Daniel Strech

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6775536/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

172457 223800 2,941 109 29 46 citations h-index g-index papers 167 167 167 3314 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Results dissemination from completed clinical trials conducted at German university medical centers remained delayed and incomplete. The 2014 \hat{a} \in 2017 cohort. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 144, 1-7.	5.0	19
2	Declaration of common standards for the preregistration of animal research â§"speeding up the scientific progress. , 2022, 1, .		4
3	Results publications are inadequately linked to trial registrations: An automated pipeline and evaluation of German university medical centers. Clinical Trials, 2022, 19, 337-346.	1.6	6
4	Do German university medical centres promote robust and transparent research? A cross-sectional study of institutional policies. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2022, 20, 39.	2.8	2
5	Data protection-compliant broad consent for secondary use of health care data and human biosamples for (bio)medical research: Towards a new German national standard. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 2022, 131, 104096.	4.3	19
6	Stakeholders' views on an institutional dashboard with metrics for responsible research. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0269492.	2.5	3
7	Details of risk–benefit communication in informed consent documents for phase I/II trials. Clinical Trials, 2021, 18, 71-80.	1.6	7
8	Reporting of prior clinical studies in Investigator's Brochures did notÂadhere to the basic principles of evidence synthesis: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 130, 87-95.	5 . 0	2
9	The full spectrum of ethical issues in dementia research: findings of a systematic qualitative review. BMC Medical Ethics, 2021, 22, 32.	2.4	13
10	Web-based survey among animal researchers on publication practices and incentives for increasing publication rates. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0250362.	2.5	3
11	Robust preclinical evidence in somatic cell genome editing: A key driver of responsible and efficient therapeutic innovations. Drug Discovery Today, 2021, 26, 2238-2243.	6.4	2
12	Pandemien und Forschungsethik. Eine $ ilde{A}$ $ ilde{\omega}$ bersicht zu zentralen Herausforderungen. , 2021, , 163-178.		1
13	Results availability and timeliness of registered COVID-19 clinical trials: interim cross-sectional results from the DIRECCT study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e053096.	1.9	7
14	Implementation of data access and use procedures in clinical data warehouses. A systematic review of literature and publicly available policies. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2020, 20, 157.	3.0	10
15	Investigator brochures for phase I/II trials lack information on the robustness of preclinical safety studies. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2020, 87, 2723-2731.	2.4	9
16	Results dissemination of registered clinical trials across Polish academic institutions: a cross-sectional analysis. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034666.	1.9	11
17	Improving the trustworthiness, usefulness, and ethics of biomedical research through an innovative and comprehensive institutional initiative. PLoS Biology, 2020, 18, e3000576.	5.6	23
18	Attitudes towards animal study registries and their characteristics: An online survey of three cohorts of animal researchers. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0226443.	2.5	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Umgang mit Mittelbegrenzung im Gesundheitswesen. , 2020, , 751-770.		0
20	Result dissemination from clinical trials conducted at German university medical centers was delayed and incomplete. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 115, 37-45.	5.0	42
21	3Rs missing: animal research without scientific value is unethical3Rs missing: animal research without scientific value is unethical. BMJ Open Science, 2019, 33, .	1.7	47
22	Broad donor consent for human biobanks in Germany and Europe: a strategy to facilitate cross-border sharing and exchange of human biological materials and related data. Journal of Laboratory Medicine, 2019, 43, 291-299.	1.1	7
23	Publication rates in animal research. Extent and characteristics of published and non-published animal studies followed up at two German university medical centres. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0223758.	2.5	29
24	Practice evaluation of biobank ethics and governance: current needs and future perspectives. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2019, 56, 176-185.	3.2	14
