

# Martin Roland

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6478859/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

146  
papers

12,083  
citations

29994

54  
h-index

27345

106  
g-index

147  
all docs

147  
docs citations

147  
times ranked

12694  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Defining Comorbidity: Implications for Understanding Health and Health Services. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2009, 7, 357-363.	0.9	1,183
2	Pay-for-Performance Programs in Family Practices in the United Kingdom. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2006, 355, 375-384.	13.9	662
3	Linking Physicians' Pay to the Quality of Care – A Major Experiment in the United Kingdom. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2004, 351, 1448-1454.	13.9	619
4	Effects of Pay for Performance on the Quality of Primary Care in England. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2009, 361, 368-378.	13.9	566
5	Judging nudging: can nudging improve population health?. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2011, 342, d228-d228.	2.4	441
6	Public acceptability of government intervention to change health-related behaviours: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2013, 13, 756.	1.2	408
7	The expanding role of primary care in cancer control. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2015, 16, 1231-1272.	5.1	399
8	Quality of Primary Care in England with the Introduction of Pay for Performance. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2007, 357, 181-190.	13.9	356
9	Relationship between Number of Medical Conditions and Quality of Care. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2007, 356, 2496-2504.	13.9	232
10	What Patients Want From Primary Care Consultations: A Discrete Choice Experiment to Identify Patients' Priorities. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2008, 6, 107-115.	0.9	213
11	Effect of financial incentives on incentivised and non-incentivised clinical activities: longitudinal analysis of data from the UK Quality and Outcomes Framework. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2011, 342, d3590-d3590.	2.4	208
12	Impact of case management (Evercare) on frail elderly patients: controlled before and after analysis of quantitative outcome data. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2007, 334, 31.	2.4	205
13	Measuring Patient Experience: Concepts and Methods. <i>Patient</i> , 2014, 7, 235-241.	1.1	205
14	Reduced Mortality with Hospital Pay for Performance in England. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2012, 367, 1821-1828.	13.9	183
15	Framing the doctor-patient relationship in chronic illness: a comparative study of general practitioners' accounts. <i>Sociology of Health and Illness</i> , 2004, 26, 135-158.	1.1	163
16	Sexual Minorities in England Have Poorer Health and Worse Health Care Experiences: A National Survey. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2015, 30, 9-16.	1.3	156
17	Pay for Performance in Primary Care in England and California: Comparison of Unintended Consequences. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2009, 7, 121-127.	0.9	154
18	Exclusion of Patients from Pay-for-Performance Targets by English Physicians. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2008, 359, 274-284.	13.9	151

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Barriers and facilitators to integrating care: experiences from the English Integrated Care Pilots. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2012, 12, e129.	0.1	146
20	Impact of financial incentives on clinical autonomy and internal motivation in primary care: ethnographic study. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2007, 334, 1357.	2.4	134
21	Patient experience of access to primary care: identification of predictors in a national patient survey. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2010, 11, 61.	2.9	133
22	Reliability of patient responses in pay for performance schemes: analysis of national General Practitioner Patient Survey data in England. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2009, 339, b3851-b3851.	2.4	129
23	What benefits will choice bring to patients? Literature review and assessment of implications. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2008, 13, 178-184.	0.8	125
24	The Lancet Global Health Commission on financing primary health care: putting people at the centre. <i>The Lancet Global Health</i> , 2022, 10, e715-e772.	2.9	125
25	The GP Patient Survey for use in primary care in the National Health Service in the UK – development and psychometric characteristics. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2009, 10, 57.	2.9	124
26	Understanding why some ethnic minority patients evaluate medical care more negatively than white patients: a cross sectional analysis of a routine patient survey in English general practices. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2009, 339, b3450-b3450.	2.4	121
27	Better management of patients with multimorbidity. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2013, 346, f2510-f2510.	3.0	121
28	Factors affecting patients' trust and confidence in GPs: evidence from the English national GP patient survey. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2013, 3, e002762.	0.8	111
29	Antidepressants for non-specific low back pain. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2010, 2010, CD001703.	1.5	110
30	Effect of a national primary care pay for performance scheme on emergency hospital admissions for ambulatory care sensitive conditions: controlled longitudinal study. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2014, 349, g6423-g6423.	3.0	106
31	Moral Distress Amongst American Physician Trainees Regarding Futile Treatments at the End of Life: A Qualitative Study. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 31, 93-99.	1.3	103
32	Reducing emergency admissions: are we on the right track?. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2012, 345, e6017-e6017.	3.0	99
33	Coordinating primary health care: an analysis of the outcomes of a systematic review. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2008, 188, S65-8.	0.8	97
34	Successes and Failures of Pay for Performance in the United Kingdom. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2014, 370, 1944-1949.	13.9	96
35	Influence of Institutional Culture and Policies on Do-Not-Resuscitate Decision Making at the End of Life. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2015, 175, 812.	2.6	95
36	Quality and Outcomes Framework: what have we learnt?.. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 354, i4060.	3.0	95

