
## Christopher A Podlesnik

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6518137/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Behavioral momentum and relapse of extinguished operant responding. Learning and Behavior, 2009, 37, 357-364.	1.0	128
2	Renewed behavior produced by context change and its implications for treatment maintenance: A review. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 2017, 50, 675-697.	2.7	104
3	Resurgence of alcohol seeking produced by discontinuing non-drug reinforcement as an animal model of drug relapse. Behavioural Pharmacology, 2006, 17, 369-374.	1.7	90
4	Extinction, relapse, and behavioral momentum. Behavioural Processes, 2010, 84, 400-411.	1.1	86
5	Basic and translational evaluation of renewal of operant responding. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 2015, 48, 390-401.	2.7	55
6	Resurgence: Response competition, stimulus control, and reinforcer control. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2014, 102, 231-240.	1.1	51
7	Quantitative models of persistence and relapse from the perspective of behavioral momentum theory: Fits and misfits. Behavioural Processes, 2017, 141, 92-99.	1.1	49
8	Reversal learning and resurgence of operant behavior in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Behavioural Processes, 2017, 142, 79-83.	1.1	38
9	Evaluation of renewal mitigation of negatively reinforced socially significant operant behavior. Learning and Motivation, 2018, 63, 133-141.	1.2	36
10	Laboratory models of treatment relapse and mitigation techniques Behavior Analysis (Washington, D) Tj ETQq0	0 0.fgBT /	Oyerlock 10
11	The effects of nociceptin/orphanin FQ receptor agonist Ro 64-6198 and diazepam on antinociception and remifentanil self-administration in rhesus monkeys. Psychopharmacology, 2011, 213, 53-60.	3.1	35
12	Resistance to change and resurgence in humans engaging in a computer task. Behavioural Processes, 2016, 125, 1-5.	1.1	35
13	RESISTANCE TO EXTINCTION AND RELAPSE IN COMBINED STIMULUS CONTEXTS. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2012, 98, 169-189.	1.1	32
14	Operant models of relapse in zebrafish ( Danio rerio ): Resurgence, renewal, and reinstatement. Behavioural Brain Research, 2017, 335, 215-222.	2.2	32

- 15RATE OF CONDITIONED REINFORCEMENT AFFECTS OBSERVING RATE BUT NOT RESISTANCE TO CHANGE.<br/>Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2005, 84, 1-17.1.131
- 16
   Stimulus generalization and operant context renewal. Behavioural Processes, 2015, 119, 93-98.
   1.1
   31

   17
   MATCHING AND CONDITIONED REINFORCEMENT RATE. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2006, 85, 167-180.
   1.1
   29
- 18Divided attention performance and the matching law. Learning and Behavior, 2006, 34, 255-261.1.029