25	42 Investigator's brochures: do they adhere to basic principles of evidence synthesis methods?., 2019,,.		1
26	The spectrum of ethical issues in a Learning Health Care System: a systematic qualitative review. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2018, 30, 161-168.	1.8	27
27	5. Ethik und rechtliche Fragen. , 2018, , 157-186.		0
28	Why are so few patients rating their physicians on German physician rating websites? A qualitative study. BMC Health Services Research, 2018, 18, 670.	2.2	14
29	The bench is closer to the bedside than we think: Uncovering the ethical ties between preclinical researchers in translational neuroscience and patients in clinical trials. PLoS Biology, 2018, 16, e2006343.	5.6	20
30	Preclinical efficacy in therapeutic area guidelines from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency: a crossâ€sectional study. British Journal of Pharmacology, 2018, 175, 4229-4238.	5.4	19
31	Preclinical efficacy studies in investigator brochures: Do they enable risk–benefit assessment?. PLoS Biology, 2018, 16, e2004879.	5.6	55
32	Standards of practice in empirical bioethics research: towards a consensus. BMC Medical Ethics, 2018, 19, 68.	2.4	62
33	The Public's Awareness of and Attitude Toward Research Biobanks – A Regional German Survey. Frontiers in Genetics, 2018, 9, 190.	2.3	20
34	Routinely collected data for randomized trials: promises, barriers, and implications. Trials, 2018, 19, 29.	1.6	98
35	Current practices for access, compensation, and prioritization in biobanks. Results from an interview study. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2018, 26, 1572-1581.	2.8	16
36	Tracking the timely dissemination of clinical studies. Characteristics and impact of 10 tracking variables. F1000Research, 2018, 7, 1863.	1.6	4

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Off-label use, compassionate use und individuelle Heilversuche: ethische Implikationen zulassungsüberschreitender Arzneimittelanwendungen. , 2017, , 95-105.		4
38	Access policies in biobank research: what criteria do they include and how publicly available are they? A cross-sectional study. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2017, 25, 293-300.	2.8	31
39	Qualitäund Ethik – BeitrÃ g e zur guten Gesundheitsversorgung. Ethik in Der Medizin, 2017, 29, 183-185.	1.0	O
40	Ethical issues in obesity prevention for school children: a systematic qualitative review. International Journal of Public Health, 2017, 62, 981-988.	2.3	5
41	Ethical issues in public health surveillance: a systematic qualitative review. BMC Public Health, 2017, 17, 295.	2.9	48
42	Participatory improvement of a template for informed consent documents in biobank research - study results and methodological reflections. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 78.	2.4	26
43	An integrated conceptual framework for evaluating and improving â€~understanding' in informed consent. Trials, 2017, 18, 482.	1.6	13
44	What methods do reviews of normative ethics literature use for search, selection, analysis, and synthesis? In-depth results from a systematic review of reviews. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 261.	5.3	18
45	Developments in the Frequency of Ratings and Evaluation Tendencies: A Review of German Physician Rating Websites. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2017, 19, e299.	4.3	22
46	Public Awareness and Use of German Physician Ratings Websites: Cross-Sectional Survey of Four North German Cities. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2017, 19, e387.	4.3	18
47	Applying for, reviewing and funding public health research in Germany and beyond. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2016, 14, 43.	2.8	14
48	Do Public Involvement Activities in Biomedical Research and Innovation Recruit Representatively? A Systematic Qualitative Review. Public Health Genomics, 2016, 19, 193-202.	1.0	12
49	Ethics Reporting in Biospecimen and Genetic Research: Current Practice and Suggestions for Changes. PLoS Biology, 2016, 14, e1002521.	5.6	2
50	A template for broad consent in biobank research. Results and explanation of an evidence and consensus-based development process. European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2016, 59, 295-309.	1.3	47
51	Current state of ethics literature synthesis: a systematic review of reviews. BMC Medicine, 2016, 14, 152.	5.5	45
52	Input analysis for two public consultations on the EU Clinical Trials Regulation. Health Research Policy and Systems, 2016, 14, 69.	2.8	0