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The General Practice Assessment Questionnaire (GPAQ) – Development and psychometric characteristics. BMC Family Practice, 2008, 9, 13.	2.9	91
38	Long-Term Effect of Hospital Pay for Performance on Mortality in England. New England Journal of Medicine, 2014, 371, 540-548.	13.9	90
39	Should measures of patient experience in primary care be adjusted for case mix? Evidence from the English General Practice Patient Survey. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2012, 21, 634-640.	1.8	88
40	Quality of Care in the United Kingdom after Removal of Financial Incentives. New England Journal of Medicine, 2018, 379, 948-957.	13.9	87
41	Shifting care from hospitals to the community: a review of the evidence on quality and efficiency. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2007, 12, 110-117.	0.8	82
42	Setting standards based on patients' views on access and continuity: secondary analysis of data from the general practice assessment survey. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2003, 326, 258-258.	2.4	79
43	Awareness of cervical cancer risk factors and symptoms: cross-sectional community survey in post-conflict northern Uganda. Health Expectations, 2016, 19, 854-867.	1.1	77
44	Does Higher Quality of Diabetes Management in Family Practice Reduce Unplanned Hospital Admissions?. Health Services Research, 2011, 46, 27-46.	1.0	76
45	Development and validation of the Cambridge Multimorbidity Score. Cmaj, 2020, 192, E107-E114.	0.9	71
46	Ambulatory Care Provided by Office-Based Specialists in the United States. Annals of Family Medicine, 2009, 7, 104-111.	0.9	69
47	Tackling the crisis in general practice. BMJ, The, 2016, 352, i942.	3.0	69
48	Relationship Between Clinical Quality and Patient Experience: Analysis of Data From the English Quality and Outcomes Framework and the National GP Patient Survey. Annals of Family Medicine, 2013, 11, 467-472.	0.9	67
49	What are the key attributes of primary care for patients? Building a conceptual 'map' of patient preferences. Health Expectations, 2006, 9, 275-284.	1.1	64
50	Experiences of care planning in England: interviews with patients with long term conditions. BMC Family Practice, 2012, 13, 71.	2.9	64
51	Investigating the relationship between consultation length and patient experience: a cross-sectional study in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2016, 66, e896-e903.	0.7	64
52	Evaluation of telephone first approach to demand management in English general practice: observational study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 358, j4197.	2.4	62
53	Lessons From Major Initiatives To Improve Primary Care In The United Kingdom. Health Affairs, 2010, 29, 1023-1029.	2.5	61
54	Primary Medical Care in the United Kingdom. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 2012, 25, S6-S11.	0.8	59

