## Martin Roland

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6478859/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

146 papers 12,083 citations

54 h-index 27406 106 g-index

147 all docs

147 docs citations

times ranked

147

12694 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Lancet Global Health Commission on financing primary health care: putting people at the centre. The Lancet Global Health, 2022, 10, e715-e772.	6.3	125
2	Developing measures to capture the true value of primary care. BJGP Open, 2021, 5, BJGPO.2020.0152.	1.8	7
3	Economic evaluation of patient direct access to NHS physiotherapy services. Physiotherapy, 2021, 111, 40-47.	0.4	2
4	Integrated Care in England – what can we Learn from a Decade of National Pilot Programmes?. International Journal of Integrated Care, 2021, 21, 5.	0.2	31
5	Covid 19: a fork in the road for general practice. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m3709.	6.0	33
6	Just another GP crisis: the Collings report 70 years on. British Journal of General Practice, 2020, 70, 325-326.	1.4	1
7	When is referral from primary care to specialist services appropriate for survivors of stroke? A modified RAND-appropriateness consensus study. BMC Family Practice, 2020, 21, 66.	2.9	3
8	Development and validation of the Cambridge Multimorbidity Score. Cmaj, 2020, 192, E107-E114.	2.0	71
9	GPs' and practice staff's views of a telephone first approach to demand management: a qualitative study in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2019, 69, e321-e328.	1.4	14
10	Impact of the Southwark and Lambeth Integrated Care Older People's Programme on hospital utilisation and costs: controlled time series and cost-consequence analysis. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e024220.	1.9	7
11	The Primary Care Spend Model: a systems approach to measuring investment in primary care. BMJ Global Health, 2019, 4, e001601.	4.7	7
12	A â€~telephone first' approach to demand management in English general practice: a multimethod evaluation. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2019, 7, 1-158.	1.4	9
13	Associations between diagnostic activity and measures of patient experience in primary care: a cross-sectional ecological study of English general practices. British Journal of General Practice, 2018, 68, e9-e17.	1.4	10
14	What happens to patient experience when you want to see a doctor and you get to speak to a nurse? Observational study using data from the English General Practice Patient Survey. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e018690.	1.9	13
15	Rating Communication in GP Consultations: The Association Between Ratings Made by Patients and Trained Clinical Raters. Medical Care Research and Review, 2018, 75, 201-218.	2.1	14
16	Qualitative study of patient views on a  telephone-first' approach in general practice in England: speaking to the GP by telephone before making face-to-face appointments. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e026197.	1.9	18
17	Quality of Care in the United Kingdom after Removal of Financial Incentives. New England Journal of Medicine, 2018, 379, 948-957.	27.0	87
18	The Evaluation of Physicians' Communication Skills From Multiple Perspectives. Annals of Family Medicine, 2018, 16, 330-337.	1.9	32