53	Collective agency and the concept of â€~public' in public involvement: A practice-oriented analysis. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 1.	2.4	70
54	The contribution and attitudes of research ethics committees to complete registration and non-selective reporting of clinical trials: A European survey. Research Ethics, 2016, 12, 123-136.	1.7	3

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
55	Bias in dissemination of clinical research findings: structured OPEN framework of what, who and why, based on literature review and expert consensus. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010024.	1.9	16
56	The full spectrum of ethical issues in the care of patients with ALS: a systematic qualitative review. Journal of Neurology, 2016, 263, 201-209.	3.6	21
57	Animal Study Registries: Results from a Stakeholder Analysis on Potential Strengths, Weaknesses, Facilitators, and Barriers. PLoS Biology, 2016, 14, e2000391.	5.6	24
58	The Full Spectrum of Clinical Ethical Issues in Kidney Failure. Findings of a Systematic Qualitative Review. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0149357.	2.5	14
59	Umgang mit Mittelbegrenzung im Gesundheitswesen. , 2016, , 1-20.		0
60	Clinical Ethics in Gabon: The Spectrum of Clinical Ethical Issues Based on Findings from In-Depth Interviews at Three Public Hospitals. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132374.	2.5	9
61	Putting Public Health Ethics into Practice: A Systematic Framework. Frontiers in Public Health, 2015, 3, 23.	2.7	61
62	Evidence-informed recommendations to reduce dissemination bias in clinical research: conclusions from the OPEN (Overcome failure to Publish nEgative fiNdings) project based on an international consensus meeting. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e006666-e006666.	1.9	49
63	Ethical review of biobank research: Should RECs review each release of material from biobanks operating under an already-approved broad consent and data protection model?. European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2015, 58, 545-549.	1.3	13
64	Research Guideline Recommendations for Broad Consent Forms in Biobank Research and How They Are Currently Addressed in Practice. American Journal of Bioethics, 2015, 15, 60-63.	0.9	5
65	Ethics Literacy and "Ethics University― Two Intertwined Models for Public Involvement and Empowerment in Bioethics. Frontiers in Public Health, 2015, 3, 287.	2.7	2
66	Do Editorial Policies Support Ethical Research? A Thematic Text Analysis of Author Instructions in Psychiatry Journals. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e97492.	2.5	9
67	Current Practice of Public Involvement Activities in Biomedical Research and Innovation: A Systematic Qualitative Review. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e113274.	2.5	32
68	When Choosing Wisely meets clinical practice guidelines. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2014, 108, 601-603.	0.9	18
69	Did we describe what you meant? Findings and methodological discussion of an empirical validation study for a systematic review of reasons. BMC Medical Ethics, 2014, 15, 69.	2.4	6
70	International requirements for consent in biobank research: qualitative review of research guidelines. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2014, 51, 773-781.	3.2	16
71	Systematic and transparent inclusion of ethical issues and recommendations in clinical practice guidelines: a six-step approach. Implementation Science, 2014, 9, 184.	6.9	13
72	How can bedside rationing be justified despite coexisting inefficiency? The need for †benchmarks of efficiency'. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2014, 40, 89-93.	1.8	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Which Public to Involve? More Reflection on Collective Agency and Sufficient Representativeness Is Needed. American Journal of Bioethics, 2014, 14, 31-33.	0.9	O
74	Participation rate or informed choice? Rethinking the European key performance indicators for mammography screening. Health Policy, 2014, 115, 100-103.	3.0	13
75	Extent of Non-Publication in Cohorts of Studies Approved by Research Ethics Committees or Included in Trial Registries. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e114023.	2.5	169
76	Dissemination Bias in Systematic Reviews of Animal Research: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e116016.	2.5	22
77	Evidence-based health information from the users' perspective – a qualitative analysis. BMC Health Services Research, 2013, 13, 405.	2.2	23
78	To overcome failure to publish negative findings: The OPEN project. Maturitas, 2013, 76, 111-112.	2.4	6