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Challenges to the credibility of patient feedback in primary healthcare settings: a qualitative study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2013, 63, e200-e208.	0.7	58
56	Assessing communication quality of consultations in primary care: initial reliability of the Global Consultation Rating Scale, based on the Calgary-Cambridge Guide to the Medical Interview. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004339.	0.8	56
57	How can Health Care Organizations be Reliably Compared?. <i>Medical Care</i> , 2011, 49, 724-733.	1.1	55
58	How are patient characteristics relevant for physicians' clinical decision making in diabetes? An analysis of qualitative results from a cross-national factorial experiment. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2008, 67, 1391-1399.	1.8	54
59	Wasting the doctor's time? A video-elicitation interview study with patients in primary care. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2017, 176, 113-122.	1.8	54
60	Social, demographic and healthcare factors associated with stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer: cross-sectional study in a tertiary hospital in Northern Uganda. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e007690.	0.8	53
61	Case management for at-risk elderly patients in the English integrated care pilots: observational study of staff and patient experience and secondary care utilisation. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2012, 12, e130.	0.1	53
62	Impacts of case management for frail elderly people: A qualitative study. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2009, 14, 88-95.	0.8	51
63	Do English patients want continuity of care, and do they receive it?. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2012, 62, e567-e575.	0.7	51
64	Psychometric properties of the patient assessment of chronic illness care measure: acceptability, reliability and validity in United Kingdom patients with long-term conditions. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2012, 12, 293.	0.9	50
65	Factors associated with enablement in general practice: cross-sectional study using routinely-collected data. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2008, 58, 346-352.	0.7	49
66	How Financial and Reputational Incentives Can Be Used to Improve Medical Care. <i>Health Services Research</i> , 2015, 50, 2090-2115.	1.0	48
67	What makes British general practitioners take part in a quality improvement scheme?. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2001, 6, 145-150.	0.8	47
68	The role of patient experience surveys in quality assurance and improvement: a focus group study in English general practice. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2015, 18, 1982-1994.	1.1	47
69	Care plans and care planning in long-term conditions: a conceptual model. <i>Primary Health Care Research and Development</i> , 2014, 15, 342-354.	0.5	43
70	Why do patients with multimorbidity in England report worse experiences in primary care? Evidence from the General Practice Patient Survey. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e006172-e006172.	0.8	41
71	Variations in GP's patient communication by ethnicity, age, and gender: evidence from a national primary care patient survey. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2016, 66, e47-e52.	0.7	39
72	Prevalence and Benefits of Care Plans and Care Planning for People with Long-Term Conditions in England. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2012, 17, 64-71.	0.8	38