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Response–reinforcer relations and resistance to change. Behavioural Processes, 2008, 77, 109-125.	1.1	29
20	Assessing the combined effects of resurgence and reinstatement in children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2018, 109, 408-421.	1.1	28
21	Behavioral Momentum Theory: Understanding Persistence and Improving Treatment. Autism and Child Psychopathology Series, 2015, , 327-351.	0.2	25
22	Quantitative analysis of local-level resurgence. Learning and Behavior, 2017, 45, 76-88.	1.0	25
23	RESISTANCE TO CHANGE OF RESPONDING MAINTAINED BY UNSIGNALED DELAYS TO REINFORCEMENT: A RESPONSE-BOUT ANALYSIS. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2006, 85, 329-347.	1.1	23
24	Resurgence is greater following a return to the training context than remaining in the extinction context. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2019, 111, 416-435.	1.1	19
25	An evaluation of resurgence following functional communication training conducted in alternative antecedent contexts via telehealth. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2020, 113, 278-301.	1.1	19
26	CONDITIONED REINFORCEMENT VALUE AND RESISTANCE TO CHANGE. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2008, 89, 263-298.	1.1	18
27	Divided attention and the matching law: Sample duration affects sensitivity to reinforcement allocation. Learning and Behavior, 2007, 35, 141-148.	1.0	17
28	Quantitative analyses of observing and attending. Behavioural Processes, 2008, 78, 145-157.	1.1	16
29	The acquisition and maintenance of dogs' aversion responses to kiwi (Apteryx spp.) training stimuli across time and locations. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 2013, 146, 107-111.	1.9	15
30	No impact of repeated extinction exposures on operant responding maintained by different reinforcer rates. Behavioural Processes, 2017, 138, 29-33.	1.1	15
31	Repeated resurgence with and without a context change. Behavioural Processes, 2020, 174, 104105.	1.1	15
32	A CHOICE PROCEDURE TO ASSESS THE AVERSIVE EFFECTS OF DRUGS IN RODENTS. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2010, 93, 203-223.	1.1	14
33	Signaling added responseâ€independent reinforcement to assess Pavlovian processes in resistance to change and relapse. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2014, 102, 179-197.	1.1	14
34	Training reinforcement rates, resistance to extinction, and the role of context in reinstatement. Learning and Behavior, 2016, 44, 29-48.	1.0	14
35	Resurgence with and without an alternative response. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 2018, 51, 854-865.	2.7	14
36	TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH ON THE RELAPSE OF OPERANT BEHAVIOR. Revista Mexicana De Analisis De La Conducta, 2015, 41, 226-251.	0.1	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Sensitivity and Strength: Effects Of Instructions on Resistance to Change. Psychological Record, 2006, 56, 303-320.	0.9	12
38	Examining stimuli paired with alternative reinforcement to mitigate resurgence in children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder and pigeons. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2020, 113, 214-231.	1.1	12
39	Method of stimulus combination impacts resistance to extinction. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2015, 104, 30-47.	1.1	11
40	Signaled alternative reinforcement and the persistence of operant behavior. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2016, 106, 22-33.	1.1	11
41	Resurgence when challenging alternative behavior with progressive ratios in children and pigeons. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2018, 110, 474-499.	1.1	11
42	Stimulus–reinforcer relations established during training determine resistance to extinction and relapse via reinstatement. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2016, 106, 225-241.	1.1	10
43	A quantitative analysis of the effects of alternative reinforcement rate and magnitude on resurgence. Behavioural Processes, 2022, 198, 104641.	1.1	10
44	Repeated extinction and reversal learning of an approach response supports an arousal-mediated learning model. Behavioural Processes, 2011, 87, 125-134.	1.1	9
45	Assessing the role of alternative response rates and reinforcer rates in resistance to extinction of target responding when combining stimuli. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2016, 105, 427-444.	1.1	9
46	Contrafreeloading, reinforcement rate, and behavioral momentum. Behavioural Processes, 2016, 128, 24-28.	1.1	9
47	An animal model of differential reinforcement of alternative behavior. Learning and Motivation, 2017, 58, 48-58.	1.2	9
48	Effects of punishing target response during extinction on resurgence and renewal in zebrafish (Danio) Tj ETQq0 (	0 0 rgBT /C	Dverlock 10 T
49	Differential reinforcement and resistance to change of divided-attention performance. Learning and Behavior, 2012, 40, 158-169.	1.0	8
50	Beyond Intervention. Policy Insights From the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2017, 4, 17-24.	2.4	8

