal of Mixed Methods Research</i> , 2023, 17, 51-69.	2.6	4
82	Maternal Experience with Online Information on Parenting and Infant Care: Qualitative Findings from Quebec, Canada. <i>Journal of Child and Family Studies</i> , 2022, 31, 1798-1808.	1.3	4
83	Better understanding care transitions of adults with complex health and social care needs: a study protocol. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2022, 22, 206.	2.2	4
84	In pursuit of a valid information assessment method for continuing education: a mixed methods study. <i>BMC Medical Education</i> , 2013, 13, 137.	2.4	3
85	Toward an information management system for handling parenting information users' comments. <i>Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 52, 1-3.	0.6	3
86	Overcoming the digital divide? Low education low income parents are equally likely to report benefits associated with online parenting information. <i>Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2017, 54, 775-777.	0.6	3
87	Understanding collaboration in monitoring research publications: Protocol for a qualitative multiple case study. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2020, 36, 69-79.	0.5	3
88	Patient Information Aid: Promoting the Right to Know, Evaluate, and Share Consumer Health Information Found on the Internet. <i>Journal of Consumer Health on the Internet</i> , 2015, 19, 233-240.	0.4	2
89	Top 5 primary care topics for pharmacists in 2015. <i>Canadian Pharmacists Journal</i> , 2017, 150, 17-18.	0.8	2
90	Disseminating health evidence summaries to increase evidence use in health care. <i>Revista De Saude Publica</i> , 2018, 52, 57.	1.7	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Patient Information Aid: An innovative educational program to improve outcomes of online consumer health information. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2019, 35, 41-49.	0.5	2
92	Factors associated with parents'™ experiences using a knowledge translation tool for vaccination pain management: a qualitative study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2021, 21, 355.	2.2	2
93	Manifesto for family medicine educational research. <i>Canadian Family Physician</i> , 2015, 61, 745-7, e398-400.	0.4	2
94	Top 5 primary care topics for pharmacists in 2016. <i>Canadian Pharmacists Journal</i> , 2018, 151, 176-178.	0.8	1
95	Is online consumer health information only beneficial for the wealthy and the educated? A commentary. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2019, 35, 35-39.	0.5	1
96	Evaluating online health information sources using a mixed methods approach: Part 2. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2019, 35, 3-5.	0.5	1
97	Development and Validation of the McGill Empowerment Assessment™Diabetes (MEA-D). <i>Diabetes Spectrum</i> , 2020, 33, 307-314.	1.0	1
98	Using spaced education to deliver clinical information to medical residents: A mixed methods pilot study. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2020, 36, 29-50.	0.5	1
99	Cognitive impact assessment of electronic knowledge resources: a mixed methods evaluation study of a handheld prototype. <i>AMIA ... Annual Symposium proceedings</i> , 2006, , 634-8.	0.2	1
100	Customer feedback management: Developing an organizational process of information use. <i>Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011, 48, 1-4.	0.2	0
101	Evaluating online health information sources using a mixed methods approach: Part I. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2018, 34, 255-259.	0.5	0
102	Evaluating online health information sources using a mixed methods approach. Part 3. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2020, 36, 1-6.	0.5	0
103	Identifying empirical studies for mixed studies reviews: The mixed filter and the automated text classifier. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2020, 36, 101-105.	0.5	0
104	Will this benefit my patients? Expected benefits of information from a continuing medical education program may lead to higher participation rates by family physicians. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2020, 36, 51-58.	0.5	0
105	Evidence reversals in primary care research: a study of randomized controlled trials. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2021, , .	1.9	0
106	Teaching-learning methodologies from the perspective of nursing students. <i>Revista Da Rede De Enfermagem Do Nordeste</i> , 0, 19, e3408.	0.2	0
107	Combinations of Practical Integration Strategies Used in Mixed Methods Information Studies. <i>Advances in Knowledge Acquisition, Transfer and Management Book Series</i> , 2022, , 70-86.	0.2	0
108	Community coalitions and health promotion: is it that important to develop an inter-organisational network?. <i>Global Health Promotion</i> , 2004, 11, 17-23.	0.7	0