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Primary care groups: Improving the quality of care through clinical governance. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2001, 322, 1580-1582.	2.4	37
74	Web-Based Textual Analysis of Free-Text Patient Experience Comments From a Survey in Primary Care. <i>JMIR Medical Informatics</i> , 2015, 3, e20.	1.3	37
75	Professional values and reported behaviours of doctors in the USA and UK: quantitative survey. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2011, 20, 515-521.	1.8	36
76	Improving the effectiveness and efficiency of outpatient services: a scoping review of interventions at the primary–secondary care interface. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2017, 22, 53-64.	0.8	34
77	Users’™ understanding of medical knowledge in general practice. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2002, 54, 1215-1224.	1.8	33
78	Covid 19: a fork in the road for general practice. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 370, m3709.	3.0	33
79	A research priority in the UK. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2007, 334, 1128.3-1128.	2.4	32
80	The Evaluation of Physicians’™ Communication Skills From Multiple Perspectives. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2018, 16, 330-337.	0.9	32
81	Making sense of patient priorities: applying discrete choice methods in primary care using 'think aloud' technique. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2007, 24, 276-282.	0.8	31
82	Routine care provided by specialists to children and adolescents in the United States (2002-2006). <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2009, 9, 221.	0.9	31
83	Integrated Care in England – what can we Learn from a Decade of National Pilot Programmes?. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2021, 21, 5.	0.1	31
84	English NHS Embarks on Controversial and Risky Market-Style Reforms in Health Care. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2011, 364, 1360-1366.	13.9	30
85	Impact on alcohol purchasing of a ban on multi-buy promotions: a quasi-experimental evaluation comparing Scotland with England and Wales. <i>Addiction</i> , 2014, 109, 558-567.	1.7	30
86	Does the availability of a South Asian language in practices improve reports of doctor-patient communication from South Asian patients? Cross sectional analysis of a national patient survey in English general practices. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2015, 16, 55.	2.9	30
87	Financial incentives to improve the quality of primary care in the UK: predicting the consequences of change. <i>Primary Health Care Research and Development</i> , 2006, 7, 18-26.	0.5	28
88	Do difficulties in accessing in-hours primary care predict higher use of out-of-hours GP services? Evidence from an English National Patient Survey. <i>Emergency Medicine Journal</i> , 2015, 32, 373-378.	0.4	28
89	What dimensions underlie patient responses to the General Practice Assessment Survey? A factor analytic study. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2002, 19, 489-495.	0.8	27
90	Accessing primary care: a simulated patient study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2013, 63, e171-e176.	0.7	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Doctors'™ engagements with patient experience surveys in primary and secondary care: a qualitative study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2017, 20, 385-394.	1.1	26
92	Moving specialist care into the community: An initial evaluation. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2008, 13, 233-239.	0.8	25
93	Characteristics of service users and provider organisations associated with experience of out of hours general practitioner care in England: population based cross sectional postal questionnaire survey. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2015, 350, h2040-h2040.	3.0	25
94	Can pay for performance improve the quality of primary care?.. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 354, i4058.	3.0	25
95	How many patients can community matrons successfully case manage?. <i>Journal of Nursing Management</i> , 2007, 16, 071116232228006-???	1.4	23
96	Pay-for-Performance: Not a Magic Bullet. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2012, 157, 912.	2.0	23
97	Improving patient experience in primary care: a multimethod programme of research on the measurement and improvement of patient experience. <i>Programme Grants for Applied Research</i> , 2017, 5, 1-452.	0.4	23
98	Changing practice as a quality indicator for primary care: analysis of data on voluntary disenrollment from the English GP Patient Survey. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2013, 14, 89.	2.9	21
99	Care plans and care planning in the management of long-term conditions in the UK: a controlled prospective cohort study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2014, 64, e568-e575.	0.7	21
100	Investigating the meaning of 'good'™ or 'very good'™ patient evaluations of care in English general practice: a mixed methods study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e014718.	0.8	21
101	Is the quality of care in general medical practice improving? Results of a longitudinal observational study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2003, 53, 298-304.	0.7	21
102	Performance of small general practices under the UK's Quality and Outcomes Framework. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2010, 60, e335-e344.	0.7	20
103	Capturing patient experience: a qualitative study of implementing real-time feedback in primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2016, 66, e786-e793.	0.7	20
104	Differences in the Diagnosis and Management of Type 2 Diabetes in 3 Countries (US, UK, and Germany). <i>Medical Care</i> , 2010, 48, 321-326.	1.1	19
105	Outpatient services and primary care: scoping review, substudies and international comparisons. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2016, 4, 1-290.	1.4	19
106	Lessons from the U.K.. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2008, 359, 2087-2092.	13.9	18
107	Users' reports and evaluations of out-of-hours health care and the UK national quality requirements: a cross sectional study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2009, 59, e8-e15.	0.7	18
108	Qualitative study of patient views on a 'telephone-first'™ approach in general practice in England: speaking to the GP by telephone before making face-to-face appointments. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e026197.	0.8	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Understanding negative feedback from South Asian patients: an experimental vignette study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e011256.	0.8	16
110	GPAQ-R: development and psychometric properties of a version of the General Practice Assessment Questionnaire for use for revalidation by general practitioners in the UK. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2013, 14, 160.	2.9	15
111	The future shape of primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2014, 64, 63-64.	0.7	15
112	Future of quality measurement. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2007, 335, 1130-1131.	2.4	14
113	Multimorbidity and delivery of care for long-term conditions in the English National Health Service: baseline data from a cohort study. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2013, 18, 29-37.	0.8	14
114	Patients' use and views of real-time feedback technology in general practice. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2017, 20, 419-433.	1.1	14
115	Rating Communication in GP Consultations: The Association Between Ratings Made by Patients and Trained Clinical Raters. <i>Medical Care Research and Review</i> , 2018, 75, 201-218.	1.0	14
116	GPs' and practice staff's views of a telephone first approach to demand management: a qualitative study in primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2019, 69, e321-e328.	0.7	14
117	What happens to patient experience when you want to see a doctor and you get to speak to a nurse? Observational study using data from the English General Practice Patient Survey. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e018690.	0.8	13
118	A qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the Advancing Quality pay-for-performance programme in the NHS North West. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2015, 3, 1-104.	1.4	13
119	Incentives must be closely aligned to professional values. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2012, 345, e5982-e5982.	3.0	11
120	Should doctors be able to exclude patients from pay-for-performance schemes?. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2016, 25, 653-656.	1.8	11
121	The Quality and Outcomes Framework: too early for a final verdict. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2007, 57, 525-7.	0.7	11
122	Associations between diagnostic activity and measures of patient experience in primary care: a cross-sectional ecological study of English general practices. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e9-e17.	0.7	10
123	Assessing the options available to Lord Darzi. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2008, 336, 625-626.	2.4	9
124	What will the white paper mean for GPs?. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2010, 341, c3985-c3985.	2.4	9
125	Does pay-for-performance in primary care save lives?. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2016, 388, 217-218.	6.3	9
126	A "telephone first" approach to demand management in English general practice: a multimethod evaluation. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2019, 7, 1-158.	1.4	9