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Improving the effectiveness and efficiency of outpatient services: a scoping review of interventions at the primary–secondary care interface. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2017, 22, 53-64.	1.7	34
20	Wasting the doctor's time? A video-elicitation interview study with patients in primary care. Social Science and Medicine, 2017, 176, 113-122.	3.8	54
21	Investigating the meaning of †good' or †very good' patient evaluations of care in English general practice: a mixed methods study. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e014718.	1.9	21
22	Doctors' engagements with patient experience surveys in primary and secondary care: a qualitative study. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 385-394.	2.6	26
23	Patients' use and views of realâ€time feedback technology in general practice. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 419-433.	2.6	14
24	Evaluation of telephone first approach to demand management in English general practice: observational study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 358, j4197.	2.3	62
25	Improving patient experience in primary care: a multimethod programme of research on the measurement and improvement of patient experience. Programme Grants for Applied Research, 2017, 5, 1-452.	1.0	23
26	Variations in GP–patient communication by ethnicity, age, and gender: evidence from a national primary care patient survey. British Journal of General Practice, 2016, 66, e47-e52.	1.4	39
27	Capturing patient experience: a qualitative study of implementing real-time feedback in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2016, 66, e786-e793.	1.4	20
28	Investigating the relationship between consultation length and patient experience: a cross-sectional study in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2016, 66, e896-e903.	1.4	64
29	Understanding negative feedback from South Asian patients: an experimental vignette study. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e011256.	1.9	16
30	Does pay-for-performance in primary care save lives?. Lancet, The, 2016, 388, 217-218.	13.7	9
31	Quality and Outcomes Framework: what have we learnt?:. BMJ, The, 2016, 354, i4060.	6.0	95
32	Awareness of cervical cancer risk factors and symptoms: crossâ€sectional community survey in postâ€conflict northern Uganda. Health Expectations, 2016, 19, 854-867.	2.6	77
33	Social, demographic and healthcare factors associated with stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer: cross-sectional study in a tertiary hospital in Northern Uganda. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e007690.	1.9	53
34	Establishing the validity of English GP Patient Survey items evaluating out-of-hours care. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 842-850.	3.7	1
35	Can pay for performance improve the quality of primary care?:. BMJ, The, 2016, 354, i4058.	6.0	25
36	Should doctors be able to exclude patients from pay-for-performance schemes?. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 653-656.	3.7	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Referral management centres as a means of reducing outpatients attendances: how do they work and what influences successful implementation and perceived effectiveness?. BMC Family Practice, 2016, 17, 37.	2.9	7
38	Moral Distress Amongst American Physician Trainees Regarding Futile Treatments at the End of Life: A Qualitative Study. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2016, 31, 93-99.	2.6	103
39	Tackling the crisis in general practice. BMJ, The, 2016, 352, i942.	6.0	69
40	Outpatient services and primary care: scoping review, substudies and international comparisons. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2016, 4, 1-290.	1.4	19
41	How Financial and Reputational Incentives Can Be Used to Improve Medical Care. Health Services Research, 2015, 50, 2090-2115.	2.0	48
42	Do difficulties in accessing in-hours primary care predict higher use of out-of-hours GP services? Evidence from an English National Patient Survey. Emergency Medicine Journal, 2015, 32, 373-378.	1.0	28
43	Evaluating Differential Item Functioning in the English General Practice Patient Survey. Medical Care, 2015, 53, 809-817.	2.4	7
44	Influence of Institutional Culture and Policies on Do-Not-Resuscitate Decision Making at the End of Life. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 812.	5.1	95
45	The role of patient experience surveys in quality assurance and improvement: a focus group study in English general practice. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 1982-1994.	2.6	47
46	Why do patients with multimorbidity in England report worse experiences in primary care? Evidence from the General Practice Patient Survey. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e006172-e006172.	1.9	41
47	Sexual Minorities in England Have Poorer Health and Worse Health Care Experiences: A National Survey. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2015, 30, 9-16.	2.6	156
48	The expanding role of primary care in cancer control. Lancet Oncology, The, 2015, 16, 1231-1272.	10.7	399
49	Does the availability of a South Asian language in practices improve reports of doctor-patient communication from South Asian patients? Cross sectional analysis of a national patient survey in English general practices. BMC Family Practice, 2015, 16, 55.	2.9	30
50	Characteristics of service users and provider organisations associated with experience of out of hours general practitioner care in England: population based cross sectional postal questionnaire survey. BMJ, The, 2015, 350, h2040-h2040.	6.0	25
51	Web-Based Textual Analysis of Free-Text Patient Experience Comments From a Survey in Primary Care. JMIR Medical Informatics, 2015, 3, e20.	2.6	37
52	A qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the Advancing Quality pay-for-performance programme in the NHS North West. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2015, 3, 1-104.	1.4	13
53	The future shape of primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2014, 64, 63-64.	1.4	15
54	Successes and Failures of Pay for Performance in the United Kingdom. New England Journal of Medicine, 2014, 370, 1944-1949.	27.0	96