79	Inclusion of Ethical Issues in Dementia Guidelines: A Thematic Text Analysis. PLoS Medicine, 2013, 10, e1001498.	8.4	19
80	The full spectrum of ethical issues in dementia care: systematic qualitative review. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2013, 202, 400-406.	2.8	51
81	Practice variation across consent templates for biobank research. a survey of German biobanks. Frontiers in Genetics, 2013, 4, 240.	2.3	16
82	How Psychiatry Journals Support the Unbiased Translation of Clinical Research. A Cross-Sectional Study of Editorial Policies. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e75995.	2.5	30
83	The Impact of Social Media on Medical Professionalism: A Systematic Qualitative Review of Challenges and Opportunities. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2013, 15, e184.	4.3	117
84	Systematic Reviews of Reasons in Public Health Ethics Literature: A Roadmap. Public Health Ethics Analysis, 2013, , 119-138.	0.4	0
85	Where Public Health Meets Ethics. Conceptual Foundations and Practical Challenges of Public Health. Public Health Ethics Analysis, 2013, , 5-23.	0.4	0
86	How to write a systematic review of reasons. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2012, 38, 121-126.	1.8	108
87	Why the "Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation―Instrument Can and Should Further Inform Ethics Policy Work. American Journal of Bioethics, 2012, 12, 25-27.	0.9	6
88	Normative arguments and new solutions for the unbiased registration and publication of clinical trials. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2012, 65, 276-281.	5.0	29
89	Lack of proportionality. Seven specifications of public interest that override post-approval commercial interests on limited access to clinical data. Trials, 2012, 13, 100.	1.6	11
90	THE NEED FOR SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS OF REASONS. Bioethics, 2012, 26, 315-328.	1.4	54

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Public-Health-Ethik., 2012, , 137-142.		1
92	Improved Evidence Will Support Sound Decision Making but Will Not Solve the Problem of Cost Containment in Oncology. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2011, 29, 3943-3943.	1.6	1
93	Reasons Why Post-Trial Access to Trial Drugs Should, or Need not be Ensured to Research Participants: A Systematic Review. Public Health Ethics, 2011, 4, 160-184.	1.0	70
94	Quality of ethical guidelines and ethical content in clinical guidelines: the example of end-of-life decision-making. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2011, 37, 390-396.	1.8	28
95	How to Evaluate Conflict of Interest Policies. American Journal of Bioethics, 2011, 11, 37-39.	0.9	6
96	Kostensensible Leitlinien als Priorisierungsinstrument. , 2011, , 75-98.		1
97	Ethical Principles for Physician Rating Sites. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2011, 13, e113.	4.3	51
98	Quality of Reporting of Randomized Controlled Trials of Pharmacologic Treatment of Bipolar Disorders. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 2011, 72, 1214-1221.	2.2	21
99	Zur Abgrenzung zwischen Interessenkonflikten, Fehlverhalten und professioneller IntegritÃ ¤ , 2011, , 81-87.		0
100	The Role of Ethics Committees and Ethics Consultation in Allocation Decisions. Medical Care, 2010, 48, 821-826.	2.4	16
101	The representation of patient experience and satisfaction in physician rating sites. A criteria-based analysis of English- and German-language sites. BMC Health Services Research, 2010, 10, 332.	2.2	71
102	How factual do we want the facts? Criteria for a critical appraisal of empirical research for use in ethics. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2010, 36, 222-225.	1.8	9
103	Zuclopenthixol Dihydrochloride for Schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 2009, 35, 855-856.	4.3	5
104	Are physicians willing to ration health care? Conflicting findings in a systematic review of survey research. Health Policy, 2009, 90, 113-124.	3.0	73
105	Evidence-based ethics – What it should be and what it shouldn't. BMC Medical Ethics, 2008, 9, 16.	2.4	23
106	Value judgments in the analysis and synthesis of evidence. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2008, 61, 521-524.	5.0	59
107	How Physicians Allocate Scarce Resources at the Bedside: A Systematic Review of Qualitative Studies. Journal of Medicine and Philosophy, 2008, 33, 80-99.	0.8	111
108	Systematic reviews of empirical bioethics. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2008, 34, 472-477.	1.8	61

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Zuclopenthixol dihydrochloride for schizophrenia. The Cochrane Library, 2005, , CD005474.	2.8	11