#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Talking about smoking in primary care medical practice—Results of experimental studies from the US, UK and Germany. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2012, 89, 51-56.	1.0	8
128	Patterns of disease presentation and management in Egyptian primary care: findings from a survey of 2458 primary care patient consultations. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2013, 14, 161.	2.9	8
129	Evaluating Differential Item Functioning in the English General Practice Patient Survey. <i>Medical Care</i> , 2015, 53, 809-817.	1.1	7
130	Referral management centres as a means of reducing outpatients attendances: how do they work and what influences successful implementation and perceived effectiveness?. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2016, 17, 37.	2.9	7
131	Impact of the Southwark and Lambeth Integrated Care Older People's Programme on hospital utilisation and costs: controlled time series and cost-consequence analysis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e024220.	0.8	7
132	The Primary Care Spend Model: a systems approach to measuring investment in primary care. <i>BMJ Global Health</i> , 2019, 4, e001601.	2.0	7
133	Developing measures to capture the true value of primary care. <i>BJGP Open</i> , 2021, 5, BJGPO.2020.0152.	0.9	7
134	Article missed published papers on GPAQ validity. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2007, 57, 918-918.	0.7	7
135	Performance measurement in primary care. , 2010, , 371-405.		6
136	Measuring and improving patient experience in primary care. <i>Primary Health Care Research and Development</i> , 2012, 13, 103-105.	0.5	6
137	Do Personal Medical Services contracts improve quality of care? A multi-method evaluation. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2005, 10, 31-39.	0.8	5
138	Continuity of care: betrayed values or misplaced nostalgia?. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2012, 12, e200.	0.1	5
139	Primary care experience of people with long-standing psychological problems: Evidence from a national survey in England. <i>International Review of Psychiatry</i> , 2011, 23, 2-9.	1.4	4
140	When is referral from primary care to specialist services appropriate for survivors of stroke? A modified RAND-appropriateness consensus study. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2020, 21, 66.	2.9	3
141	Economic evaluation of patient direct access to NHS physiotherapy services. <i>Physiotherapy</i> , 2021, 111, 40-47.	0.2	2
142	Hospital Pay for Performance in England. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2013, 368, 968-969.	13.9	1
143	Urgent care in England. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2013, 347, f7046-f7046.	3.0	1
144	Establishing the validity of English GP Patient Survey items evaluating out-of-hours care. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2016, 25, 842-850.	1.8	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Just another GP crisis: the Collings report 70 years on. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2020, 70, 325-326.	0.7	1
146	The changing face of commissioning in England. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2011, 104, 92-93.	1.1	0