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Effect of a national primary care pay for performance scheme on emergency hospital admissions for ambulatory care sensitive conditions: controlled longitudinal study. BMJ, The, 2014, 349, g6423-g6423.	6.0	106
56	Assessing communication quality of consultations in primary care: initial reliability of the Global Consultation Rating Scale, based on the Calgary-Cambridge Guide to the Medical Interview. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004339.	1.9	56
57	Care plans and care planning in long-term conditions: a conceptual model. Primary Health Care Research and Development, 2014, 15, 342-354.	1.2	43
58	Long-Term Effect of Hospital Pay for Performance on Mortality in England. New England Journal of Medicine, 2014, 371, 540-548.	27.0	90
59	Impact on alcohol purchasing of a ban on multiâ€buy promotions: a quasiâ€experimental evaluation comparing <scp>S</scp> cotland with <scp>E</scp> ngland and <scp>W</scp> ales. Addiction, 2014, 109, 558-567.	3.3	30
60	Measuring Patient Experience: Concepts and Methods. Patient, 2014, 7, 235-241.	2.7	205
61	Care plans and care planning in the management of long-term conditions in the UK: a controlled prospective cohort study. British Journal of General Practice, 2014, 64, e568-e575.	1.4	21
62	Public acceptability of government intervention to change health-related behaviours: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. BMC Public Health, 2013, 13, 756.	2.9	408
63	Changing practice as a quality indicator for primary care: analysis of data on voluntary disenrollment from the English GP Patient Survey. BMC Family Practice, 2013, 14, 89.	2.9	21
64	GPAQ-R: development and psychometric properties of a version of the General Practice Assessment Questionnaire for use for revalidation by general practitioners in the UK. BMC Family Practice, 2013, 14, 160.	2.9	15
65	Better management of patients with multimorbidity. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f2510-f2510.	6.0	121
66	Factors affecting patients' trust and confidence in GPs: evidence from the English national GP patient survey. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e002762.	1.9	111
67	Hospital Pay for Performance in England. New England Journal of Medicine, 2013, 368, 968-969.	27.0	1
68	Relationship Between Clinical Quality and Patient Experience: Analysis of Data From the English Quality and Outcomes Framework and the National GP Patient Survey. Annals of Family Medicine, 2013, 11, 467-472.	1.9	67
69	Patterns of disease presentation and management in Egyptian primary care: findings from a survey of 2458 primary care patient consultations. BMC Family Practice, 2013, 14, 161.	2.9	8
70	Urgent care in England. BMJ, The, 2013, 347, f7046-f7046.	6.0	1
71	Multimorbidity and delivery of care for long-term conditions in the English National Health Service: baseline data from a cohort study. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2013, 18, 29-37.	1.7	14
72	Accessing primary care: a simulated patient study. British Journal of General Practice, 2013, 63, e171-e176.	1.4	26

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Challenges to the credibility of patient feedback in primary healthcare settings: a qualitative study. British Journal of General Practice, 2013, 63, e200-e208.	1.4	58
74	Reduced Mortality with Hospital Pay for Performance in England. New England Journal of Medicine, 2012, 367, 1821-1828.	27.0	183
75	Primary Medical Care in the United Kingdom. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2012, 25, S6-S11.	1.5	59
76	Measuring and improving patient experience in primary care. Primary Health Care Research and Development, 2012, 13, 103-105.	1.2	6
77	Prevalence and Benefits of Care Plans and Care Planning for People with Long-Term Conditions in England. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2012, 17, 64-71.	1.7	38
78	Reducing emergency admissions: are we on the right track?. BMJ, The, 2012, 345, e6017-e6017.	6.0	99
79	Should measures of patient experience in primary care be adjusted for case mix? Evidence from the English General Practice Patient Survey. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2012, 21, 634-640.	3.7	88
80	Pay-for-Performance: Not a Magic Bullet. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2012, 157, 912.	3.9	23
81	Experiences of care planning in England: interviews with patients with long term conditions. BMC Family Practice, 2012, 13, 71.	2.9	64
82	Psychometric properties of the patient assessment of chronic illness care measure: acceptability, reliability and validity in United Kingdom patients with long-term conditions. BMC Health Services Research, 2012, 12, 293.	2.2	50
83	Talking about smoking in primary care medical practiceâ€"Results of experimental studies from the US, UK and Germany. Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 89, 51-56.	2.2	8
84	Incentives must be closely aligned to professional values. BMJ, The, 2012, 345, e5982-e5982.	6.0	11
85	Do English patients want continuity of care, and do they receive it?. British Journal of General Practice, 2012, 62, e567-e575.	1.4	51
86	Continuity of care: betrayed values or misplaced nostalgia?. International Journal of Integrated Care, 2012, 12, e200.	0.2	5
87	Case management for at-risk elderly patients in the English integrated care pilots: observational study of staff and patient experience and secondary care utilisation. International Journal of Integrated Care, 2012, 12, e130.	0.2	53
88	Barriers and facilitators to integrating care: experiences from the English Integrated Care Pilots. International Journal of Integrated Care, 2012, 12, e129.	0.2	146
89	How can Health Care Organizations be Reliably Compared?. Medical Care, 2011, 49, 724-733.	2.4	55
90	Does Higher Quality of Diabetes Management in Family Practice Reduce Unplanned Hospital Admissions?. Health Services Research, 2011, 46, 27-46.	2.0	76