51	Greater reinforcement rate during training increases spontaneous recovery. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2018, 109, 238-252.	1.1	8
52	Evaluating extinction, renewal, and resurgence of operant behavior in humans with Amazon Mechanical Turk. Learning and Motivation, 2021, 74, 101728.	1.2	8
53	Prevalence of relapse of automatically maintained behavior resulting from context changes. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 2022, 55, 138-153.	2.7	8
54	RESISTANCE TO CHANGE AND FREQUENCY OF RESPONSEâ€DEPENDENT STIMULI UNCORRELATED WITH REINFORCEMENT. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2009, 92, 199-214.	1.1	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Punishing and cardiovascular effects of intravenous histamine in rats: Pharmacological selectivity. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2013, 100, 333-354.	1.1	7
56	Steadyâ€state choice between four alternatives obeys the constantâ€ratio rule. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2015, 104, 7-19.	1.1	7
57	Evaluation of an aversion-based program designed to reduce predation of native birds by dogs: An analysis of training records for 1156 dogs. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 2017, 191, 59-66.	1.9	6
58	Predator videos and electric shock function as punishers for zebrafish ( <i>Danio rerio</i> ). Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2019, 111, 116-129.	1.1	6
59	The role of adventitious reinforcement during differential reinforcement of other behavior: A systematic replication. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 2020, 53, 2440-2449.	2.7	6
60	Evaluating effects of context changes on resurgence in humans. Behavioural Processes, 2022, 194, 104563.	1.1	6
61	Are preference and resistance to change convergent expressions of stimulus value?. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2013, 100, 27-48.	1.1	5
62	Noncontingent reinforcement competes with response performance. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2017, 107, 343-353.	1.1	5
63	Quantifying errors of bias and discriminability in conditional-discrimination performance in children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. Learning and Motivation, 2020, 71, 101659.	1.2	5
64	Reinforcer satiation and resistance to change of responding maintained by qualitatively different reinforcers. Behavioural Processes, 2009, 81, 126-132.	1.1	4
65	Effects of initial-link duration on preference and resistance to change in concurrent-chains schedules. Behavioural Processes, 2009, 81, 223-226.	1.1	4
66	TEMPORAL CONTEXT, PREFERENCE, AND RESISTANCE TO CHANGE. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2011, 96, 191-213.	1.1	4
67	Relative effects of reinforcement and punishment on human choice. European Journal of Behavior Analysis, 2018, 19, 125-148.	0.9	4
68	Adventitious reinforcement during longâ€duration DRO exposure. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 2020, 53, 1674-1687.	2.7	4
69	Zebrafish choice behavior is sensitive to reinforcer rate, immediacy, and magnitude ratios. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2021, 116, 182-207.	1.1	4
70	Extending a misallocation model to children's choice behavior Journal of Experimental Psychology Animal Learning and Cognition, 2021, 47, 317-325.	0.5	4
71	The openness is there. The Behavior Analyst, 2013, 36, 151-153.	2.5	3
72	Evaluation of an armâ€splint belt to reduce selfâ€injury. Behavioral Interventions, 2017, 32, 255-261.	1.0	3

Christopher A Podlesnik

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Generalization of the disruptive effects of alternative stimuli when combined with target stimuli in extinction. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2017, 108, 255-268.	1.1	3
74	Melioration revisited: a systematic replication of Vaughan (1981). Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2018, 109, 551-563.	1.1	3
75	The nanoeconomics of concurrent choice behavior. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2019, 111, 274-288.	1.1	3
76	Punishment in training contexts decrease operant renewal in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Learning and Motivation, 2021, 74, 101712.	1.2	3
77	Implications of Behavioral Momentum Theory for Intervention in Autism Spectrum Disorder. Autism and Child Psychopathology Series, 2015, , 353-374.	0.2	2
78	Examining effects of training duration on humans' resurgence and variability using a novel touchscreen procedure. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2021, 116, 344-358.	1.1	2
79	Does a negative discriminative stimulus function as a punishing consequence?. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2018, 110, 87-104.	1.1	2
80	The Role of Effort in Shifting Preference for Feedback Stimuli. Journal of Organizational Behavior Management, 2020, 40, 30-45.	1.2	1
81	Assessing human performance during contingency changes and extinction tests in reversal-learning tasks. Learning and Behavior, 2022, , 1.	1.0	1
82	Examining combinations of stimulus and contingency changes with children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder and pigeons. Learning and Motivation, 2022, 78, 101806.	1.2	1
83	SQAB 2016: Persistence and relapse. Behavioural Processes, 2017, 141, 1-2.	1.1	0
84	SQAB 2017: Quantitative and Comparative Analyses of Behavior. Behavioural Processes, 2018, 152, 1-2.	1.1	0
85	SQAB 2018: Biobehavioral processes. Behavioural Processes, 2019, 168, 103938.	1.1	0
86	Dependent scheduling and evidence for melioration. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2019, 111, 146-148.	1.1	0
87	Assessing potential reinforcementâ€like effects of brief stimuli unrelated to food reinforcers. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 2020, 113, 363-389.	1.1	0
88	Blackouts can serve as a contextual feature and enhance resurgence. Behavioural Processes, 2022, 195, 104587.	1.1	0