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	English NHS Embarks on Controversial and Risky Market-Style Reforms in Health Care. New England Journal of Medicine, 2011, 364, 1360-1366.	27.0	30
92	Effect of financial incentives on incentivised and non-incentivised clinical activities: longitudinal analysis of data from the UK Quality and Outcomes Framework. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d3590-d3590.	2.3	208
93	The changing face of commissioning in England. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 2011, 104, 92-93.	2.0	O
94	Primary care experience of people with long-standing psychological problems: Evidence from a national survey in England. International Review of Psychiatry, 2011, 23, 2-9.	2.8	4
95	Professional values and reported behaviours of doctors in the USA and UK: quantitative survey. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2011, 20, 515-521.	3.7	36
96	Judging nudging: can nudging improve population health?. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d228-d228.	2.3	441
97	Antidepressants for non-specific low back pain. The Cochrane Library, 2010, 2010, CD001703.	2.8	110
98	Differences in the Diagnosis and Management of Type 2 Diabetes in 3 Countries (US, UK, and Germany). Medical Care, 2010, 48, 321-326.	2.4	19
99	Patient experience of access to primary care: identification of predictors in a national patient survey. BMC Family Practice, 2010, 11, 61.	2.9	133
100	Performance measurement in primary care. , 2010, , 371-405.		6
101	Performance of small general practices under the UK's Quality and Outcomes Framework. British Journal of General Practice, 2010, 60, e335-e344.	1.4	20
102	Lessons From Major Initiatives To Improve Primary Care In The United Kingdom. Health Affairs, 2010, 29, 1023-1029.	5.2	61
103	What will the white paper mean for GPs?. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2010, 341, c3985-c3985.	2.3	9
104	Users' reports and evaluations of out-of-hours health care and the UK national quality requirements: a cross sectional study. British Journal of General Practice, 2009, 59, e8-e15.	1.4	18
105	Effects of Pay for Performance on the Quality of Primary Care in England. New England Journal of Medicine, 2009, 361, 368-378.	27.0	566
106	Impacts of case management for frail elderly people: A qualitative study. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2009, 14, 88-95.	1.7	51
107	Ambulatory Care Provided by Office-Based Specialists in the United States. Annals of Family Medicine, 2009, 7, 104-111.	1.9	69
108	Understanding why some ethnic minority patients evaluate medical care more negatively than white patients: a cross sectional analysis of a routine patient survey in English general practices. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 339, b3450-b3450.	2.3	121

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Pay for Performance in Primary Care in England and California: Comparison of Unintended Consequences. Annals of Family Medicine, 2009, 7, 121-127.	1.9	154
110	Defining Comorbidity: Implications for Understanding Health and Health Services. Annals of Family Medicine, 2009, 7, 357-363.	1.9	1,183
111	Routine care provided by specialists to children and adolescents in the United States (2002-2006). BMC Health Services Research, 2009, 9, 221.	2.2	31
112	The GP Patient Survey for use in primary care in the National Health Service in the UK – development and psychometric characteristics. BMC Family Practice, 2009, 10, 57.	2.9	124
113	Reliability of patient responses in pay for performance schemes: analysis of national General Practitioner Patient Survey data in England. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2009, 339, b3851-b3851.	2.3	129
114	The General Practice Assessment Questionnaire (GPAQ) – Development and psychometric characteristics. BMC Family Practice, 2008, 9, 13.	2.9	91
115	How are patient characteristics relevant for physicians' clinical decision making in diabetes? An analysis of qualitative results from a cross-national factorial experiment. Social Science and Medicine, 2008, 67, 1391-1399.	3.8	54
116	What Patients Want From Primary Care Consultations: A Discrete Choice Experiment to Identify Patients' Priorities. Annals of Family Medicine, 2008, 6, 107-115.	1.9	213
117	What benefits will choice bring to patients? Literature review and assessment of implications. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2008, 13, 178-184.	1.7	125
118	Assessing the options available to Lord Darzi. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2008, 336, 625-626.	2.3	9
119	Moving specialist care into the community: An initial evaluation. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2008, 13, 233-239.	1.7	25
120	Lessons from the U.K New England Journal of Medicine, 2008, 359, 2087-2092.	27.0	18
121	Exclusion of Patients from Pay-for-Performance Targets by English Physicians. New England Journal of Medicine, 2008, 359, 274-284.	27.0	151
122	Factors associated with enablement in general practice: cross-sectional study using routinely-collected data. British Journal of General Practice, 2008, 58, 346-352.	1.4	49
123	Coordinating primary health care: an analysis of the outcomes of a systematic review. Medical Journal of Australia, 2008, 188, S65-8.	1.7	97
124	Quality of Primary Care in England with the Introduction of Pay for Performance. New England Journal of Medicine, 2007, 357, 181-190.	27.0	356
125	Impact of case management (Evercare) on frail elderly patients: controlled before and after analysis of quantitative outcome data. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2007, 334, 31.	2.3	205
126	Impact of financial incentives on clinical autonomy and internal motivation in primary care: ethnographic study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2007, 334, 1357.	2.3	134

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Making sense of patient priorities: applying discrete choice methods in primary care using 'think aloud' technique. Family Practice, 2007, 24, 276-282.	1.9	31
128	A research priority in the UK. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2007, 334, 1128.3-1128.	2.3	32
129	Relationship between Number of Medical Conditions and Quality of Care. New England Journal of Medicine, 2007, 356, 2496-2504.	27.0	232
130	Shifting care from hospitals to the community: a review of the evidence on quality and efficiency. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2007, 12, 110-117.	1.7	82
131	Future of quality measurement. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2007, 335, 1130-1131.	2.3	14
132	How many patients can community matrons successfully case manage?. Journal of Nursing Management, 2007, 16, 071116232228006-???.	3.4	23
133	Article missed published papers on GPAQ validity. British Journal of General Practice, 2007, 57, 918-918.	1.4	7
134	The Quality and Outcomes Framework: too early for a final verdict. British Journal of General Practice, 2007, 57, 525-7.	1.4	11
135	Pay-for-Performance Programs in Family Practices in the United Kingdom. New England Journal of Medicine, 2006, 355, 375-384.	27.0	662
136	What are the key attributes of primary care for patients? Building a conceptual 'map' of patient preferences. Health Expectations, 2006, 9, 275-284.	2.6	64
137	Financial incentives to improve the quality of primary care in the UK: predicting the consequences of change. Primary Health Care Research and Development, 2006, 7, 18-26.	1.2	28
138	Do Personal Medical Services contracts improve quality of care? A multi-method evaluation. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2005, 10, 31-39.	1.7	5
139	Linking Physicians' Pay to the Quality of Care — A Major Experiment in the United Kingdom. New England Journal of Medicine, 2004, 351, 1448-1454.	27.0	619
140	Framing the doctor-patient relationship in chronic illness: a comparative study of general practitioners' accounts. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2004, 26, 135-158.	2.1	163
141	Setting standards based on patients' views on access and continuity: secondary analysis of data from the general practice assessment survey. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2003, 326, 258-258.	2.3	79
142	Is the quality of care in general medical practice improving? Results of a longitudinal observational study. British Journal of General Practice, 2003, 53, 298-304.	1.4	21
143	What dimensions underlie patient responses to the General Practice Assessment Survey? A factor analytic study. Family Practice, 2002, 19, 489-495.	1.9	27
144	Users' understanding of medical knowledge in general practice. Social Science and Medicine, 2002, 54, 1215-1224.	3.8	33

#	Article	IF	CITATION
145	Primary care groups: Improving the quality of care through clinical governance. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2001, 322, 1580-1582.	2.3	37
146	What makes British general practitioners take part in a quality improvement scheme?. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2001, 6, 145-150.	1.7	47